

## Note

## Hoàng–Reed conjecture holds for tournaments

Frédéric Havet<sup>a</sup>, Stéphan Thomassé<sup>b</sup>, Anders Yeo<sup>c</sup><sup>a</sup>*Projet Mascotte, CNRS/INRIA/UNSA, INRIA Sophia-Antipolis, 2004 route des Lucioles BP 93, 06902 Sophia-Antipolis Cedex, France*<sup>b</sup>*LIRMM, 161 rue Ada, 34392 Montpellier Cedex 5, France*<sup>c</sup>*Department of Computer Science, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, Surrey TW20 OEX, UK*

Received 5 September 2006; received in revised form 31 May 2007; accepted 25 June 2007

Available online 1 August 2007

**Abstract**

Hoàng–Reed conjecture asserts that every digraph  $D$  has a collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of circuits  $C_1, \dots, C_{\delta^+}$ , where  $\delta^+$  is the minimum outdegree of  $D$ , such that the circuits of  $\mathcal{C}$  have a forest-like structure. Formally,  $|V(C_i) \cap (V(C_1) \cup \dots \cup V(C_{i-1}))| \leq 1$ , for all  $i = 2, \dots, \delta^+$ . We verify this conjecture for the class of tournaments.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Tournament; Triangle structure

**1. Introduction**

One of the most celebrated problems concerning digraphs is the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture (see [1]) asserting that every digraph  $D$  on  $n$  vertices and with minimum outdegree  $n/k$  has a circuit of length at most  $k$ . Little is known about this problem, and, more generally, questions concerning digraphs and involving the minimum outdegree tend to be intractable. As a consequence, many open problems flourished in this area, see [4] for a survey. The Hoàng–Reed conjecture [3] is one of these.

A *circuit-tree* is either a singleton or consists of a set of circuits  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  such that  $|V(C_i) \cap (V(C_1) \cup \dots \cup V(C_{i-1}))| = 1$  for all  $i = 2, \dots, k$ , where  $V(C_j)$  is the set of vertices of  $C_j$ . A less explicit, yet concise, definition is simply that a circuit-tree is a digraph in which there exists a unique  $xy$ -directed path for every distinct vertices  $x$  and  $y$ . A vertex-disjoint union of circuit-trees is a *circuit-forest*. When all circuits have length three, we speak of a *triangle-tree*. For short, a  $k$ -circuit-forest is a circuit-forest consisting of  $k$  circuits.

**Conjecture 1** (Hoàng and Reed [3]). Every digraph has a  $\delta^+$ -circuit-forest.

This conjecture is not even known to be true for  $\delta^+ = 3$ . In the case  $\delta^+ = 2$ , Thomassen [6] proved that every digraph with minimum outdegree two has two circuits intersecting on a vertex (i.e. contains a circuit-tree with two circuits). The motivation of the Hoàng–Reed conjecture is that it would imply the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture, as the reader can

E-mail addresses: [fhavet@sophia.inria.fr](mailto:fhavet@sophia.inria.fr) (F. Havet), [thomasse@lirmm.fr](mailto:thomasse@lirmm.fr) (S. Thomassé), [anders@cs.rhul.ac.uk](mailto:anders@cs.rhul.ac.uk) (A. Yeo).

easily check. Our goal in this paper is to show Conjecture 1 for the class of tournaments, i.e. orientations of complete graphs. Since this class is notoriously much simpler than general digraphs, our result is by no means a first step toward a better understanding of the problem. However, it gives a little bit of insight in the triangle-structure of a tournament  $T$ , that is the 3-uniform hypergraph on vertex set  $V$  which edges are the 3-circuits of  $T$ .

Indeed, if a tournament  $T$  has a  $\delta^+$ -circuit-forest, by the fact that every circuit contains a directed triangle,  $T$  also has a  $\delta^+$ -triangle-forest. Observe that a  $\delta^+$ -triangle-forest spans exactly  $2\delta^+ + c$  vertices, where  $c$  is the number of components of the triangle-forest. When  $T$  is a regular tournament with outdegree  $\delta^+$ , hence with  $2\delta^+ + 1$  vertices, a  $\delta^+$ -triangle-forest of  $T$  is necessarily a spanning  $\delta^+$ -triangle-tree. The main result of this paper establish the existence of such a tree for every tournament.

**Theorem 1.** *Every tournament has a  $\delta^+$ -triangle-tree.*

## 2. Components in bipartite graphs

We first need two lemmas in order to get lower bounds on the largest component of a bipartite graph in terms of the number of edges.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $k \geq 1$  and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$  and  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k$  be two sequences of positive reals. Let  $A = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i$  and  $B = \sum_{j=1}^k b_j$ . If  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i = (AB/2) + q$ , where  $q \geq 0$ , then there is an  $i$  such that  $a_i + b_i \geq ((A + B)/2) + \sqrt{2q}$ .*

**Proof.** If  $k = 1$ , then the lemma follows immediately as  $q = AB/2$  and  $A + B \geq ((A + B)/2) + \sqrt{AB}$ . So assume that  $k > 1$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $(a_1, b_1) \geq (a_2, b_2) \geq \dots \geq (a_k, b_k)$  in the lexicographical order. Let  $r$  be the minimum value such that  $b_r \geq b_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Note that  $a_1 \geq |A|/2$ , since otherwise  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i < \sum_{i=1}^k Ab_i/2 = AB/2$ . Analogously  $b_r \geq |B|/2$ . Define  $a'$  and  $b'$  so that  $a_1 = A/2 + a'$  and  $b_r = B/2 + b'$ .

If  $r \neq 1$ , then the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i &\leq a_1 b_1 + \sum_{i=2}^k a_i b_r \\ &\leq a_1 (B - b_r) + (A - a_1) b_r \\ &= \left(\frac{A}{2} + a'\right) \left(\frac{B}{2} - b'\right) + \left(\frac{A}{2} - a'\right) \left(\frac{B}{2} + b'\right) \\ &= \frac{AB}{2} - 2a'b' \\ &\leq \frac{AB}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $q \geq 0$ , this implies we have equality everywhere above, which means that  $b_1 = B - b_r$ . As  $B = b_1 + b_r$ , we must have  $k = 2$ . As there was equality everywhere above we have  $b' = 0$  or  $a' = 0$  which implies that  $a_1 = a_2 = A/2$  or  $b_1 = b_2 = B/2$ . In both cases we would have  $r = 1$ , a contradiction.

Suppose now that  $r = 1$ . Then

$$\frac{AB}{2} + q \leq a_1 b_1 + (A - a_1)(B - b_1) = \left(\frac{A}{2} + a'\right) \left(\frac{B}{2} + b'\right) + \left(\frac{A}{2} - a'\right) \left(\frac{B}{2} - b'\right).$$

This implies that  $q \leq 2a'b'$ . The minimum value of  $a' + b'$  is obtained when  $a' = b' = \sqrt{q/2}$ . Therefore, the minimum value of  $a_1 + b_1$  is  $A/2 + B/2 + 2\sqrt{q/2}$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph with partite sets  $A$  and  $B$ . If  $|E(G)| = (|A||B|/2) + q$ , where  $q \geq 0$ , then there is a component in  $G$  of size at least  $|V(G)|/2 + \sqrt{2q}$ .*

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4650564>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4650564>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)