



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A

www.elsevier.com/locate/jcta



Forbidding intersection patterns between layers of the cube



Eoin Long

School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 7 August 2013

Available online 30 March 2015

Keywords:

Sperner family

Antichain

Set intersection

Cube

ABSTRACT

A family $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}[n]$ is said to be an antichain if $A \not\subset B$ for all distinct $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. A classic result of Sperner shows that such families satisfy $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$, which is easily seen to be best possible. One can view the antichain condition as a restriction on the intersection sizes between sets in different layers of $\mathcal{P}[n]$. More generally one can ask, given a collection of intersection restrictions between the layers, how large can families respecting these restrictions be? Answering a question of Kalai [8], we show that for most collections of such restrictions, layered families are asymptotically largest. This extends results of Leader and the author from [11].

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Inc.

1. Introduction

A family $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}[n]$ is said to be an antichain if $A \not\subset B$ for all distinct $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. A classic result in extremal combinatorics is Sperner's theorem [13], which shows that any such family \mathcal{A} has size at most $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$. This is easily seen to be best possible. This result has been hugely influential, having numerous interesting applications and extensions (for example, see [2] and [4] for an overview of some of these directions).

E-mail addresses: E.P.Long@qmul.ac.uk, Eoin.Long@maths.ox.ac.uk.

Recently, Sperner's theorem was applied in a new proof of Furstenberg and Katznelson's density Hales–Jewett theorem by the polymath internet project ([12,6]). Here, roughly speaking, Sperner's theorem (and a multi-dimensional extension of Gundersen, Rödl and Sidorenko [7]) form a base level of an induction hypothesis. While weaker than Sperner's theorem, a crucial fact here was that any Sperner family $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}[n]$ satisfies $|\mathcal{A}| = o(2^n)$.

Motivated by its place in the proof of the density Hales–Jewett theorem, Kalai [8] asked whether it is possible to obtain similar results for other ‘Sperner-like conditions’. One example of such a condition was the tilted Sperner condition considered in [11]. Kalai noted that the Sperner condition can be rephrased as follows: \mathcal{A} does not contain two sets A and B such that, in the unique subcube of $\mathcal{P}[n]$ spanned by A and B , A is the bottom point and B is the top point. He asked: what happens if we forbid A and B to be at a different position in this subcube? In particular, he asked how large $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}[n]$ can be if we forbid A and B to be at a ‘fixed ratio’ $p : q$ in this subcube. That is, we forbid A to be $p/(p+q)$ of the way up this subcube and B to be $q/(p+q)$ of the way up this subcube. Equivalently, $q|A \setminus B| \neq p|B \setminus A|$ for all distinct $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that the Sperner condition corresponds to taking $p = 0$ and $q = 1$. In [11], an asymptotically tight answer was given for all ratios $p : q$, showing that one cannot improve on the ‘obvious’ example, namely the $q - p$ middle layers of $\mathcal{P}[n]$.

Theorem 1.1. (See [11].) *Let p, q be coprime natural numbers with $q \geq p$. Suppose $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}[n]$ does not contain distinct A, B with $q|A \setminus B| = p|B \setminus A|$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{A}| \leq (q - p + o(1)) \binom{n}{n/2}. \quad (1.1)$$

Up to the $o(1)$ term, this is best possible. Indeed, the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [11] also gives the exact maximum size of such \mathcal{A} for infinitely many values of n .

Here we will view the Sperner condition from a slightly different perspective. Given $i \in [0, n]$, let $[n]^{(i)} = \{A \subset \{1, \dots, n\} : |A| = i\}$ and given a family of sets $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}[n]$, let $\mathcal{A}^{(i)}$ denote the set $\mathcal{A}^{(i)} = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : |A| = i\}$.

Definition. A family $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}[n]$ satisfies an x_{ij} -pairwise restriction between layers i and j of the cube if $|A \setminus B| \neq x_{ij}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}^{(i)}$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}^{(j)}$.

Both the Sperner and tilted Sperner conditions can be viewed as collections of pairwise restrictions between layers of the cube. Indeed, \mathcal{A} is a Sperner family if and only if $|A \setminus B| \neq 0$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}^{(i)}$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}^{(j)}$ whenever $i < j$. Similarly the tilted Sperner conditions can be viewed as collections of pairwise restrictions; for example, a small calculation shows that \mathcal{A} is a $1 : 2$ -tilted Sperner family if and only if $|A \setminus B| \neq j - i$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}^{(i)}$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}^{(j)}$ for some pairs $\{i, j\}$ (those $i < j$ which satisfy $j \leq 2i$ and $2j - i \leq n$). The main question we consider in this paper is the following: given

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4655118>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4655118>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)