



# Uniformly defining $p$ -henselian valuations <sup>☆</sup>



Franziska Jahnke <sup>a,\*</sup>, Jochen Koenigsmann <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Institut für Mathematische Logik, Einsteinstr. 62, 48149 Münster, Germany*

<sup>b</sup> *Mathematical Institute, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Woodstock Road, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK*

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 31 July 2014

Received in revised form 25

November 2014

Accepted 6 March 2015

Available online 29 March 2015

### MSC:

primary 03C40, 12E30

secondary 12L12, 13J15

### Keywords:

Valuations

$p$ -Henselian valued fields

Definable valuations

## ABSTRACT

Admitting a non-trivial  $p$ -henselian valuation is a weaker assumption on a field than admitting a non-trivial henselian valuation. Unlike henselianity,  $p$ -henselianity is an elementary property in the language of rings. We are interested in the question when a field admits a non-trivial 0-definable  $p$ -henselian valuation (in the language of rings). We give a classification of elementary classes of fields in which the canonical  $p$ -henselian valuation is uniformly 0-definable. We then apply this to show that there is a definable valuation inducing the  $(t)$ -henselian topology on any  $(t)$ -henselian field which is neither separably closed nor real closed.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Where a valuation  $v$  on a field  $K$  contributes to the arithmetic of  $K$ , e.g., in the sense that the existence of  $K$ -rational points on certain algebraic varieties defined over  $K$  is guaranteed or prohibited by ‘local’ conditions ‘at  $v$ ’, the valuation  $v$  (or rather its valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_v$ ) is often definable by a first-order formula  $\phi(x)$  in the language of rings  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}} = \{+, \times, 0, 1\}$ : For each  $a \in K$ , one has  $a \in \mathcal{O}_v$  if and only if  $\phi(a)$  holds in  $K$  – we then write  $\mathcal{O}_v = \phi(K)$ .

This happens, for example, for all valuations in all global fields (a fact implicit in the pioneering works [10] and [11] of Julia Robinson), and later, Rumely even found a *uniform* first-order definition for all valuation rings in all global fields [12]. It also happens in the classical henselian fields  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$  or  $k((t))$  for an arbitrary field of coefficients  $k$  via the well known formulas for  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and for  $k[[t]]$  in  $k((t))$  due to Ax

<sup>☆</sup> Some of the research leading to these results has received funding from the [European Community’s] Seventh Framework Programme [FP7/2007–2013] under grant agreement number 238381.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: franziska.jahnke@uni-muenster.de (F. Jahnke), koenigsmann@maths.ox.ac.uk (J. Koenigsmann).

and others. It does not happen on  $\mathbb{C}$  or on  $\mathbb{R}$  or on any algebraically or real closed field, where no valuation is of arithmetical interest, and where no non-trivial valuation is first-order definable, because, by quantifier elimination, first-order definable subsets of algebraically closed fields are finite or cofinite and those on real closed fields are finite unions of intervals and points.

In the 1970's the concept of a *2-henselian* valuation emerged from the algebraic theory of quadratic forms, and later, by way of analogy, the notion of a *p-henselian* valuation was coined for an arbitrary prime number  $p$ : A valuation  $v$  on a field  $K$  is called *p-henselian* if  $v$  has a unique prolongation to  $K(p)$ , the maximal Galois- $p$  extension of  $K$  (i.e., the compositum of all finite Galois extensions of  $p$ -power degree over  $K$  in some fixed algebraic closure of  $K$ ). Equivalently,  $v$  is *p-henselian* on  $K$  if it has a unique prolongation to each Galois extension of degree  $p$  – this fact that *p-henselianity* shows in Galois extensions of bounded degree makes it easier to find definable *p-henselian* valuations compared to finding definable henselian valuations. Note that every henselian valuation is *p-henselian* but, in general, not the other way round.

Like for henselian valuations there may be several *p-henselian* valuations on a field  $K$ , but there always is a canonical one: the *canonical p-henselian valuation*  $v_K^p$  on a field  $K$  is the coarsest *p-henselian* valuation  $v$  on  $K$  whose residue field  $Kv$  is *p-closed* (i.e., where  $Kv = Kv(p)$ ) if there is any such; if not it is the finest *p-henselian* valuation on  $K$  (cf. Section 3 of [5] where existence and uniqueness of  $v_K^p$  is proven). Recall that, for two valuations  $v, w$  on  $K$ ,  $v$  is finer than  $w$  just in case  $\mathcal{O}_v \subseteq \mathcal{O}_w$ . Recall further that if  $v$  is finer than  $w$ , then, equivalently,  $w$  is coarser than  $v$ . The valuation  $v_K^p$  is non-trivial if and only if  $K$  admits a non-trivial *p-henselian* valuation.

This paper is intended to both close a gap in the proof of Theorem 3.2 of [5] and to present a more uniform version of the Theorem. This Theorem asserts that  $v_K^p$  is first-order definable if  $K$  is of characteristic  $p$  or if  $K$  contains a primitive  $p$ -th root  $\zeta_p$  of unity and, if  $p = 2$ , the residue field  $Kv_K^p$  is not Euclidean. The gap occurred in the case where  $(K, v_K^p)$  is of mixed characteristic  $(0, p)$  (i.e.,  $\text{char}(K) = 0$  and  $\text{char}Kv_K^p = p$ ). However, we also present a slightly different proof to the (incomplete) one in [5].

To phrase the true definability result for  $v_K^p$  we should also take care of cases where  $v_K^p$  is, as it were, only definable ‘by accident’, that is, definable for the wrong reason. For example, there might be another prime  $q \neq p$  with  $v_K^q = v_K^p$ , where  $v_K^q$  is ‘truly’ definable, but  $v_K^p$  is not. To pin this down we say that  $v_K^p$  is  *$\emptyset$ -definable as such* if there is a parameter-free  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula  $\phi(x)$  such that, for all fields  $L$  elementarily equivalent to  $K$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$  (which we denote by  $L \equiv K$ ),  $\mathcal{O}_{v_L^p} = \phi(L)$ . With this terminology we not only get a precise criterion for true (= ‘as such’) definability of  $v_K^p$ , but also the most uniform definition of  $v_K^p$  that one could wish for: a single  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula  $\phi_p(x)$  does it for all of them:

**Main Theorem.** *For each prime  $p$  there is a parameter-free  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula  $\phi_p(x)$  such that for any field  $K$  with either  $\text{char}(K) = p$  or  $\zeta_p \in K$  the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\phi_p$  defines  $v_K^p$  as such.
- (2)  $v_K^p$  is  $\emptyset$ -definable as such.
- (3)  $p \neq 2$  or  $Kv_K^p$  is not Euclidean.

The paper is organized as follows. We recall well-known definitions and facts about *p-henselian* valuations in the second section. In the third section, we give our Main Theorem and draw some conclusions from it. The Main Theorem is then proven in Section 4. Finally, we apply the Main Theorem to *t-henselian* fields in the last section. Improving a result of Koenigsmann (Theorem 4.1 in [4]), we show that any *t-henselian* field which is neither separably closed nor real closed admits a definable valuation inducing the (unique) *t-henselian* topology.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4661671>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4661671>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)