

Finite multiplicity theorems for induction and restriction

Toshiyuki Kobayashi^{a,b,*}, Toshio Oshima^b

^a Kavli IPMU (WPI), Japan

^b Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, 153-8914 Tokyo, Japan

Received 29 December 2012; accepted 31 July 2013

Available online 12 September 2013

Communicated by Takahiro Kawai

Abstract

We find upper and lower bounds of the multiplicities of irreducible admissible representations π of a semisimple Lie group G occurring in the induced representations $\text{Ind}_H^G \tau$ from irreducible representations τ of a closed subgroup H . As corollaries, we establish geometric criteria for finiteness of the dimension of $\text{Hom}_G(\pi, \text{Ind}_H^G \tau)$ (induction) and of $\text{Hom}_H(\pi|_H, \tau)$ (restriction) by means of the real flag variety G/P , and discover that uniform boundedness property of these multiplicities is independent of real forms and characterized by means of the complex flag variety.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: primary 22E46; secondary 14M17, 32A45, 35A27, 53C35

Keywords: Real reductive group; Admissible representation; Multiplicity; Hyperfunction; Unitary representation; Spherical variety; Symmetric space

Contents

1. Introduction	922
2. An upper bound of the multiplicities	926
3. A lower bound of the multiplicities	933
4. Uniform boundedness of the multiplicities	936
5. Restriction of irreducible representations	939

* Corresponding author at: Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, 153-8914 Tokyo, Japan.

E-mail addresses: toshi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp (T. Kobayashi), oshima@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp (T. Oshima).

6.	Appendix	941
6.1.	Proof of Lemma 3.5	941
6.2.	Proof of Proposition 4.1	942
	Acknowledgments	943
	References	943

1. Introduction

The motivation of this work is the following fundamental questions in non-commutative harmonic analysis beyond symmetric spaces and branching problems of infinite-dimensional representations of real reductive Lie groups:

1. (Induction) What is the ‘most general setting’ of homogeneous spaces G/H in which we could expect reasonable and detailed analysis of function spaces on G/H ?

2. (Restriction) What is the ‘most general setting’ of pairs (G, H) for which we could expect reasonable and detailed analysis of branching laws of the restriction of (arbitrary) irreducible representations of G to H ?

We shall give an answer to these questions from the viewpoint of multiplicities of irreducible representations.

Let G be a connected real semisimple Lie group with finite center, and H a closed (not necessarily, reductive) subgroup with at most finitely many connected components. (It is easy to see that the results of this article remain true if we replace connected semisimple Lie groups G by linear reductive groups.)

We consider the following two geometric conditions:

There exists an open H -orbit on the real flag variety G/P . (HP)

There exists an open H_c -orbit on the complex flag variety G_c/B . (HB)

Here P is a minimal parabolic subgroup of G , B is a Borel subgroup of a complex Lie group G_c with the complexified Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_c = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, and H_c a complex subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_c = \mathfrak{h} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ are the Lie algebras of G and H , respectively. The condition (HB) is equivalent to that G_c/H_c is *spherical* (i.e. B has an open orbit on G_c/H_c) when $G \supset H$ are defined algebraically. Similarly, we call G/H is *real spherical* [14] if (HP) is satisfied (i.e. P has an open orbit on G/H), see [Remark 2.5\(4\)](#) for equivalent definitions.

An analogous notation $P_H \subset H$ and $B_H \subset H_c$ will be applied when H is reductive. In this case we can consider also the following two conditions:

There exists an open P_H -orbit on the real flag variety G/P . (PP)

There exists an open B_H -orbit on the complex flag variety G_c/B . (BB)

Clearly, these four conditions on the pair (G, H) do not depend on the choice of parabolics, coverings or connectedness of the groups, but are determined locally, namely, only by the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$. An easy argument (see [Lemma 4.2](#)) shows that the following implications hold. Here we consider (PP) and (BB) when H is reductive:

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4665979>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4665979>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)