



Group-valued continuous functions with the topology of pointwise convergence

Dmitri Shakhmatov¹, Jan Spěvák^{*,2}

Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Division of Mathematics, Physics and Earth Sciences, Ehime University, Matsuyama 790-8577, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 27 March 2009

Received in revised form 8 May 2009

Accepted 24 June 2009

Dedicated to Professor Tsugunori Nogura on the occasion of his 60th anniversary

MSC:

primary 54C35

secondary 22A05, 46E10, 54H11

Keywords:

Topological group

Function space

Topology of pointwise convergence

Free object

NSS group

Pseudocompact space

ABSTRACT

Let G be a topological group with the identity element e . Given a space X , we denote by $C_p(X, G)$ the group of all continuous functions from X to G endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence, and we say that X is: (a) G -regular if, for each closed set $F \subseteq X$ and every point $x \in X \setminus F$, there exist $f \in C_p(X, G)$ and $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$ such that $f(x) = g$ and $f(F) \subseteq \{e\}$; (b) G^* -regular provided that there exists $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$ such that, for each closed set $F \subseteq X$ and every point $x \in X \setminus F$, one can find $f \in C_p(X, G)$ with $f(x) = g$ and $f(F) \subseteq \{e\}$. Spaces X and Y are G -equivalent provided that the topological groups $C_p(X, G)$ and $C_p(Y, G)$ are topologically isomorphic.

We investigate which topological properties are preserved by G -equivalence, with a special emphasis being placed on characterizing topological properties of X in terms of those of $C_p(X, G)$. Since \mathbb{R} -equivalence coincides with I -equivalence, this line of research “includes” major topics of the classical C_p -theory of Arhangel'skiĭ as a particular case (when $G = \mathbb{R}$). We introduce a new class of TAP groups that contains all groups having no small subgroups (NSS groups). We prove that: (i) for a given NSS group G , a G -regular space X is pseudocompact if and only if $C_p(X, G)$ is TAP, and (ii) for a metrizable NSS group G , a G^* -regular space X is compact if and only if $C_p(X, G)$ is a TAP group of countable tightness. In particular, a Tychonoff space X is pseudocompact (compact) if and only if $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a TAP group (of countable tightness). Demonstrating the limits of the result in (i), we give an example of a precompact TAP group G and a G -regular countably compact space X such that $C_p(X, G)$ is not TAP.

We show that Tychonoff spaces X and Y are \mathbb{T} -equivalent if and only if their free precompact Abelian groups are topologically isomorphic, where \mathbb{T} stays for the quotient group \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . As a corollary, we obtain that \mathbb{T} -equivalence implies G -equivalence for every Abelian precompact group G . We establish that \mathbb{T} -equivalence preserves the following topological properties: compactness, pseudocompactness, σ -compactness, the property of being a Lindelöf Σ -space, the property of being a compact metrizable space, the (finite) number of connected components, connectedness, total disconnectedness. An example of \mathbb{R} -equivalent (that is, I -equivalent) spaces that are not \mathbb{T} -equivalent is constructed.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: dmitri@dpc.ehime-u.ac.jp (D. Shakhmatov), prednosta.stanice@quick.cz (J. Spěvák).

¹ The author was partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 19540092 by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

² This manuscript has been written as a part of the author's PhD study at the Graduate School of Science and Engineering of Ehime University (Matsuyama, Japan) from October 1, 2006 till September 30, 2009. His stay at Ehime University during this period has been generously supported by the PhD Scholarship of the Government of Japan.

In notation and terminology we follow [6] and [9] if not stated otherwise. All topological spaces are assumed to be Tychonoff (that is, completely regular T_1 spaces) and nonempty, and all topological groups are assumed to be Hausdorff.

By \mathbb{N} we denote the set of all natural numbers, ω stays for the least nonzero limit ordinal, \mathbb{Z} is the discrete additive group of integers, \mathbb{R} is the additive group of reals with its usual topology, \mathbb{T} stays for the quotient group \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} , and $\mathbb{Z}(n)$ denotes the cyclic group of order n (with the discrete topology). The identity element of a group G is denoted by e_G , or simply by e when there is no danger of confusion.

If G is a topological group, then the symbol \widehat{G} stays for the completion of G with respect to the two-sided uniformity. If $G = \widehat{G}$, then G is called *complete*. It is well known that \widehat{G} always exists, \widehat{G} is a topological group, G is dense in \widehat{G} , and if G is a dense subgroup of a complete group H , then $\widehat{G} = H$. If G is a subgroup of some compact group, then G is called *precompact*.

1. Introduction

Definition 1.1. Let X be a space and G a topological group.

- (i) We shall use $C(X, G)$ to denote the group of all continuous functions from X to G , equipped with the “pointwise group operations”. That is, the product of $f \in C(X, G)$ and $g \in C(X, G)$ is the function $fg \in C(X, G)$ defined by $fg(x) = f(x)g(x)$ for all $x \in X$, and the inverse element of f is the function $h \in C(X, G)$ defined by $h(x) = (f(x))^{-1}$ for all $x \in X$.
- (ii) The family

$$\{W(x, U) : x \in X, U \text{ is an open subset of } G\},$$

where

$$W(x, U) = \{f \in C(X, G) : f(x) \in U\},$$

forms a subbase of the *topology of pointwise convergence* on $C(X, G)$. We use the symbol $C_p(X, G)$ to denote the set $C(X, G)$ endowed with this topology.

One can easily see that $C_p(X, G)$ is a topological group.

Definition 1.2. Let G and H be topological groups.

- (i) Recall that G and H are said to be *topologically isomorphic* if there exists a bijection $f : G \rightarrow H$ which is both a group homomorphism and a homeomorphism. We write $G \cong H$ whenever G and H are topologically isomorphic.
- (ii) We say that spaces X and Y are *G-equivalent*, and denote this by $X \stackrel{G}{\sim} Y$, provided that $C_p(X, G) \cong C_p(Y, G)$.
- (iii) Let \mathcal{C} be a class of spaces. We say that a topological property \mathcal{E} is *preserved by G-equivalence within the class \mathcal{C}* provided that the following condition holds: If $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $Y \in \mathcal{C}$, $X \stackrel{G}{\sim} Y$ and X has the property \mathcal{E} , then Y must have the property \mathcal{E} as well. The sentence “ \mathcal{E} is preserved by G-equivalence” is used as an abbreviation for “ \mathcal{E} is preserved by G-equivalence within the class of Tychonoff spaces”.
- (iv) Given a class \mathcal{C} of spaces, we say that *G-equivalence implies H-equivalence within the class \mathcal{C}* provided that the following statement holds: If $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ and $X \stackrel{G}{\sim} Y$, then $X \stackrel{H}{\sim} Y$. The sentence “G-equivalence implies H-equivalence” shall be used as an abbreviation for “G-equivalence implies H-equivalence within the class of Tychonoff spaces”.

In [15] Markov has introduced the free topological group $F(X)$ of a space X and defined spaces X and Y to be *M-equivalent* if $F(X) \cong F(Y)$. Thereafter, a significant effort went into an investigation of how topological properties of $F(X)$ depend on those of X , as well as which topological properties are preserved by *M-equivalence*.

Every continuous function $f : X \rightarrow G$ from a space X to a topological group G can be (uniquely) extended to a continuous group homomorphism $\widehat{f} : F(X) \rightarrow G$. This elementary fact (with \mathbb{T} as G) was applied by Graev to show that the closed unit interval and the circle are not *M-equivalent* [10]. Tkachuk noticed in [22] that *M-equivalence* implies *G-equivalence* for every Abelian topological group G . He then applied this observation to $G = \mathbb{Z}(2)$ to show that connectedness is preserved by *M-equivalence* [22].

Later on, many properties of *M-equivalence* were discovered by means of the notion of *l-equivalence*; see [1]. Recall that spaces X and Y are called *l-equivalent* provided that $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $C_p(Y, \mathbb{R})$ are *topologically isomorphic as topological vector spaces*. A fundamental observation pertinent to the subject of this paper has been made in [22] by Tkachuk: spaces X and Y are *l-equivalent* if and only if $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $C_p(Y, \mathbb{R})$ are *topologically isomorphic as topological groups*. In other words, *l-equivalence* of spaces coincides with their \mathbb{R} -equivalence (in our notation). A far reaching conclusion that one might get from this fact is that, despite a significant emphasis on the *topological vector space* structure commonly placed in the C_p -theory [1], this structure is largely irrelevant to the study of the notion of *l-equivalence*, and in fact may as well be replaced by the *topological group* structure. It is this conclusion that led us to an idea of introducing the general notion of *G-equivalence*, for an arbitrary topological group G .

This opens up a topic of studying the properties of the topological group $C_p(X, G)$, for a given space X and a topological group G . Let us outline major problems that appear to be of particular interest in this new area of research.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4660612>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4660612>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)