

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Discrete Mathematics 306 (2006) 124-146

DISCRETE MATHEMATICS

www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

# Existence of *r*-self-orthogonal Latin squares $\stackrel{\text{tr}}{\sim}$

Yunqing Xu<sup>a</sup>, Yanxun Chang<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Mathematics Department, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, China <sup>b</sup>Mathematics Department, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, China

Received 8 May 2004; received in revised form 1 October 2005; accepted 12 October 2005 Available online 4 January 2006

#### Abstract

Two Latin squares of order v are r-orthogonal if their superposition produces exactly r distinct ordered pairs. If the second square is the transpose of the first one, we say that the first square is r-self-orthogonal, denoted by r-SOLS(v). It has been proved that for any integer  $v \ge 28$ , there exists an r-SOLS(v) if and only if  $v \le r \le v^2$  and  $r \notin \{v + 1, v^2 - 1\}$ . In this paper, we give an almost complete solution for the existence of r-self-orthogonal Latin squares. © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

© 2005 Elsevier B. v. All fights festived.

Keywords: Latin square; r-Orthogonal; r-Self-orthogonal; Transversal

## 1. Introduction

Two Latin squares of order v,  $L = (l_{ij})$  and  $M = (m_{ij})$ , are said to be *r*-orthogonal if their superposition produces exactly *r* distinct pairs, that is

 $|\{(l_{ij}, m_{ij}): 0 \leq i, j \leq v - 1\}| = r.$ 

Belyavskaya (see [2–4]) first systematically treated the following question: for which integers v and r does a pair of r-orthogonal Latin squares of order v exist? Evidently,  $v \le r \le v^2$ , and an easy argument establishes that  $r \notin \{v+1, v^2-1\}$ . In papers by Colbourn and Zhu [8], Zhu and Zhang [19,20], this question has been completely answered, and the final result is in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** (*Zhu and Zhang* [20, *Theorem 2.1*]). For any integer  $v \ge 2$ , there exists a pair of r-orthogonal Latin squares of order v if and only if  $v \le r \le v^2$  and  $r \notin \{v + 1, v^2 - 1\}$  with the exceptions of v and r shown in Table 1.

In a pair of *r*-orthogonal Latin squares of order v, if the second square is the transpose of the first one, we say that the first square is *r*-self-orthogonal, denoted by *r*-SOLS(v). When an *r*-SOLS(v) exists, we can simply list only one square for a pair of *r*-orthogonal Latin squares of order v.

 $<sup>\</sup>stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle\!\!\!\!\wedge}{\sim}$  Research supported by NSFC 10371002.

E-mail addresses: yqxu@mail.edu.cn (Y. Xu), yxchang@center.njtu.edu.cn (Y. Chang).

<sup>0012-365</sup>X/\$ - see front matter @ 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2005.11.012

order v	Genuine exceptions of r
2	4
3	5, 6, 7
4	7, 10, 11, 13, 14
5	8, 9, 20, 22, 23
6	33, 36

For the existence of an *r*-SOLS(*v*), we have the necessary condition in Theorem 1.1, i.e.,  $v \le r \le v^2$  and  $r \notin \{v + 1, v^2 - 1\}$ . It is well-known that an SOLS(*v*) exists if and only if  $v \ne 2, 3, 6$  (see, for example, [18]). This solves the case of  $r = v^2$ . For the case of r = v, we take the symmetric Latin square

 $L = (a_{ij}), \quad a_{ij} = i + j \pmod{v}, \quad i, j \in Z_v.$ 

It is easily seen that L is a v-SOLS(v), and we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** There exist v-SOLS(v) for all integer v > 0, and  $v^2$ -SOLS(v) for all integer v > 0,  $v \neq 2, 3, 6$ .

So, we can focus on the cases  $v + 1 < r < v^2 - 1$  for the existence of an *r*-SOLS(*v*). For small orders, we have the following results.

**Theorem 1.3** (*Zhu and Zhang* [20]). For order v = 4, there is only one  $r \in [v + 1, v^2 - 1]$ , namely r = 9, such that an *r*-SOLS(v) exists.

For v = 5 and  $v + 1 < r < v^2 - 1$ , there is an r-SOLS(5) for  $r \in \{7, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 21\}$  only. For v = 6 and  $v + 1 < r < v^2 - 1$ , there is an r-SOLS(6) for  $r \in [8, 31]$  only. For v = 7 and  $v + 1 < r < v^2 - 1$ , there is an r-SOLS(7) for all  $r \in [9, 47] \setminus \{46\}$  only.

r-SOLS(8) for all  $r \in [10, 62]$  are listed at the web site http://www.cs.uiowa.edu/~hzhang/sr/. So we have

**Theorem 1.4.** There exists an r-SOLS(8) for every  $r \in [8, 64] \setminus \{9, 63\}$ .

Zhu and Zhang [20, Conjecture 3.1] conjectured that there is an integer  $v_0$  such that for any  $v \ge v_0$ , there exists an *r*-SOLS(*v*) for any  $r \in [v, v^2] \setminus \{v + 1, v^2 - 1\}$ . The authors [14] have shown that  $v_0 \le 28$ .

**Theorem 1.5** (*Xu and Chang* [14, *Theorem* 6.4]). For any integer  $v \ge 28$ , there exists an r-SOLS(v) if and only if  $v \le r \le v^2$  and  $r \notin \{v + 1, v^2 - 1\}$ .

In this paper, we investigate the existence of *r*-SOLS(*v*) for the remaining  $v, 9 \le v \le 27$ .

### 2. Direct constructions

Let *S* be a set and *L* and *M* be two Latin squares based on *S*. If the superposition of *L* and *M* yields every ordered pair in  $S \times S$ , then *L* and *M* is said to be a pair of mutually orthogonal Latin squares, and denoted by MOLS(|S|), where |S| is the cardinality of *S*.

Let  $\mathscr{H} = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k\}$  be a set of nonempty subsets of *S*. A holey (or, *incomplete*) Latin square having hole set  $\mathscr{H}$  is an  $|S| \times |S|$  array, *L*, indexed by *S*, which satisfies the following properties:

(1) every cell of *L* is either empty or contains a symbol of *S*,

(2) every symbol of S occurs at most once in any row or column of L,

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4651270

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4651270

Daneshyari.com