



# Contractibility is not a strong Whitney-reversible property for locally connected continua <sup>☆</sup>



Mauricio E. Chacón-Tirado <sup>b</sup>, Jorge M. Martínez-Montejano <sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior, Cd. Universitaria, México D.F., 04510, Mexico

<sup>b</sup> Facultad de Ciencias Físico Matemáticas, BUAP, Avenida San Claudio y 18 Sur, Colonia San Manuel, Puebla, Pue. 72570, Mexico

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 8 January 2016

Received in revised form 10 August 2016

Accepted 21 August 2016

Available online 26 August 2016

### MSC:

primary 54B20

secondary 54F15

### Keywords:

Continuum

Hyperspace

Whitney properties

## ABSTRACT

We show an example of a noncontractible locally connected continuum  $X$  that admits a Whitney map  $\mu$  for  $C(X)$  such that  $\mu^{-1}(t)$  is an absolute retract for each  $t \in (0, 1)$ .

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

A separable metric space  $X$  is called an *absolute retract* (abbreviated AR) provided that  $X$  is a retract of every separable metric space containing  $X$  as a closed subspace. A *continuum* is a compact connected metric space with more than one point. Given a continuum  $X$ , we consider its hyperspaces:

$$2^X = \{A \subset X : A \text{ is closed and nonempty}\},$$

and

<sup>☆</sup> This paper was partially supported by the project “Teoría de continuos e hiperespacios (0221413)” of Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT), 2013; and, the project “Teoría de Continuos, Hiperespacios y Sistemas Dinámicos II” (IN101216) of PAPIIT, DGAPA, UNAM.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [maeschacon@cfm.buap.mx](mailto:maeschacon@cfm.buap.mx) (M.E. Chacón-Tirado), [jorge@matematicas.unam.mx](mailto:jorge@matematicas.unam.mx) (J.M. Martínez-Montejano).

$$C(X) = \{A \in 2^X : A \text{ is connected}\}.$$

These hyperspaces are endowed with the Hausdorff metric  $H$ .

Let  $X$  be a continuum. A *Whitney map* for  $C(X)$  is a continuous function  $\mu : C(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

- (1)  $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$  for each  $x \in X$  and
- (2) if  $A, B \in C(X)$  and  $A \subsetneq B$ , then  $\mu(A) < \mu(B)$ .

If  $\mu$  is a Whitney map for  $C(X)$  and  $t \in [0, \mu(X))$ , then  $\mu^{-1}(t)$  is called a *Whitney level*.

A topological property  $P$  is said to be a *strong Whitney-reversible property* provided that whenever  $X$  is a continuum such that  $\mu^{-1}(t)$  has property  $P$  for some Whitney map  $\mu$  for  $C(X)$  and all  $t \in (0, \mu(X))$ , then  $X$  has property  $P$ .

In [2, Remarks 4.5], Jack T. Goodykoontz, Jr. and Sam B. Nadler, Jr. asked the following question (the same question appears in [3, Question 41.9]).

**Question 1.1.** *Is the property of being contractible a strong Whitney-reversible property for the class of Peano continua?*

Our purpose in this paper is to answer Question 1.1 negatively, *i.e.*, we give an example of a noncontractible locally connected continuum  $X$  that admits a Whitney map  $\mu$  for  $C(X)$  such that  $\mu^{-1}(t)$  is an AR, so it is contractible, for each  $t \in (0, \mu(X))$ .

## 2. Preliminary results

The *cone* over a topological space  $Z$  is denoted by  $\text{cone}(Z)$ . A *free arc* in a continuum  $X$  is an arc  $A$  contained in  $X$  with endpoints  $p$  and  $q$  and satisfying that  $A \setminus \{p, q\}$  is open in  $X$ .

Let  $X$  be a continuum. A Whitney map  $\mu$  for  $C(X)$  is called an *admissible Whitney map for  $C(X)$*  provided that there is a continuous homotopy  $H : C(X) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow C(X)$  satisfying the following two conditions:

- (i) for all  $A \in C(X)$ ,  $H(A, 1) = A$  and  $H(A, 0) \in F_1(X)$ ;
- (ii) if  $\mu(H(A, t)) > 0$  for some  $A \in C(X)$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then  $\mu(H(A, s)) < \mu(H(A, t))$  whenever  $0 \leq s < t$ .

In [2], Jack T. Goodykoontz, Jr. and Sam B. Nadler, Jr. made a detailed study of admissible Whitney maps, in particular they proved the following two results:

**Theorem 2.1.** [2, 2.15] *If  $X$  is the cone over any nonempty compact metric space  $Y$ , then there is an admissible Whitney map for  $C(X)$ .*

**Theorem 2.2.** [2, 4.1] *Let  $X$  be a locally connected continuum. If there is an admissible Whitney map  $\mu$  for  $C(X)$  and  $X$  contains no free arc, then  $\mu^{-1}(t_0)$  is a Hilbert cube whenever  $0 < t_0 < \mu(X)$ .*

Given a continuum  $X$  and  $\mu$  a Whitney map for  $C(X)$ , for  $p \in X$ , let  $C_p(X) = \{A \in C(X) : p \in A\}$  be the relative hyperspace and let  $\mu_p$  denote the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $C_p(X)$ . In [4, p. 749], M. Lynch proved the following.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a continuum,  $\mu$  a Whitney map for  $C(X)$  and  $p \in X$ . Then  $\mu_p^{-1}(t)$  is an AR for each  $t \in [0, \mu(X)]$ .*

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4657768>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4657768>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)