



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

European Journal of Combinatorics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ejc

Domination number and Laplacian eigenvalue distribution



Stephen T. Hedetniemi^a, David P. Jacobs^a, Vilmar Trevisan^b

^a School of Computing, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634, USA

^b Instituto de Matemática, UFRGS, 91509–900 Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 7 April 2015

Accepted 18 November 2015

Available online 7 December 2015

ABSTRACT

Let $m_G(I)$ denote the number of Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph G in an interval I . Our main result is that for graphs having domination number γ , $m_G[0, 1) \leq \gamma$, improving existing bounds in the literature. For many graphs, $m_G[0, 1) = \gamma$, or $m_G[0, 1) = \gamma - 1$.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Given $G = (V, E)$, an undirected graph with vertex set $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, its *adjacency matrix* $A = [a_{ij}]$ is the $n \times n$ 0–1 matrix for which $a_{ij} = 1$ if and only if v_i and v_j are adjacent. The *Laplacian matrix* of G is defined as $L_G = D - A$, where $D = [d_{ij}]$ is the diagonal matrix in which $d_{ii} = \deg(v_i)$, the degree of v_i . The *Laplacian spectrum* of G is the multi-set of eigenvalues of L_G , we number $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n = 0$. We write $\lambda_i(G)$ for λ_i if ambiguity exists. All eigenvalues in this paper are Laplacian, and we refer to [19,20] for more background. Throughout, δ denotes the minimum vertex degree.

It is known that for graphs G of order n , $\lambda_1 \leq n$ with equality if and only if \bar{G} is disconnected ([17], p. 148). For trees T , $\lambda_1 = n$ if and only if T is the star S_n . We are interested in the distribution of Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs. Given a real interval I , and graph G , we let $m_G(I)$ denote the number of Laplacian eigenvalues, multiplicities included, of G in I .

In [16] Merris gives a lower bound for $m_G(2, n]$ in connected graphs of order $n > 2$, and [10] contains many results including lower bounds for $m_G[0, 1)$ and $m_G[1, n]$. Recall that the *distance* between two vertices u and v is the number of edges in a shortest path between them, and the graph's

E-mail addresses: hedet@clemson.edu (S.T. Hedetniemi), dpj@clemson.edu (D.P. Jacobs), trevisan@mat.ufrgs.br (V. Trevisan).

diameter is the greatest distance between any two vertices. For trees T it is known (see [10]) that $m_T(0, 2) \geq \lfloor \frac{\text{diam}}{2} \rfloor \leq m_T(2, n)$. Guo [11] showed that in trees, $\lambda_k \leq \lceil \frac{n}{k} \rceil$ for each k , $1 \leq k \leq n$.

A graph's edge covering number, denoted α_1 , is the minimum number of edges needed to cover all vertices. Its matching number β_1 is the maximum number of independent edges. In a graph without isolates, they are related by the Gallai formula $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 = n$. In [12] Guo et al. showed (Thm. 4 and Cor. 6) that for connected graphs G , $m_G[1, n] \geq \alpha_1$ and $m_G[0, 1] \leq \beta_1$.

A set $S \subseteq V$ is dominating if every $v \in V - S$ is adjacent to some member in S . The domination number γ is the minimum size of a dominating set. The corresponding decision problem for γ is known to be NP-complete, even for planar graphs [9].

There is a considerable body of results that relate γ and the Laplacian spectrum. The earliest such work seems to be the 1996 paper [5] in which Brand and Seifter showed that $\lambda_1 < n - \lceil \frac{\gamma-2}{2} \rceil$, for connected graphs with $\gamma \geq 3$. Recently in [25], their upper bound for λ_1 , called the Laplacian spectral radius, was improved by Xing and Zhou who showed $\lambda_1 \leq n - \gamma + 2$, when $2 \leq \gamma \leq n - 1$. In [21] Nikiforov obtained the lower bound $\lambda_1 \geq \lceil \frac{n}{\gamma} \rceil$ when $n \geq 2$, and characterized when equality occurs.

Bounds for the second smallest Laplacian eigenvalue λ_{n-1} , known as the algebraic connectivity, can be found in [1] as well as [13], where it is shown that if G has no isolated vertices, then $\lambda_{n-1} \leq n - 2(\gamma - 1)$.

Studies relating the domination number to the Laplacian spectrum of trees include [8], in which the authors determined which trees attain minimal Laplacian spectral radius, where $n = k\gamma$ and $\gamma = 2, 3, 4$. In [26] it is shown that $m_T[0, 2] \leq n - \gamma$ in trees T . The domination number also appears in spectral studies of the adjacency, signless Laplacian, and distance matrices, including [25] and papers cited therein.

Our main result is that if G has domination number γ , then $m_G[0, 1] \leq \gamma$ and $m_G[1, n] \geq n - \gamma$. Since it is known [14, p. 58–59] that in isolate-free graphs, $\gamma \leq \beta_1$, and so $n - \gamma \geq n - \beta_1 = \alpha_1$, our results provide improvements over the bounds in [12]. Observe that we cannot say $m_T(1, n) \geq n - \gamma$, since the star S_3 has domination number 1, and Laplacian spectrum 0, 1, 3.

In the next section, we give some necessary lemmas. In Section 3 we give several upper bounds on γ , and prove our main result. In Section 4 we observe that for many graphs, $m_G[0, 1]$ and γ are equal or close. We also give formulas for $m_G[0, 1]$ when G is a path or cycle, and exhibit a tree T for which $m_T[0, 1] < \gamma$. In Section 5 we obtain some lower bounds. For graphs having a hamiltonian path or cycle there are at least $2\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ eigenvalues in $[1, n]$, and at least $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ in $[3, n]$. Using a theorem of Egawa and Ota we show that if G has order $n \geq 10$, with $\delta \geq \frac{n+2}{4}$, then nearly three-fourths of its Laplacian eigenvalues are in $[1, n]$, and nearly one-fourth are in $[4, n]$.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper, a star S_n is the complete bipartite graph $K_{1, n-1}$, and $n \geq 2$. Lemma 1 is well-known and Lemma 2 is easy to show. Lemma 3 is the Weyl monotonicity theorem, also well-known (e.g. [6, Thm. 2.8.1]).

Lemma 1. The star S_n on n vertices has Laplacian spectrum 0, 1^{n-2} , n .

Lemma 2. For graphs $G_1 = (V, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V, E_2)$ where $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$, and $G = (V, E_1 \cup E_2)$, we have $L_G = L_{G_1} + L_{G_2}$.

Lemma 3. If A and B are Hermitian matrices of order n , and B is positive semi-definite, then $\lambda_i(A+B) \geq \lambda_i(A)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Lemma 4. Let $G = (V, E)$ and $H = (V, F)$ be graphs with $F \subseteq E$. Then

- (1) for all i , $\lambda_i(H) \leq \lambda_i(G)$;
- (2) for any a , $m_H[0, a] \geq m_G[0, a]$;
- (3) for any a , $m_H[a, n] \leq m_G[a, n]$.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4653322>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4653322>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)