



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A

www.elsevier.com/locate/jcta


Cayley compositions, partitions, polytopes, and geometric bijections


 Matjaž Konvalinka^a, Igor Pak^{b,1}
^a Department of Mathematics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

^b Department of Mathematics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 June 2013

Available online 7 December 2013

Keywords:

Cayley composition

Integer partition

Convex polytope

Ehrhart polynomial

Bijective proof

ABSTRACT

In 1857, Cayley showed that certain sequences, now called *Cayley compositions*, are equinumerous with certain partitions into powers of 2. In this paper we give a simple bijective proof of this result and a geometric generalization to equality of Ehrhart polynomials between two convex polytopes. We then apply our results to give a new proof of *Braun's conjecture* proved recently by the authors [15].

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

0. Introduction and main results

Partition theory is a classical field with a number of advanced modern results and applications. Its long and tumultuous history left behind a number of beautiful results which are occasionally brought to light to wide acclaim. The story of the so called *Cayley compositions* is a prime example of this. Introduced and studied by Cayley in 1857 [7], they were rediscovered by Minc [17], and remained largely forgotten until Andrews, Paule, Riese and Strehl [2] resurrected and christened them in 2001. This is when things became really interesting.

Theorem 1. (See Cayley [7].) *The number of integer sequences (a_1, \dots, a_n) such that $1 \leq a_1 \leq 2$, and $1 \leq a_{i+1} \leq 2a_i$ for $1 \leq i < n$, is equal to the total number of partitions of integers $N \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$ into parts $1, 2, 4, \dots, 2^{n-1}$.*

Our first result is a long elusive bijective proof of Cayley's theorem, and its several extensions. Our bijection construction is geometric, based on our approach in [18].

E-mail address: pak@math.ucla.edu (I. Pak).

¹ Fax: +1 310 206 6673.

Denote by \mathcal{A}_n the set of sequences (a_1, \dots, a_n) satisfying the conditions of the theorem, which are called *Cayley compositions*. Denote by \mathcal{B}_n the set of partitions into powers of 2 as in the theorem, which we call *Cayley partitions*. Now [Theorem 1](#) states that $|\mathcal{A}_n| = |\mathcal{B}_n|$. For example,

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4)\}, \quad \mathcal{B}_2 = \{21, 2, 1^3, 1^2, 1, \emptyset\},$$

so $|\mathcal{A}_2| = |\mathcal{B}_2| = 6$. Following [\[4\]](#), define the *Cayley polytope* \mathbf{A}_n to be the convex hull of all Cayley compositions $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The main result of this paper is the following geometric extension of [Theorem 1](#). Recall that the *Ehrhart polynomial* $\mathcal{E}_P(t)$ of a lattice polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_P(k) = \#\{kP \cap \mathbb{Z}^n\},$$

where kP denotes the k -fold dilation of P , $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (see e.g. [\[3\]](#)).

Theorem 2. *Let \mathcal{B}_n be the set of Cayley partitions, where a partition of the form $(2^{n-1})^{m_1} (2^{n-2})^{m_2} \dots 1^{m_n}$ is identified with an integer point $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Now let $\mathbf{B}_n = \text{conv } \mathcal{B}_n$. Then $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{A}_n}(t) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{B}_n}(t)$.*

In particular, when $t = 1$, we obtain Cayley’s theorem. Our proof is based on an explicit volume-preserving map $\varphi : \mathbf{B}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_n$, which satisfies a number of interesting properties. In particular, when restricted to integer points, this map gives the bijection $\varphi : \mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n$ mentioned above (see [Proposition 6](#)).

In [\[4\]](#), Ben Braun made an interesting conjecture about the volume of \mathbf{A}_n , which was recently proved by the authors [\[15\]](#). Denote by \mathcal{C}_n the set of connected graphs on n nodes, and let $C_n = |\mathcal{C}_n|$.

Theorem 3 (Formerly Braun’s conjecture). *(See [\[15\]](#).) Let $\mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the set of Cayley compositions, and let $\mathbf{A}_n = \text{conv } \mathcal{A}_n$ be the Cayley polytope. Then $\text{vol } \mathbf{A}_n = C_{n+1}/n!$.*

Combined with [Theorem 2](#), we immediately have $\text{vol } \mathbf{B}_n = \text{vol } \mathbf{A}_n$, and conclude:

Corollary 4. *Let \mathcal{B}_n be the polytope defined above. Then $\text{vol } \mathbf{B}_n = C_{n+1}/n!$.*

Curiously, one can also use $\text{vol } \mathbf{B}_n = \text{vol } \mathbf{A}_n$ in reverse, and derive [Theorem 3](#) from [Theorem 2](#) and known results on *Stanley–Pitman polytopes* (see below).

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. In [Section 1](#) we prove [Theorems 1 and 2](#) using an explicit bijection φ . Some applications are given in [Section 2](#), followed by a new proof of [Theorem 3](#) in [Section 3](#). We finish with final remarks in [Section 4](#).

1. Bijection construction

Recall from [\[4,15\]](#) (or observe directly from the definition) that Cayley polytope $\mathbf{A}_n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by the following inequalities:

$$1 \leq x_1 \leq 2, \quad 1 \leq x_2 \leq 2x_1, \quad \dots, \quad 1 \leq x_n \leq 2x_{n-1}.$$

Consider a basis

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 2, 4, \dots, 2^{n-1}), \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = (0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-2}), \quad \dots, \quad \mathbf{e}_n = (0, 0, \dots, 1),$$

and a map $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined as follows:

$$\varphi(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) = (2, 4, \dots, 2^n) - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \mathbf{e}_i.$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4655354>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4655354>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)