



Finite unions of weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable spaces and products of ordinals[☆]

Liang-Xue Peng

College of Applied Science, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 May 2008

Received in revised form 29 January 2009

Accepted 29 January 2009

MSC:

primary 54D20

secondary 54G99

Keywords:

Weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable

Dually discrete

Ordinal

D -space

ABSTRACT

In this note, we show that if X is the union of a finite collection $\{X_i: i = 1, \dots, k\}$ of weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable subspaces and $e(X) = \omega$, then X is a Lindelöf space. We also show that the product of two ordinals is dually discrete. The last conclusion gives a positive answer to a question of Alas, Junqueira and Wilson.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

0. Introduction

The notion of D -space was introduced by van Douwen (cf. [9]). A *neighborhood assignment* for a space X is a function ϕ from X to the topology of the space X , such that $x \in \phi(x)$ for any $x \in X$. A space X is called a D -space, if for any neighborhood assignment ϕ for X there exists a closed discrete subspace D of X such that $X = \bigcup \{\phi(d): d \in D\}$ (cf. [10]). In [10], it was proved that a finite product of the Sorgenfrey line is a D -space. By results of [6], we know that all semi-stratifiable spaces are D -spaces. So we know that all metrizable spaces and all Moore spaces are D -spaces. In [7], Buzyakova proved that every strong Σ -space is a D -space. In 2004 (cf. [3]), Arhangel'skii proved that if X is the union of a finite collection of spaces with a point-countable base, then X is a D -space. In 2008, Peng proved that if X is the union of a finite collection of Moore spaces, then X is a D -space (cf. [16]). The idea of D -spaces was then further developed in recent years, the properties of αD -spaces and dually discrete spaces were discussed.

The concept of an αD -space was introduced in [5]. A space X is an αD -space if for each closed subset F of X and each open covering $\mathcal{U}$ of X there exists a locally finite in F subset A of F and a mapping ϕ of A into $\mathcal{U}$ such that $a \in \phi(a)$ for each $a \in A$, and the family $\phi(A) = \{\phi(a): a \in A\}$ covers F . By results of [6], we know that every subparacompact space is an αD -space. In [5], it was proved that if a regular space X is the union of a finite collection of paracompact subspaces then X is an αD -space. In [3], it was proved that if X is the union of a finite collection of subparacompact subspaces then X is an αD -space. Peng also proved that X is an αD -space if X is the union of finite collection of θ -refinable subspaces (cf. [17, Theorem 10]). We also know that every $\delta\theta$ -refinable space is an αD -space (cf. [4, Theorem 1.15]).

The concept of weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable spaces was introduced in [19]. It was proved that every θ -refinable space is a weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable space and a weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable space X with countable extent (every countable closed discrete subspace of X is

[☆] Research supported by the Scientific Research Common Program of Beijing Municipal Commission of Education, grant No. KM200810005024.

E-mail address: pengliangxue@bjut.edu.cn.

countable, usually be denoted by $e(X) = \omega$) is Lindelöf (cf. [19, Theorem 3.3]). By results of [14], we know that every weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable space is an αD -space. The following question is open: Is the union of a finite collection $\{X_i: i \leq n\}$ of weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable subspaces an αD -space? We know that every countably compact αD -space is compact. Although the above question is not answered in this note, we show that if X is the union of a finite collection $\{X_i: i \leq n\}$ of weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable subspaces and $e(X) = \omega$, then X is Lindelöf.

The concept of dually discrete spaces was introduced in [13]. A space X is called *dually discrete*, if for any neighborhood assignment ϕ for X there exists a discrete subspace D of X such that $X = \bigcup \{\phi(d): d \in D\}$. Every D -space is dually discrete. There has been much work on dual properties (cf. [1,2,8,13]).

In [8], it was proved that every ordinal is dually discrete. In [1], it was proved that a finite product of regular cardinals is dually discrete. The following problem appeared in [1]: Is the product of two ordinals (hereditarily) dually discrete? In this note, we show that a finite product of ordinals is dually discrete.

All the spaces in this note are assumed to be T_1 -spaces. The set of all natural numbers is denoted by N , ω is $N \cup \{0\}$. In notation and terminology we will follow [11].

1. On finite unions of weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable spaces

Let X be a space and let $\mathcal{U}$ be a family of open subsets of X . We denote $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{U}) = |\{V: x \in V \text{ and } V \in \mathcal{U}\}|$.

Lemma 1.1. (Cf. [18, Lemma 3].) Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda: \lambda < \eta\}$ be an open cover of X and $X_n = \{x \in X: \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{U}) \leq n\}$ for each $n \in N$. Then X_n is a closed subset of X and $\mathcal{F}_n = \{E(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n): \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n < \eta\}$ is a discrete cover of the subspace $X_n \setminus X_{n-1}$ for each $n \geq 1$, where $E(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \bigcap \{U_{\lambda_i} \cap (X_n \setminus X_{n-1}): i \leq n\}$ and $X_0 = \emptyset$.

Lemma 1.2. (Cf. [16, Lemma 1].) Suppose $X = \bigcup \{X_i: 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is a locally finite family of subsets of X_i for some $i \leq n$ and $A = \{x: x \in X \text{ and } \mathcal{F} \text{ is not locally finite at } x\}$. Then A is a closed subset of X and $A \subset X \setminus X_i$.

Lemma 1.3. Let $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ and $e(X) = \omega$ and let $Y \subset X_1$ be a closed subspace of X_1 . Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an open cover of X and let $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{V}_n: n \in N\}$ be an open refinement of $\mathcal{U}$, such that $Y \subset \bigcup \mathcal{V}_n$ for each $n \in N$ and for each $y \in Y$ there exists some $n_y \in N$ such that $1 \leq \text{ord}(y, \mathcal{V}_{n_y}) < \omega$. If each closed subspace F of X which is contained in $X_2 \setminus X_1$ is Lindelöf, then there is a countable subfamily $\mathcal{U}_Y \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that $Y \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_Y$.

Proof. For each $m \in N$ and $n \in N$, let $F_{mn} = \{x: x \in Y \text{ and } \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{V}_m) \leq n\}$. By Lemma 1.1, we know that F_{mn} is a closed subspace of Y and $F_{m(n+1)} \setminus F_{mn} = \bigcup \mathcal{F}_{m(n+1)}$, where $\mathcal{F}_{m(n+1)}$ is a closed and open (in $F_{m(n+1)} \setminus F_{mn}$) cover of $F_{m(n+1)} \setminus F_{mn}$ and for each $F \in \mathcal{F}_{m(n+1)}$ there is some $V_F \in \mathcal{V}_m$ such that $F \subset V_F$.

For each $m \in N$, the set F_{m1} is a closed discrete family of subsets of Y and Y is a closed in X_1 , so $\mathcal{F}_{m1}$ is a discrete family in X_1 . Let $A_{m1} = \{x: x \in X \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_{m1} \text{ is not locally finite at } x\}$. Then A_{m1} is a closed subset of X and $A_{m1} \subset X_2 \setminus X_1$ by Lemma 1.2. Thus A_{m1} is a closed Lindelöf subspace of X . Thus there exists a countable family $\mathcal{U}_1^* \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that $A_{m1} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_1^*$. Thus $F_{m1} \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{U}_1^* = \bigcup \{F \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{U}_1^*: F \in \mathcal{F}_{m1}\}$. The family $\{F \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{U}_1^*: F \in \mathcal{F}_{m1}\}$ is locally finite in X , so $\{F \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{U}_1^*: F \in \mathcal{F}_{m1}\}$ is countable since $e(X) = \omega$.

For each $F \in \mathcal{F}_{m1}$, there exists some $U_F \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $F \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{U}_1^* \subset U_F$ if $F \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{U}_1^* \neq \emptyset$. Thus there exists a countable subfamily $\mathcal{U}_1^{**}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\bigcup \{F \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{U}_1^*: F \in \mathcal{F}_{m1}\} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_1^{**}$. If $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{U}_1^* \cup \mathcal{U}_1^{**}$ then $\mathcal{U}_1 \subset \mathcal{U}$ and $|\mathcal{U}_1| \leq \omega$ such that $F_{m1} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_1$.

For each $n \in N$, we assume that there is a countable subfamily $\mathcal{U}_k$ of $\mathcal{U}$ such that $F_{mk} \subset \bigcup \{\bigcup \mathcal{U}_j: j \leq k\}$ for each $k \leq n$.

For $n+1 \in N$, we have $F_{m(n+1)} \setminus F_{mn} = \bigcup \mathcal{F}_{m(n+1)}$ and $F_{mn} \subset \bigcup \{\bigcup \mathcal{U}_j: j \leq n\}$. If $\mathcal{F}_{m(n+1)}^* = \{F \setminus (\bigcup \{\bigcup \mathcal{U}_j: j \leq n\}): F \in \mathcal{F}_{m(n+1)}\}$ then $\mathcal{F}_{m(n+1)}^*$ is a discrete family of subsets of Y . So $\mathcal{F}_{m(n+1)}^*$ is also a discrete family in X_1 . Let $A_{m(n+1)} = \{x: x \in X \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_{m(n+1)}^* \text{ is not locally finite at } x\}$. Thus $A_{m(n+1)}$ is a closed subset of X and $A_{m(n+1)} \subset X_2 \setminus X_1$ by Lemma 1.2. So $A_{m(n+1)}$ is a closed Lindelöf subspace of X . Thus $A_{m(n+1)}$ can be covered by a countable subfamily $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}^*$ of $\mathcal{U}$. So $\mathcal{F}_{m(n+1)}^{**} = \{B \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{U}_{n+1}^*: B \in \mathcal{F}_{m(n+1)}^*\}$ is a locally finite family in X . Since $e(X) = \omega$, we have $|\mathcal{F}_{m(n+1)}^{**}| \leq \omega$. For each $C \in \mathcal{F}_{m(n+1)}^{**}$ there is some $U_C \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $C \subset U_C$. So there is a countable subfamily $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}^{**}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{F}_{m(n+1)}^{**} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_{n+1}^{**}$. If $\mathcal{U}_{n+1} = \mathcal{U}_{n+1}^* \cup \mathcal{U}_{n+1}^{**}$ then $\mathcal{U}_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{U}$ and $|\mathcal{U}_{n+1}| \leq \omega$ such that $F_{m(n+1)} \subset \bigcup \{\bigcup \mathcal{U}_j: j \leq n+1\}$. Thus $\bigcup \{F_{mn}: n \in N\}$ can be covered by a countable subfamily of $\mathcal{U}$ by induction.

The set $Y = \bigcup \{F_{mn}: m \in N \text{ and } n \in N\}$, thus there exists some countable subfamily $\mathcal{U}_Y$ of $\mathcal{U}$ such that $Y \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_Y$. $\square$

Definition 1.4. (Cf. [19].) A space X is called *weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinable* if for any open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X there is an open refinement $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{V}_i: i \in N\}$ such that:

- (1) $\{\bigcup \mathcal{V}_i: i \in N\}$ is point-finite.
- (2) For any $x \in X$ there is some $i \in N$ such that $1 \leq \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{V}_i) < \omega$.

The refinement $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{V}_i: i \in N\}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ is said to be a *weak $\bar{\theta}$ -refinement* of $\mathcal{U}$.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4660453>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4660453>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)