Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Topology and its Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/topol

Open-constructible functions

Alexey Ostrovsky

Sankt-Petersburg State University of Aerospace Instrumentation

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 September 2013 Received in revised form 17 October 2014 Accepted 24 October 2014 Available online 6 November 2014

MSC: primary 54E40, 03E15, 26A21 secondary 54H05, 28A05, 03G05

Keywords: Open function Open-resolvable function Resolvable set

1. Introduction

The present paper continues the series of recent publications about open-Borel functions [1,3,4,7,9] – see also [2], where functions of such type are the main subject.

Recall, that a subset E of a metric space X is *resolvable* [5] if for each nonempty closed in X subset F we have

$$cl_X(F \cap E) \cap cl_X(F \setminus E) \neq F$$

If $E \subset X$ is resolvable, then E is Δ_2^0 -set in X and vice versa if the space X is Polish. Every constructible¹ set is resolvable [5].

Recall that a function f is open if it maps open sets into open ones.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2014.10.016 0166-8641/© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.







ABSTRACT

We prove that if a continuous one-to-one function between subspaces X, Y of the Cantor set \mathbb{C} maps each open set into constructible or, more general, into resolvable one, then f is a piecewise homeomorphism; i.e., X admits a countable cover C consisting of pairwise disjoint closed sets, such that for each $C \in C$ the restriction f|C is a homeomorphism.

@ 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

¹ Recall that *constructible* sets are finite unions of locally closed sets (a set is *locally closed* if it is the intersection of an open set and a closed set).

More generally, a function f is said to be *open-resolvable* if f maps open sets into resolvable ones.² In the following definitions we will suppose that X is a subspace of the Cantor set **C**.

A function $f: X \to Y$ is called *piecewise open* if X admits a countable, closed and disjoint cover C, such that for each $C \in C$ the restriction f|C is open.³

A piecewise open function $f: X \to Y$ is called *scatteredly open* if, in addition, the cover C is scattered, that is:

for every nonempty subfamily $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{C}$ there is a clopen set $G \subset X$ s.t. $\mathcal{T}_G = \{T \in \mathcal{T} : T \subset G\}$ is a singleton and $T \cap G = \emptyset$ for every $T \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \mathcal{T}_G$.

We established recently that the simplest open-resolvable functions are piecewise open [9, Proposition 3.3]. The following theorem gives a similar result for open-resolvable bijections:

Theorem 1. Let X be subspaces of the Cantor set C, and $f: X \to Y$ a continuous bijection. If the image under f of every open set in X is resolvable in Y, then f is scatteredly open, and hence f is scattered homeomorphism.

This implies obviously the following corollary:

Corollary 1. Let $f: X \to Y$ be continuous bijection between Polish spaces. If the image under f of every open set in X is Δ_0^2 -set in Y, then f is scattered homeomorphism.

Theorem 1 gives an affirmative answer to the question [8] in case of bijections whether every continuous open-constructible function between Polish spaces $X, Y \subset \mathbf{C}$ is piecewise open.

In case of surjections we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 2. If a continuous function $f : X \to Y$ between $X, Y \subset \mathbf{C}$ maps discrete subspaces in X into resolvable, then f is scatteredly open.

2. Decomposition of functions into scatteredly and nowhere open ones

Given a function $f: X \to Y$, we shall construct in the next Lemma 1 a subset $Z \subset X$ s.t. the restriction f|Z is nowhere open on Z; i.e. for every clopen in X subset U the restriction $f|(U \cap Z)$ is not open.

Lemma 1. Let X be subspaces of the Cantor set \mathbf{C} , and $f: X \to Y$ be a surjection.

Then there is a closed subset $Z \subset X$ such that the restriction f|Z is nowhere open on Z and the restriction $f|(X \setminus Z)$ is scatteredly open.

Proof. Let us begin by proving the first part of the assertion from lemma stating that for some Z the restriction f|Z is nowhere open on Z.

Indeed, if for some nonempty clopen set $V \subset X$ the restriction f|V is open, then we could construct the closed set

$$X_1 = X \setminus V$$

and the corresponding restriction

² Or resolvable, according to Su Gao and V. Kieftenbeld [1].

³ The symbol f|C means the map $f|C: C \to f(C)$.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4658465

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4658465

Daneshyari.com