



Fixed subgroups of automorphisms of hyperbolic 3-manifold groups



Jianfeng Lin, Shicheng Wang^{*}

Department of Mathematics, Peking University, Beijing, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 February 2014

Accepted 6 May 2014

Available online 4 June 2014

Keywords:

Fixed subgroups

Automorphisms

Hyperbolic 3-manifold

ABSTRACT

For fixed subgroups $Fix(\phi)$ of automorphisms ϕ of hyperbolic 3-manifold groups $\pi_1(M)$, we observe that $rk(Fix(\phi)) < 2rk(\pi_1(M))$ and the constant 2 in the inequality is sharp; we also classify all possible groups $Fix(\phi)$.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

For a group G and an automorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow G$, we define $Fix(\phi) = \{\omega \in G \mid \phi(\omega) = \omega\}$, which is a subgroup of G , and use $rk(G)$ to denote the rank of G .

The so called Scott conjecture proved 20 years ago in a celebrate work of M. Bestvina and M. Handel [1] states that:

Theorem 1.1. *For each automorphism ϕ of a free group $G = F_n$,*

$$rk(Fix(\phi)) \leq rk(G).$$

In a recent paper by B.J. Jiang, S.D. Wang and Q. Zhang [4], it is proved that

Theorem 1.2. *For each automorphisms ϕ of a compact surface group $G = \pi_1(S)$,*

$$rk(Fix(\phi)) \leq rk(G).$$

It is obvious that the bounds given in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are sharp and can be achieved by the identity maps.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: linjian5477@pku.edu.cn (J. Lin), wangsc@math.pku.edu.cn (S. Wang).

In this note, we will address the similar problem for hyperbolic 3-manifold groups. We call a compact 3-manifold M *hyperbolic*, if M is orientable, and the interior of M admits a complete hyperbolic structure of finite volume (then M is either closed or ∂M is a union of tori). In this case $G = \pi_1(M)$ is isomorphic to a cofinite volume torsion free Kleinian group. A main observation in this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.3. *For each automorphism ϕ of a hyperbolic 3-manifold group, $G = \pi_1(M)$,*

$$\mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{Fix}(\phi)) < 2\mathrm{rk}(G),$$

and the upper bound is sharp when G runs over all hyperbolic 3-manifold groups.

[Theorem 1.3](#) is a conclusion of the following [Theorem 1.4](#) and [Theorem 1.5](#).

Theorem 1.4. *There exists a sequence of automorphisms $\phi_n : \pi_1(M_n) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_n)$ of closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds M_n such that $\mathrm{Fix}(\phi_n)$ is the group of a closed surface, and*

$$\frac{\mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{Fix}(\phi_n))}{\mathrm{rk}(\pi_1(M_n))} > 2 - \epsilon \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose ϕ is an automorphism of $G = \pi_1(M)$, where M is a hyperbolic 3-manifold. Then $\mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{Fix}(\phi)) < 2\mathrm{rk}(G)$.*

The proof of [Theorem 1.4](#) is self-contained up to some primary (and elegant) facts on hyperbolic geometry and on combinatoric topology and group theory. Roughly speaking each (M_i, ϕ_i) in [Theorem 1.4](#) is constructed as follows: We first construct the hyperbolic 3-manifold P_i with connected totally geodesic boundary. Then we double two copies of P_i along their boundaries to get the closed hyperbolic 3-manifold M_i . The reflection of M_i along ∂P_i induces an automorphism $\phi_i : \pi_1(M_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_i)$ with $\mathrm{Fix}(\phi) = \pi_1(\partial P_i)$. In this process all involved ranks are carefully controlled, we get the inequality in [Theorem 1.4](#).

To prove [Theorem 1.5](#), besides some combinatorial arguments on topology and on group theory, we need the following [Theorem 1.6](#) which classifies all possible groups $\mathrm{Fix}(\phi)$ for automorphisms ϕ of hyperbolic 3-manifold groups. Recall that each automorphism ϕ of $\pi_1(M)$ can be realized by an isometry f on M according to Mostow rigidity theorem.

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose $G = \pi_1(M)$, where M is a hyperbolic 3-manifold, and ϕ is an automorphism of G . Then $\mathrm{Fix}(\phi)$ is one of the following types: the whole group G ; the trivial group $\{e\}$; \mathbb{Z} ; $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$; a surfaces group $\pi_1(S)$, where S can be orientable or not, and closed or not. More precisely*

- (1) *Suppose ϕ is induced by an orientation preserving isometry.*
 - (i) *$\mathrm{Fix}(\phi)$ is either \mathbb{Z} , or $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, or G , or $\{e\}$; moreover*
 - (ii) *If M is closed, then $\mathrm{Fix}(\phi)$ is either \mathbb{Z} or G ;*
- (2) *Suppose ϕ is induced by an orientation reversing isometry f .*
 - (i) *If $\phi^2 \neq \mathrm{id}$, then $\mathrm{Fix}(\phi)$ is either \mathbb{Z} or $\{e\}$;*
 - (ii) *If $\phi^2 = \mathrm{id}$, then $\mathrm{Fix}(\phi)$ is either $\{e\}$, or the surface group $\pi_1(S)$, where S is an embedded surface in M that is pointwise fixed by f .*

[Theorem 1.6](#) is proved by using the algebraic version of Mostow Rigidity theorem, as well as some hyperbolic geometry and covering space argument.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4658586>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4658586>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)