







http://actams.wipm.ac.cn

HARMONIC OSCILLATORS AT RESONANCE, PERTURBED BY A NON-LINEAR FRICTION FORCE*

Philip KORMAN

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati Ohio 45221-0025, USA E-mail: kormanp@math.uc.edu

Yi LI

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Wright State University, Dayton OH 45435, USA E-mail: yi.li@wright.edu

Abstract This note is an addendum to the results of Lazer and Frederickson [1], and Lazer [4] on periodic oscillations, with linear part at resonance. We show that a small modification of the argument in [4] provides a more general result. It turns out that things are different for the corresponding Dirichlet boundary value problem.

Key words resonance; existence of periodic solutions

2010 MR Subject Classification 34C25; 34C15; 34B15

1 Introduction

We are interested in the existence of 2π periodic solutions to the problem (x=x(t))

$$x'' + f(x)x' + n^2x = e(t). (1.1)$$

Here $e(t) \in C(R)$ satisfies $e(t+2\pi) = e(t)$ for all t, $f(u) \in C(R)$, $n \ge 1$ is an integer. The linear part, $x'' + n^2x = e(t)$, is at resonance, with the null space spanned by $\cos nt$ and $\sin nt$. Define $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$. We assume that the finite limits $F(\infty)$ and $F(-\infty)$ exist, and

$$F(-\infty) < F(x) < F(\infty)$$
 for all x . (1.2)

Define

$$A_n = \int_0^{2\pi} e(t) \cos nt dt, \quad B_n = \int_0^{2\pi} e(t) \sin nt dt.$$

The following theorem was proved in case n = 1 by Lazer [4], based on Frederickson and Lazer [1]. The paper [1] was the precursor to the classical works of Landesman and Lazer [3], and Lazer and Leach [5].

Theorem 1.1 The condition

$$\sqrt{A_n^2 + B_n^2} < 2n(F(\infty) - F(-\infty))$$
 (1.3)

is necessary and sufficient for the existence of 2π periodic solution of (1.1).

^{*}Received June 28, 2013; revised December 28, 2013.

We provide a proof for all n, by modifying the argument in [4].

Remarkably, things are different for the corresponding Dirichlet boundary value problem, for which we derive a necessary condition for the existence of solutions, but show by a numerical computation that this condition is not sufficient.

2 The Proof

The following elementary lemmas are easy to prove.

Lemma 2.1 Consider a function $\cos(nt - \varphi)$, with an integer n and any real φ . Denote $P = \{t \in (0, 2\pi) \mid \cos(nt - \varphi) > 0\}$ and $N = \{t \in (0, 2\pi) \mid \cos(nt - \varphi) < 0\}$. Then

$$\int_{P} \cos(nt - \varphi) dt = 2, \quad \int_{N} \cos(nt - \varphi) dt = -2.$$

Lemma 2.2 Consider a function $\sin(nt - \varphi)$, with an integer n and any real φ . Denote $P_1 = \{t \in (0, 2\pi) \mid \sin(nt - \varphi) > 0\}$ and $N_1 = \{t \in (0, 2\pi) \mid \sin(nt - \varphi) < 0\}$. Then

$$\int_{P_1} \sin(nt - \varphi) dt = 2, \quad \int_{N_1} \sin(nt - \varphi) dt = -2.$$

Proof of the Theorem 1.1 1) Necessity

Given arbitrary numbers a and b, we can find a $\delta \in [0, 2\pi)$, so that

$$a\cos nt + b\sin nt = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}\cos(nt - \delta)$$

 $(\cos \delta = \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}, \sin \delta = \frac{b}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}})$. We multiply (1.1) by $a \cos nt$, then by $b \sin nt$, integrate and add the results

$$I \equiv \int_0^{2\pi} F(x(t))' \cos(nt - \delta) dt = \frac{aA_n + bB_n}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}.$$
 (2.1)

Using that x(t) is a 2π periodic solution, and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$I = n \int_0^{2\pi} F(x(t)) \sin(nt - \delta) dt = n \int_{P_1} +n \int_{N_1} <2n (F(\infty) - F(-\infty)).$$

Similarly, $I > -2n(F(\infty) - F(-\infty))$, and so $|I| < 2n(F(\infty) - F(-\infty))$. On the right in (2.1) we have the scalar product of the vector (A_n, B_n) and an arbitrary unit vector. The condition (1.3) follows.

2) Sufficiency

We write our equation $(x' + F(x))' + n^2x = e(t)$ in the system form

$$x' = -F(x) + y, y' = -n^2x + e(t).$$
 (2.2)

Setting $x = \frac{1}{n}X$, y = Y, we get

$$X' = -nF(\frac{1}{n}X) + nY, \qquad Y' = -nX + e(t). \tag{2.3}$$

Let $r(t) = \sqrt{X^2(t) + Y^2(t)}$. Then

$$r'(t) = \frac{XX' + YY'}{r(t)} = \frac{-nXF(\frac{1}{n}X) + e(t)Y}{r(t)}.$$
 (2.4)

We see that if r(t) is large, r'(t) is bounded. It follows that there exists $r_0 > 0$, so that if $|r(0)| > r_0$, then r(t) > 0 for all $t \in [0, 2\pi]$, thus avoiding a singularity in (2.4). Switching to

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4663705

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4663705

Daneshyari.com