

Note

p-adic valuations and *k*-regular sequences

Jason P. Bell

Department of Mathematics, Simon Fraser University, 8888 University Drive, Burnaby, BC, Canada V5A 1S6

Received 6 October 2006; received in revised form 6 December 2006; accepted 13 March 2007

Available online 15 March 2007

Abstract

A sequence is said to be *k*-automatic if the *n*th term of this sequence is generated by a finite state machine with *n* in base *k* as input. Regular sequences were first defined by Allouche and Shallit as a generalization of automatic sequences. Given a prime *p* and a polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$, we consider the sequence $\{v_p(f(n))\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where v_p is the *p*-adic valuation. We show that this sequence is *p*-regular if and only if $f(x)$ factors into a product of polynomials, one of which has no roots in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, the other which factors into linear polynomials over $\mathbb{Q}$. This answers a question of Allouche and Shallit.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Automatic sequences; *k*-regular sequences; Valuations; Polynomials

1. Introduction

A sequence is said to be *k*-automatic if the *n*th term of this sequence is generated by a finite state machine with *n* in base *k* as input. These sequences have found applications to many different areas of mathematics [3]. Another way of defining automaticity comes from looking at the *k*-kernel of a sequence. The *k*-kernel of a sequence $\{f(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is defined to be the collection of sequences of the form $\{f(k^i n + j)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ where $i \geq 0$ and $0 \leq j < k^i$. A sequence is *k*-automatic if and only if its *k*-kernel is finite. Using this definition of automaticity, Allouche and Shallit [1,3] generalized the notion of automaticity.

Given a sequence $\{f(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ taking values in some abelian group, we create a $\mathbb{Z}$ -module $M(\{f(n)\}; k)$ which is defined to be the $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generated by all sequences $\{f(k^i n + j)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where $i \geq 0$ and $0 \leq j < k^i$; that is,

$$M(\{f(n)\}; k) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{k^i-1} \mathbb{Z}\{f(k^i n + j)\}. \quad (1.1)$$

Definition 1.1. A sequence is *k*-regular if $M(\{f(n)\}; k)$ is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

Since the *k*-kernel of a sequence $\{f(n)\}$ spans $M(\{f(n)\}; k)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, we see that an automatic sequence is necessarily regular.

 E-mail address: jpb@math.sfu.ca.

Given a prime number p , we have a p -adic valuation v_p with the property that for any integer n , $n = p^{v_p(n)}m$ for some divisor m of n with m relatively prime to p . In addition to this, the valuation satisfies the standard properties of a non-archimedean valuation; namely,

- $v_p(ab) = v_p(a) + v_p(b)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_p$;
- $v_p(a + b) \geq \min(v_p(a), v_p(b))$; and
- $v_p(x) = \infty$ if and only if $x = 0$.

This valuation gives rise to an absolute value, denoted by $|\cdot|_p$, on $\mathbb{Q}_p$. It is defined by

$$|x|_p := p^{-v_p(x)}. \quad (1.2)$$

We are interested in sequences of the form $v_p(f(n))$, where $f(n)$ is a polynomial with rational coefficients and which has no natural number roots. The reason we avoid considering polynomials which have natural number roots is because $v_p(0) = \infty$ and we only consider sequences with integer values. Allouche and Shallit [1,3] ask when such a sequence is p -regular. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for this to occur. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f(x)$ be a nonzero polynomial with rational coefficients and let p be a prime number. Then $v_p(f(n))$ is a p -regular sequence if and only if $f(x)$ factors into a product of two polynomials, one of which splits over $\mathbb{Q}$ and the other of which has no roots in $\mathbb{Z}_p$.*

2. A well-known remark

We begin with a well-known result. We nevertheless include the proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$. Then $f(x)$ has a root in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ if and only if $f(x)$ has a solution mod $p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$ for all n .*

Proof. If $f(x)$ has a root in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, then it is clear that it has a root mod $p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$ for all n . Conversely, suppose that it has a root $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p \bmod p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$ for all n . Then $f(\alpha_n) \in p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$. Consider the set $\{\alpha_n | n \geq 0\}$. This is an infinite subset of $\mathbb{Z}_p$, a compact set. By the Bolzano–Weierstrass theorem, it must have a limit point $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. By continuity $f(\alpha) = 0$, and so $f(x)$ has a root in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. $\square$

We note that Hensel’s lemma is an effective tool for testing whether or not a polynomial has a root in $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Theorem 2.2 (Hensel’s lemma). *Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$. Suppose that $|f(a)|_p < |f'(a)|_p^2$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $f(x)$ has a root in $\mathbb{Z}_p$.*

Proof. See Theorem 7.3 of Eisenbud [4]. $\square$

3. Proofs

We now introduce some notation which will simplify the proofs in this section.

Notation 3.1. *Given a statement S , we define*

$$\chi(S) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } S \text{ is false;} \\ 1 & \text{if } S \text{ is true.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Then the sequence $\{v_p(n - \theta)\}$ is not p -regular.*

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4650854>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4650854>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)