



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## European Journal of Combinatorics

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/ejc](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ejc)

# A formula for simplicial tree-numbers of matroid complexes



Woong Kook, Kang-Ju Lee

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 27 December 2014

Accepted 4 November 2015

Available online 7 December 2015

## ABSTRACT

We give a formula for the simplicial tree-numbers of the independent set complex of a finite matroid  $M$  as a product of eigenvalues of the total combinatorial Laplacians on this complex. Two matroid invariants emerge naturally in describing the multiplicities of these eigenvalues in the formula: one is the unsigned reduced Euler characteristic of the independent set complex and the other is the  $\beta$ -invariant of a matroid. We will demonstrate various applications of this formula including a “matroid theoretic” derivation of Kalai’s simplicial tree-numbers of a standard simplex.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we will prove a formula for the simplicial tree-numbers of the independent set complex  $IN(M)$  of a finite matroid  $M$ . Simplicial trees for simplicial complexes have been studied as a generalization of spanning trees for graphs [7,1,4]. In these studies, combinatorial Laplacians for simplicial complexes play a role analogous to that of graph Laplacians for graphs. We will review simplicial tree-numbers and combinatorial Laplacians in Section 2. Since eigenvalues of combinatorial Laplacians on matroid complexes are known [11], one may ask whether the simplicial tree-numbers for these complexes can be computed [12,4]. We give an answer to this question in this paper.

The formula is given as a product of eigenvalues of the combinatorial Laplacians on  $IN(M)$ . We refer the readers to [11] for the integrality of these eigenvalues. Two matroid invariants will appear naturally in describing the multiplicities of the eigenvalues in this product. One is the unsigned reduced Euler characteristic of  $IN(M)$ , and the other is Crapo’s  $\beta$ -invariant of  $M$ . For the sake of

E-mail addresses: [woongkook@snu.ac.kr](mailto:woongkook@snu.ac.kr) (W. Kook), [leekj0706@snu.ac.kr](mailto:leekj0706@snu.ac.kr) (K.-J. Lee).

simplicity, we will refer to the former as the  $\alpha$ -invariant of  $M$ , and denote the two invariants by  $\alpha(M)$  and  $\beta(M)$ , respectively. We will review these invariants in Section 2, also.

Our main result (Theorem 5) can be stated as follows. Let  $M$  be a matroid of rank  $d + 1$  on a finite ground set  $E$ , and  $L(M)$  its lattice of flats. For  $V \in L(M)$ , let  $M/V$  be the contraction of  $V$  from  $M$ . For an integer  $\lambda \in [0, |E|]$ , we define  $L(M)_\lambda = \{V \in L(M) : |E \setminus V| = \lambda\}$ , and define the convolution  $\alpha \circ_\lambda \beta$  of  $\alpha$ -invariant and  $\beta$ -invariant for  $M$  with respect to the given  $\lambda$  to be

$$\alpha \circ_\lambda \beta = \sum_{V \in L(M)_\lambda} \alpha(V)\beta(M/V).$$

Then, the simplicial tree-number  $k_d$  of  $IN(M)$  in the top dimension  $d$  is

$$k_d = \prod_{\lambda \in (0, |E|]} \lambda^{\alpha \circ_\lambda \beta}$$

where the product is over all positive integers  $\lambda \in (0, |E|]$  (with  $L(M)_\lambda \neq \emptyset$ ).

This formula can be used to give simplicial tree-numbers  $k_i$  for  $IN(M)$  in other dimensions  $i$ . For  $-1 \leq i \leq d$ , we observe that  $k_i$  is the top dimensional simplicial tree-number of  $IN(T^{i+1}(M))$ , where  $T^{i+1}(M)$  is the truncation obtained from  $M$  by ignoring all independent sets of rank  $> i + 1$ . Therefore, the main result can be applied to the matroid  $T^{i+1}(M)$  to compute  $k_i$ . We shall demonstrate these computations via an example later.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will review all preliminary definitions and results concerning simplicial tree-numbers, combinatorial Laplacians, and  $\alpha(M)$  and  $\beta(M)$  of a finite matroid  $M$ . In Section 3, we will present a proof of the main result, Theorem 5. Section 4 will discuss applications of Theorem 5, including a new derivation of Kalai’s classical result on standard simplex [7]. We refer the readers to [13] or [15] for terminologies and definitions from matroid theory that are used in this paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Simplicial tree-numbers

In this paper, simplicial tree-numbers mean high-dimensional tree-numbers of a simplicial complex (refer to [4,5,8]). A non-empty simplicial complex  $\Gamma$  is said to be  $\mathbb{Z}$ -acyclic in positive codimensions (shortly,  $\mathbb{Z}$ -APC) if the reduced integral homology  $\tilde{H}_i(\Gamma) = 0$  for  $i < \dim \Gamma$  (refer to [4]). Note that the independent set complex  $IN(M)$  of a finite (non-empty) matroid  $M$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -APC because it is shellable (refer to [2]).

Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -APC complex of dimension  $d$ . For  $i \in [0, d]$ , let  $\Gamma_i$  denote the set of all  $i$ -dim simplices in  $\Gamma$ . The  $i$ -skeleton of  $\Gamma$  is  $\Gamma^{(i)} = \Gamma_{-1} \cup \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_i$ , where we define  $\Gamma_{-1} = \{\emptyset\}$ . For a non-empty subset  $S \subset \Gamma_i$ , define  $\Gamma_S := S \cup \Gamma^{(i-1)}$  as an  $i$ -dimensional subcomplex of  $\Gamma$ . For  $i \in [-1, d]$ , a non-empty subset  $B \subset \Gamma_i$  is an  $i$ -dimensional simplicial tree (or simply,  $i$ -tree) if

- (1)  $\tilde{H}_i(\Gamma_B) = 0$ , and
- (2)  $|\tilde{H}_{i-1}(\Gamma_B)|$  is finite.

Note that  $\tilde{H}_j(\Gamma_B) = 0$  for  $j \leq i - 2$  is a consequence of the fact  $\Gamma_B^{(i-1)} = \Gamma^{(i-1)}$ . We will denote the set of all  $i$ -trees in  $\Gamma$  by  $\mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{B}_i(\Gamma)$  with  $\mathcal{B}_{-1} = \{\{\emptyset\}\}$ .

Define the  $i$ -th simplicial tree-number (or simply,  $i$ -th tree-number) of  $\Gamma$  to be

$$k_i = k_i(\Gamma) = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_i} |\tilde{H}_{i-1}(\Gamma_B)|^2.$$

We have  $k_{-1} = 1$  by definition, and  $k_0 = |\Gamma_0|$ . If  $\Gamma$  is a connected graph, then  $k_1$  is the number of spanning trees in  $\Gamma$  because  $|\tilde{H}_0(\Gamma_B)| = 1$  for  $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$ . However,  $|\tilde{H}_{i-1}(\Gamma_B)|$  may not equal 1 for  $B \in \mathcal{B}_i$  when  $i > 1$ . Refer to [7] for an example.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4653321>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4653321>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)