



# On the archimedean kernels of function rings in pointfree topology



B. Banaschewski, P. Bhattacharjee, J. Walters-Wayland \*

ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:*

Received 30 December 2014

Received in revised form 18 May 2015

2015

Available online 23 December 2015

*Keywords:*

Frame

Pointfree topology

$\ell$ -Ring

Archimedean kernel

Continuous real-valued function

Realcomplete

ABSTRACT

The article explores for which function rings  $A$  on a frame  $L$  all the archimedean kernels of  $A$  are determined by the frame homomorphisms from  $L$ . It turns out that, for suitable  $A$ , this property is equivalent to three different conditions concerning the relation between  $A$  and  $\mathfrak{R}L$ , the  $\ell$ -ring of all continuous real-valued functions on  $L$ .

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Recall that an archimedean kernel of an  $\ell$ -ring  $A$  is an  $\ell$ -ring ideal  $J$  of  $A$  for which  $A/J$  is archimedean, or, expressed in elementary terms, such that

$$\text{for any } a, b \geq 0 \text{ in } A, \text{ if } (na - b)^+ \in J \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, \dots \text{ then } a \in J.$$

Now, if  $A$  is a sub- $\ell$ -ring of  $\mathfrak{R}L$ , the  $\ell$ -ring of all continuous real-valued functions on some frame  $L$ , always understood to contain the unit  $\mathbf{1}$  of  $\mathfrak{R}L$ , the most obvious archimedean kernels of  $A$  are those arising from  $L$ , that is, the

$$J = A \cap \text{Ker}(\mathfrak{R}h) = \{\gamma \in A \mid \mathfrak{R}h(\gamma) = \mathbf{0}\} = \{\gamma \in A \mid h\gamma = \mathbf{0}\}$$

for some frame homomorphism  $h : L \rightarrow M$ , where  $\mathfrak{R}h : \mathfrak{R}L \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}M$ ,  $\gamma \mapsto h\gamma$ , is the  $\ell$ -ring homomorphism determined by  $h$ . Calling the sub- $\ell$ -rings  $A$  of some  $\mathfrak{R}L$  the *function rings on  $L$*  and the  $J = \{\gamma \in A \mid \mathfrak{R}h(\gamma) = \mathbf{0}\}$  the  *$L$ -based archimedean kernels of  $A$* , a natural condition concerning a function ring  $A$  on a frame  $L$  is that *all archimedean kernels of  $A$  are  $L$ -based*. The main purpose of this note is to show this and three other conditions on  $A$  are equivalent.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [joanne@waylands.com](mailto:joanne@waylands.com) (J. Walters-Wayland).

We begin with a brief account of the concepts and facts to be used here. As general references, we suggest Banaschewski [3] and [4] concerning real-valued continuous functions on frames, and Picado and Pultr [8] for frames in general. For the background of [4] see Ball–Hager [1] and Madden [7].

The basic setting here is given by the adjoint functors

$$\mathfrak{R} : \mathbf{CRFrm} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \text{ and } \mathfrak{K} : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{CRFrm}$$

between the category **CRFrm** of completely regular frames and the category **A** of archimedean  $f$ -rings with unit 1, where  $\mathfrak{R}L$ , as mentioned already, is the  $\ell$ -ring of all real-valued continuous functions on  $L$ , that is, the frame homomorphisms from the frame  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{R})$  of reals to  $L$ , with the usual  $\ell$ -ring operations derived from those of  $\mathbf{Q}$ , and  $\mathfrak{K}A$  is the frame of all archimedean kernels of the archimedean  $f$ -ring  $A$  with unit, with the adjunction maps

$$\lambda_A : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{K}A, \quad a \mapsto \hat{a}, \quad \hat{a}(p, q) = \langle (na - k)^+ \wedge (\ell - na)^+ \rangle,$$

$k, \ell \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $p = \frac{k}{n}$  and  $q = \frac{\ell}{n}$ , where  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  indicates the principal archimedean kernel generated by  $\cdot$ , and

$$\mu_L : \mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{R}L \rightarrow L, \quad \mu_L(\langle \gamma \rangle) = \text{coz}(\gamma) = \bigvee \{ \gamma(-n, 0) \vee \gamma(0, n) \mid n = 1, 2, \dots \}.$$

Note that the definition of the above  $\hat{a}$  simplifies to

$$\langle (a - p)^+ \wedge (q - a)^+ \rangle$$

whenever  $A$  is an algebra over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , and  $p$  and  $q$  stand for  $p1$  and  $q1$  for the unit  $1 \in A$ .

Now, for any function ring  $A$  on  $L$ , we have the homomorphism

$$\mu_L^A : \mathfrak{K}A \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{R}i_A} \mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{R}L \xrightarrow{\mu_L} L, \quad \langle \gamma \rangle \mapsto \langle\langle \gamma \rangle\rangle \mapsto \text{coz}(\gamma),$$

where  $\langle\langle \cdot \rangle\rangle$  indicates the archimedean kernel generated by  $\cdot$  in  $\mathfrak{R}L$ .

Next, a function ring  $A$  on a frame  $L$  is said to *separate*  $L$  if  $\{\text{coz}(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in A\}$  generates  $L$  which clearly holds iff  $\mu_L^A$  is *onto*. Concerning this terminology, note that, for the frame of open sets of a Tychonoff space  $X$ , this condition means that the members of  $A$  separate points from closed sets in  $X$  (Banaschewski–Sioen [6]).

Further, for any  $A$  and  $L$  of this kind, the covers

$$\{ \gamma(p, q) \mid 0 < q - p < \frac{1}{n} \}, \quad \gamma \in A, \quad p \text{ and } q \text{ in } \mathbf{Q}, \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

of  $L$  generate a uniformity, the  $A$ -uniformity of  $L$ , and if  $L$  is complete with respect to this it is called  $A$ -complete. In particular, for  $A = \mathfrak{R}L$ , one calls the  $\mathfrak{R}L$ -uniformity of  $L$  its *real uniformity* and  $L$  *realcomplete* iff it is completely regular and  $\mathfrak{R}L$ -complete.

On the other hand, for any archimedean  $f$ -ring  $A$  with unit, we have the uniformity on  $\mathfrak{K}A$ , generated by the covers

$$\{ \hat{a}(p, q) \mid 0 < q - p < \frac{1}{n} \}, \quad a \in A \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

called the  $A$ -uniformity of  $\mathfrak{K}A$ , and as a specific feature concerning the functor  $\mathfrak{K}$  we note that  $\mathfrak{K}A$  is *complete* with respect to this, as a natural consequence of its adjointness to  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Indeed, if  $h : L \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}A$  is the corresponding completion then any  $\hat{a} : \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}A$ ,  $a \in A$ , is trivially uniform relative to the standard uniformity of  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{R})$ , and by the completeness of the latter this determines  $\bar{a} : \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow L$  such that  $h\bar{a} = \hat{a}$ .

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4658071>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4658071>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)