



A matroid analogue of a theorem of Brooks for



## James Oxley

graphs

Mathematics Department, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 September 2015 Accepted 29 October 2015 Available online 23 November 2015

### ABSTRACT

Brooks proved that the chromatic number of a loopless connected graph G is at most the maximum degree of G unless G is an odd cycle or a clique. This note proves an analogue of this theorem for GF(p)-representable matroids when p is prime, thereby verifying a natural generalization of a conjecture of Peter Nelson.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

The matroid terminology and notation used here will follow [8]. For a matroid M having ground set E and rank function r, the *chromatic* or *characteristic polynomial* of M is defined by

$$p(M; \lambda) = \sum_{X \subseteq E} (-1)^{|X|} \lambda^{r(M) - r(X)}.$$

If *M* is the cycle matroid of a graph *G* and *G* has  $\omega(G)$  components, then the chromatic polynomial  $P_G(\lambda)$  of the graph *G* is linked to the chromatic polynomial of its cycle matroid M(G) via the following equation:

$$P_G(\lambda) = \lambda^{\omega(G)} p(M(G); \lambda).$$

Of course, the chromatic number  $\chi(G)$  of G is the smallest positive integer j for which  $P_G(j)$  is positive unless G has a loop, in which case, the chromatic number is  $\infty$ . Let M be a rank-r simple matroid that is representable over GF(q) and let T be a subset of PG(r - 1, q) such that  $M \cong PG(r - 1, q)|T$ . Let Q be a flat of PG(r - 1, q) that avoids T and has maximum rank. The *critical exponent* c(M; q) of M is r - r(Q). If M is loopless but has parallel elements, we define c(M; q) = c(si(M); q). If M has a loop,

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2015.10.011

E-mail address: oxley@math.lsu.edu.

<sup>0195-6698/© 2015</sup> Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

 $c(M; q) = \infty$ . Ostensibly, c(M; q) depends on the embedding of M in PG(r - 1, q) but the following fundamental result of Crapo and Rota [4] establishes that this is not the case.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let M be a loopless matroid that is representable over GF(q). Then

 $c(M; q) = \min\{j : p(M; q^j) > 0\}.$ 

Evidently, the critical exponent is an analogue of the chromatic number of a graph. Indeed, Geelen and Nelson [5] use the term 'critical number' rather than 'critical exponent' to highlight this analogy. For a loopless graph G, it is immediate that, for all prime powers q,

 $q^{c(M(G);q)-1} < \chi(G) \le q^{c(M(G);q)}.$ 

Brooks [1] proved the following well-known result. For a graph *G*, let  $\Delta(G)$  denote its maximum vertex degree.

Theorem 1.2. Let G be a loopless connected graph. Then

$$\chi(G) \le \Delta(G) + 1.$$

Indeed,  $\chi(G) \leq \Delta(G)$  unless G is an odd cycle or a complete graph.

The purpose of this note is to prove the following analogue of this result for GF(q)-representable matroids when q is prime. This new result was essentially conjectured by Peter Nelson [7]. An alternative analogue of Brooks' Theorem, one for regular matroids, was proved in [9, Theorem 2.12].

**Theorem 1.3.** Let p be a prime and M be a loopless non-empty GF(p)-representable matroid whose largest cocircuit has  $c^*$  elements. Then

 $c(M; p) \leq \lceil \log_p(1 + c^*) \rceil.$ 

Indeed, if *M* is connected, then  $c(M; p) \leq \lceil \log_p c^* \rceil$  unless *M* is a projective geometry or *M* is an odd circuit, where the latter only occurs when p = 2.

The requirement that *M* be connected appears in the last part of the theorem only to streamline the statement. It is not difficult to state a result in the absence of that requirement since the critical exponent of a loopless matroid *M* is the maximum of the critical exponents of its components while the maximum cocircuit size of *M* is the maximum of the maximum cocircuit sizes of its components.

We conjecture that Theorem 1.3 remains true if p is replaced by an arbitrary prime power q, but the proof technique used here only works when q is prime.

#### 2. The proof

The proof of the main result will use three lemmas, the first of which is [9, Theorem 3.5]. For a matroid M, let  $\mathcal{R}(M)$  be the set of simple restrictions of M, and let  $\mathcal{C}^*(M)$  be the set of cocircuits of M.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let M be a GF(q)-representable matroid having no loops. Then

 $c(M; q) \leq \lceil \log_q(1 + \max_{N \in \mathcal{R}(M)} (\min_{C^* \in \mathcal{C}^*(N)} |C^*|)) \rceil.$ 

Murty [6] considered the class of matroids in which all circuits have the same cardinality. His main result, which can be stated as follows, determined all binary matroids with this property.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let *M* be a connected binary matroid with at least two elements. Then every cocircuit of *M* has the same cardinality if and only if, for some positive integer *t*, the matroid *M* can be obtained by adding t - 1 elements in parallel to each element of one of the following:

(i)  $U_{r,r+1}$  for some  $r \ge 2$ ; (ii) PG(r-1, 2) for some  $r \ge 1$ ; or (iii) AG(r-1, 2) for some  $r \ge 2$ . Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4653319

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4653319

Daneshyari.com