



# Guessing more sets



Pierre Matet

Université de Caen, CNRS, Laboratoire de Mathématiques, BP 5186, 14032 Caen Cedex, France

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 9 October 2012

Received in revised form 27 April 2015

Accepted 3 May 2015

Available online 1 June 2015

### MSC:

03E05

03E35

03E55

03E04

### Keywords:

$P_\kappa(\lambda)$

Two-cardinal diamond

SSH

$\text{ADS}_\lambda$

## ABSTRACT

Let  $\kappa$  be a regular uncountable cardinal, and  $\lambda$  a cardinal greater than  $\kappa$  with cofinality less than  $\kappa$ . We consider a strengthening of the diamond principle  $\diamond_{\kappa,\lambda}$  that asserts that any subset of some fixed collection of  $\lambda^+$  elements of  $P_\kappa(\lambda)$  can be guessed on a stationary set. This new principle, denoted by  $\diamond_{\kappa,\lambda}[\lambda^+]$ , implies that the nonstationary ideal on  $P_\kappa(\lambda)$  is not  $2^{(\lambda^+)}$ -saturated. We establish that if  $\lambda$  is large enough and there are no inner models with fairly large cardinals, then  $\diamond_{\kappa,\lambda}[\lambda^+]$  holds. More precisely, it is shown that if  $2^{(\kappa^{n_0})} \leq \lambda^+$  and both Shelah's Strong Hypothesis SSH and the Almost Disjoint Sets principle  $\text{ADS}_\lambda$  hold, then  $\diamond_{\kappa,\lambda}[\lambda^+]$  holds. The paper also contains ZFC results. Suppose for example that  $2^\kappa \leq \lambda^+$ , there is a strong limit cardinal  $\tau$  with  $\text{cf}(\lambda) < \tau \leq \kappa$ , and either  $\kappa$  is a successor cardinal greater than  $\rho^{+3}$ , where  $\rho$  is the largest limit cardinal less than  $\kappa$ , or  $\kappa$  is a limit cardinal and  $\sigma^\kappa < \lambda < (\sigma^\kappa)^{+\kappa}$  for some cardinal  $\sigma \geq 2$ . Then  $\diamond_{\kappa,\lambda}[\lambda^+]$  holds.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

We start by recalling some definitions.

**Definition 1.1.** For a set  $A$  and a cardinal  $\rho$ , let  $P_\rho(A) = \{a \subseteq A : |a| < \rho\}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** An *ideal* on a set  $X$  is a nonempty collection  $J$  of subsets of  $X$  such that

- $P(A) \subseteq J$  for any  $A \in J$ ;
- $A \cup B \in J$  whenever  $A, B \in J$ .

Let  $J$  be an ideal on  $X$ .  $J$  is *proper* if  $X \notin J$ . We let  $J^+ = \{A \subseteq X : A \notin J\}$ ,  $J^* = \{A \subseteq X : X \setminus A \in J\}$ , and  $J \restriction A = \{B \subseteq X : B \cap A \in J\}$  for every  $A \in J^+$ . Given a set  $Y$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , we let  $f(J) = \{B \subseteq Y : f^{-1}(B) \in J\}$ . For a cardinal  $\rho$ ,  $J$  is  $\rho$ -*complete* if  $\bigcup Q \in J$  for any  $Q \in P_\rho(J)$ . For a cardinal  $\rho$  and

E-mail address: pierre.matet@unicaen.fr.

$Y \subseteq P(X)$ ,  $J$  is  $Y$ - $\rho$ -saturated if there is no  $Q \subseteq J^+$  with  $|Q| = \rho$  such that  $A \cap B \in Y$  for any two distinct members  $A, B$  of  $Q$ .  $J$  is  $\rho$ -saturated (respectively, weakly  $\rho$ -saturated) if it is  $J$ - $\rho$ -saturated (respectively,  $\{\emptyset\}$ - $\rho$ -saturated).

For the remainder of this introduction, we let  $\kappa$  denote a regular uncountable cardinal, and  $\lambda$  a cardinal greater than or equal to  $\kappa$ .

**Definition 1.3.**  $NS_\kappa$  denotes the nonstationary ideal on  $\kappa$ .

**Definition 1.4.** For an ideal  $J$  on  $\kappa$ ,  $\diamond_\kappa[J]$  asserts the existence of  $s_\alpha \subseteq \alpha$  for  $\alpha < \kappa$  such that  $\{\alpha : s_\alpha = A \cap \alpha\} \in J^+$  for every  $A \subseteq \kappa$ .

For  $S \in NS_\kappa^+$ ,  $\diamond_\kappa(S)$  means that  $\diamond_\kappa[NS_\kappa|S]$  holds.

$\diamond_\kappa(\kappa)$  is abbreviated as  $\diamond_\kappa$ .

**Definition 1.5.**  $I_{\kappa,\lambda}$  denotes the noncofinal ideal on  $P_\kappa(\lambda)$ , and  $NS_{\kappa,\lambda}$  the nonstationary ideal on  $P_\kappa(\lambda)$ .

An ideal  $J$  on  $P_\kappa(\lambda)$  is *fine* if  $I_{\kappa,\lambda} \subseteq J$ .

By an ideal on  $P_\kappa(\lambda)$  we will always mean a fine, proper ideal on  $P_\kappa(\lambda)$ .

Let us also recall the definition of the game ideals  $NG_{\kappa,\lambda}^\mu$  which will play an important role in this paper.

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $\mu$  be a regular infinite cardinal less than  $\kappa$ . For  $A \subseteq P_\kappa(\lambda)$ ,  $G_{\kappa,\lambda}^\mu(A)$  denotes the following two players game consisting of  $\mu$  moves. At step  $\alpha < \mu$ , player I selects  $a_\alpha \in P_\kappa(\lambda)$ , and II replies by playing  $b_\alpha \in P_\kappa(\lambda)$ . The players must follow the rule that for  $\beta < \alpha < \mu$ ,  $b_\beta \subseteq a_\alpha \subseteq b_\alpha$ . II wins just in case  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \mu} a_\alpha \in A$ .

$NG_{\kappa,\lambda}^\mu$  denotes the collection of all  $B \subseteq P_\kappa(\lambda)$  such that II has a winning strategy in  $G_{\kappa,\lambda}^\mu(P_\kappa(\lambda) \setminus B)$ .

$NG_{\kappa,\lambda}^\omega$  is abbreviated as  $NG_{\kappa,\lambda}$ .

### 1.1. Are diamonds really a girl's best friends?

**Definition 1.7.** For an ideal  $J$  on  $P_\kappa(\lambda)$ ,  $\diamond_{\kappa,\lambda}[J]$  asserts the existence of  $s_a \subseteq a$  for  $a \in P_\kappa(\lambda)$  such that  $\{a : s_a = A \cap a\} \in J^+$  for every  $A \subseteq \lambda$ .

$\diamond_{\kappa,\lambda}[NS_{\kappa,\lambda}]$  is abbreviated as  $\diamond_{\kappa,\lambda}$ .

This two-cardinal version of Jensen's guessing principle  $\diamond_\kappa$  was introduced by Jech in [12]. Ketonen observed that  $\diamond_{\kappa,\lambda}[J]$  can be reformulated as the assertion that there are  $2^\lambda$  sets in  $J^+$  that are almost disjoint in a strong sense:

**Fact 1.8.** (See [15].)  $\diamond_{\kappa,\lambda}[J]$  holds if and only if we may find  $X_A \in J^+$  for  $A \subseteq \lambda$  such that for all  $A, B \subseteq \lambda$ ,  $X_A \cap X_B \subseteq \{a \in P_\kappa(\lambda) : A \cap a = B \cap a\}$ .

The following easily follows.

**Fact 1.9.** (See [12].) If  $\diamond_{\kappa,\lambda}[J]$  holds, then  $J$  is neither weakly  $\lambda^{<\kappa}$ -saturated, nor  $I_{\kappa,\lambda}$ - $2^\lambda$ -saturated.

We will see that the converse sometimes holds:

**Theorem A.** (See Proposition 5.8.) Suppose that  $2^\lambda = \lambda^{<\kappa}$  and  $J$  is normal and not weakly  $\lambda^{<\kappa}$ -saturated. Then  $\diamond_{\kappa,\lambda}[J]$  holds.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4661693>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4661693>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)