



Ramsey ultrafilters and Countable-to-one Uniformization



Richard Ketchersid^a, Paul Larson^{b,*}, Jindřich Zapletal^{c,2}

^a Mathematics Department, University of Arizona, 617 N. Santa Rita Ave., P.O. Box 210089, Tucson, AZ 85721-0089, USA

^b Department of Mathematics, Miami University, Oxford, OH 45056, USA

^c Department of Mathematics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611-8105, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 23 August 2015

Accepted 19 October 2015

Available online 20 August 2016

Keywords:

Ultrafilters

Ramsey property

Forcing

Axiom of Choice

ABSTRACT

We show that Countable-to-one Uniformization is preserved by forcing with $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$ over a model of ZF in which every set of reals is completely Ramsey. We also give an exposition of Todorćević's theorem that Ramsey ultrafilters are generic for $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$ over suitable inner models.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

This paper presents a result on models of the form $M[U]$, where M is an inner model of ZF satisfying certain regularity properties inconsistent with the Axiom of Choice, and U is a Ramsey ultrafilter on the integers. Such extensions have been studied by several authors, notably Henle, Mathias and Woodin [6] and Di Prisco and Todorćević [2,3], where the model M is variously taken to be a Solovay model or an inner model of Determinacy in the presence of large cardinals. Our result is that Countable-to-one Uniformization (a weak form of the Axiom of Choice; see the first paragraph of Section 3) is preserved by forcing with $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$ over a model of ZF in which every set of reals is completely Ramsey (this includes many standard models of determinacy; see Section 3 and Subsection 1.2). In conjunction with the main result of [12], this fact can be used to show that there is no injection from $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$ to \mathbb{R} in models of the form the $M[U]$ considered here (a result previously proved in [3] by other means).

We let Fin denote the ideal of finite subsets of $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and (for subsets x, y of ω) write $x \subseteq^* y$ for $x \setminus y \in \text{Fin}$. It is easy to see that for any \subseteq^* -decreasing sequence $\langle x_n : n < \omega \rangle$ consisting of infinite

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: ketchers@email.arizona.edu (R. Ketchersid), larsenpb@muohio.edu (P. Larson), zapletal@math.ufl.edu (J. Zapletal).

¹ Partially supported by NSF grants DMS 0801009 and DMS 1201494.

² Partially supported by NSF grant DMS 1161078. The work in this paper was done around 2008. The authors thank Stevo Todorćević and W. Hugh Woodin for permission to include their results.

subsets of ω , there is an infinite $y \subseteq \omega$ such that $y \subseteq^* x_n$ for all n . It follows that forcing with the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$ over a model of $\text{ZF} + \text{DC}_{\mathbb{R}}$ does not add countable subsets of the ground model.³ Forcing with this Boolean algebra over a model M of $\text{ZF} + \text{DC}_{\mathbb{R}}$ then produces a model $M[U]$, where the generic filter is naturally interpreted as a nonprincipal ultrafilter U on ω . In fact, the ultrafilter U is a selective (or Ramsey) ultrafilter, which means that for any collection $\{X_n : n \in \omega\} \subseteq U$ there is a set $\{i_n : n \in \omega\}$ (listed in increasing order) in U such that $i_0 \in X_0$ and each $i_{n+1} \in X_{i_n}$.

Ramsey ultrafilters exist if the Continuum Hypothesis holds, and their existence follows from weaker statements such as $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathfrak{c}$, where $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ is the least cardinality of a collection of meager sets of reals whose union is the entire real line, and \mathfrak{c} denotes the cardinality of the continuum (see Theorem 4.5.6 of [1]). Kunen [9] has shown that consistently there are no Ramsey ultrafilters.

A theorem of Todorćević (see [4]) implies that in the context of large cardinals every Ramsey ultrafilter is generic over the inner model $L(\mathbb{R})$ for the partial order $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$. We give a proof of this theorem in Section 2.

Woodin has shown that under the assumption of a proper class of Woodin cardinals, the theory of $L(\mathbb{R})$ is invariant under set forcing (see [11]). Since $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{Fin}$ is homogeneous, the theory of $L(\mathbb{R})[U]$ is also invariant under set forcing (in this context) when U is taken to be a Ramsey ultrafilter. This should mean that large cardinals give as detailed a theory for $L(\mathbb{R})[U]$ (i.e., answering most natural questions) as they do for the inner model $L(\mathbb{R})$. It remains to be seen whether this is the case. At the present moment many natural questions about this model remain open.

1.1. Notation

Given an infinite set $a \subseteq \omega$, we let $[a]^\omega$ denote the set of infinite subsets of a , and we let $[a]^{<\omega}$ denote the set of finite subsets of a (so $\text{Fin} = [\omega]^{<\omega}$). Given $s \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ and $a \in [\omega]^\omega$, we let $[s, a]$ denote the set of infinite subsets of $s \cup a$ with s as an initial segment. Given $s \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ and a set $a \subseteq \omega$, a/s denotes a in the case that s is the emptyset, and $a \setminus (\max(s) + 1)$ otherwise.

1.2. Selective coideals and Ramsey ultrafilters

A coideal C on a set X is a subset of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{P}(X) \setminus C$ is an ideal. Given $a \in C$, we let $C \upharpoonright a$ denote $\{b \in C \mid b \subseteq a\}$. A coideal C on ω is selective if it contains all cofinite sets, and if for all \subseteq -decreasing sequences $\langle a_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ contained in C , there is a set $\{k_i : i \in \omega\}$ (listed in increasing order) in C such that $k_0 \in a_0$ and each k_{i+1} is in a_{k_i} . As defined above, a Ramsey ultrafilter is a selective ultrafilter on ω .

The following is part of Theorem 4.5.2 of [1].

Theorem 1.1. *A nonprincipal ultrafilter U on ω is Ramsey if and only if either of the following two statements holds.*

- For every partition $\{y_n : n \in \omega\}$ of ω , either some $y_n \in U$ or there exists an $x \in U$ such that $|x \cap y_n| \leq 1$ for all $n \in \omega$.
- For all $a \subseteq [\omega]^2$, there is an $x \in U$ such that $[x]^2 \subseteq a$ or $[x]^2 \cap a = \emptyset$.

Given $A \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ and a coideal C on ω , we say that A is C -Ramsey (or has the C -Ramsey property) if there exists a $b \in C$ such that either $A \cap [b]^\omega = \emptyset$ or $[b]^\omega \subseteq A$. We say that A is completely C -Ramsey if for every finite $s \subseteq \omega$ and every $b \in C$, there exists a $d \in C \upharpoonright b$ such that either $A \cap [s, d] = \emptyset$ or $[s, d] \subseteq A$. We drop the prefix C - when C is the coideal of infinite subsets of ω . It follows easily from the definitions

³ The principle of Dependent Choices (DC) says that every tree of height ω without terminal nodes has an infinite branch; $\text{DC}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is DC restricted to trees on \mathbb{R} .

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4657758>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4657758>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)