

Domination dot-critical graphs with no critical vertices[☆]

Zhao Chengye, Yang Yuansheng*, Sun Linlin

Department of Computer Science, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, 116024, PR China

Received 1 June 2006; received in revised form 24 May 2007; accepted 24 June 2007

Available online 8 August 2007

Abstract

A graph G is dot-critical if contracting any edge decreases the domination number. It is totally dot-critical if identifying any two vertices decreases the domination number. Burton and Sumner [Discrete Math. 306 (2006) 11–18] posed the problem: Is it true that for $k \geq 4$, there exists a totally k -dot-critical graph with no critical vertices? In this paper, we show that this problem has a positive answer.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Domination; Dot-critical; Critical vertex

1. Introduction

We only consider finite connected and undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges.

The *open neighborhood* and the *closed neighborhood* of a vertex $v \in V$ are denoted by $N(v) = \{u \in V(G) : vu \in E(G)\}$ and $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$, respectively. For a vertex set $S \subseteq V(G)$, $N(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N(v)$ and $N[S] = \bigcup_{v \in S} N[v]$. A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a *dominating set* if and only if $N[S] = V(G)$. If S has the smallest possible cardinality of any dominating set of G , then S is called a *minimum dominating set*—abbreviated MDS. The cardinality of any MDS for G is called the *domination number* of G and is denoted by $\gamma(G)$. We denote the complement of the graph G by \bar{G} . We write $d(v, u)$ for the distance between the vertices v and u . A vertex v of G is *critical* if $\gamma(G - v) < \gamma(G)$. We denote the set of critical vertices of G by G' .

Burton and Sumner [1] introduced a new critical condition for the domination number. For a pair of vertices v, u of G , they denote by $G.vu$ the graph obtained by identifying v and u . So $G.vu$ may be viewed as the graph obtained from G by deleting the vertices v and u and appending a new vertex, denoted by (vu) , that is adjacent to all the vertices of $G - v - u$ that were originally adjacent to either of v or u . A graph G is *domination dot-critical* (hereafter, just *dot-critical*) if $\gamma(G.vu) = \gamma(G) - 1$ for any two adjacent vertices v and u . A graph G is *domination totally dot-critical* (hereafter, just *totally dot-critical*) if $\gamma(G.vu) = \gamma(G) - 1$ for any two vertices v and u .

When we say that a graph G is *k-dot-critical* or *totally-k-dot-critical*, we mean that it has the indicated property and that $\gamma(G) = k$.

[☆] Research supported by NFSC (60573022).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: yangys@dlut.edu.cn (Y. Yuansheng).

The *corona* of two graphs H_1 and H_2 is the graph $H_1 \circ H_2$ formed from one copy of H_1 and $|V(H_1)|$ copies of H_2 , where the i th vertex of H_1 is adjacent to every vertex in the i th copy of H_2 , see [2].

A graph G is said to be *spiked* if $G = H \circ K_1$, the corona of a connected graph H with a single vertex. So G is spiked if it is non-trivial, connected, and every vertex of G is either an end vertex or is adjacent to exactly one end vertex.

Burton and Sumner [1] investigated some properties of the dot-critical (*resp.* totally dot-critical) graphs, characterized 2-dot-critical and totally 2-dot-critical graphs and showed the diameter of 3-dot-critical and totally 3-dot-critical graphs G with $G' = \emptyset$. They showed following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a graph with $n \geq 4$ vertices. Then G is 2-dot-critical if and only if \overline{G} is not complete, but every component of \overline{G} is spiked or a complete graph K_m , $m \geq 2$.*

Theorem 1.2. *G is a totally 2-dot-critical graph with $n \geq 2$ vertices if and only if every component of \overline{G} is spiked.*

Theorem 1.3. *A connected 3-dot-critical graph G with $G' = \emptyset$ has a diameter of at most three.*

Theorem 1.4. *A connected totally 3-dot-critical graph G with $G' = \emptyset$ has a diameter of at most two.*

In the end, Burton and Sumner posed some open problems:

- (1) What are the best bounds for the diameter of a k -dot-critical graph and a totally k -dot-critical graph G with $G' = \emptyset$ for $k \geq 4$?
- (2) Is it true that for each $k \geq 4$, there exists a totally k -dot-critical graph with no critical vertices?

In this paper, we study the k -dot-critical graph and totally k -dot-critical graph G with $G' = \emptyset$ for $k \geq 4$. In Section 2, we prove that there exists a totally k -dot-critical graph with no critical vertices for each $k \geq 4$. In Section 3, we prove that a 4-dot-critical (*resp.* totally 4-dot-critical) graph has a diameter of at most five and this bound is sharp.

2. Totally k -dot-critical graphs with no critical vertices

The *Cartesian product* $G \square H$ of graphs G and H is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ and $(a, x)(b, y) \in E(G \square H)$ whenever $x = y$ and $ab \in E(G)$, or $a = b$ and $xy \in E(H)$.

Burton and Sumner [1] showed following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *If G is any graph with $\gamma(G) = k \geq 2$, then G is dot-critical (*resp.* totally dot-critical) if and only if every two adjacent non-critical vertices (*resp.* any two non-critical vertices) belong to a common MDS.*

Lemma 2.2. *If G is a dot-critical graph (*resp.* totally dot-critical graph) and $N[v] \subseteq N[u]$, then $v \in G'$.*

Klavžar and Seifter [3] studied the domination number of $C_4 \square C_k$, and showed:

Lemma 2.3. $\gamma(C_4 \square C_k) = k$ ($k \geq 4$).

We study the graphs $C_4 \square C_4$, $C_4 \square C_5$ and $C_3 \square C_6$. The following observation is straightforward to verify and we omit the details.

Observation 2.4. $C_4 \square C_4$ is a totally 4-dot-critical graph with $G' = \emptyset$, $C_4 \square C_5$ is not a totally 5-dot-critical with $G' = \emptyset$, and $C_3 \square C_6$ is a totally 5-dot-critical graph with $G' = \emptyset$.

Now, we consider the cases for $k \geq 6$.

Lemma 2.5. $\gamma((C_4 \square C_k).vu) = k - 1$ for any u and v in $V(C_4 \square C_k)$ ($k \geq 6$).

Proof. Without loss of generality, we need only to consider the cases for $u = v_{0,0}$, $v = v_{i,j}$ ($(i, j) \neq (0, 0)$).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4650547>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4650547>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)