



Butterflies in a semi-abelian context

O. Abbad, S. Mantovani^a, G. Metere^{a,*}, E.M. Vitale^b

^a *Dipartimento di matematica, Università degli studi di Milano, Via C. Saldini 50, 20133 Milano, Italy*

^b *Institut de recherche en mathématique et physique, Université catholique de Louvain, Chemin du Cyclotron 2, B 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium*

Received 22 April 2011; accepted 26 January 2013

Available online 6 March 2013

Communicated by Ross Street

In memory of Aurelio Carboni

Abstract

It is known that monoidal functors between internal groupoids in the category Grp of groups constitute the bicategory of fractions of the 2-category $Grpd(Grp)$ of internal groupoids, internal functors and internal natural transformations in Grp , with respect to weak equivalences (that is, internal functors which are internally fully faithful and essentially surjective on objects). Monoidal functors can be equivalently described by a kind of weak morphisms introduced by B. Noohi under the name of butterflies. In order to internalize monoidal functors in a wide context, we introduce the notion of internal butterflies between internal crossed modules in a semi-abelian category \mathcal{C} , and we show that they are morphisms of a bicategory $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C})$. Our main result states that, when in \mathcal{C} the notions of Huq commutator and Smith commutator coincide, then the bicategory $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C})$ of internal butterflies is the bicategory of fractions of $Grpd(\mathcal{C})$ with respect to weak equivalences.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: 18D05; 18B40; 18E10; 18A40

Keywords: Butterfly; Internal groupoid; Bicategory of fractions; Weak equivalence; Semi-abelian category

Contents

1. Introduction.....	141
----------------------	-----

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: oabbad@hotmail.com (O. Abbad), sandra.mantovani@unimi.it (S. Mantovani), giuseppe.metere@unimi.it, giuseppe.metere@gmail.com (G. Metere), enrico.vitale@uclouvain.be (E.M. Vitale).

2.	Internal groupoids and internal crossed modules	143
2.1.	Internal groupoids	143
2.2.	Internal crossed modules	145
3.	The bicategory of butterflies	149
3.1.	Butterflies	149
3.2.	Fractors	151
3.3.	Identity butterflies	152
3.4.	Composition of butterflies	154
4.	Butterflies and morphisms of crossed modules	157
4.1.	Split butterflies	157
4.2.	Reduced composition	159
5.	Butterflies are fractions	162
5.1.	Bicategories of fractions	162
5.2.	From butterflies to fractions	163
5.3.	The universal homomorphism	166
6.	Butterflies and weak morphisms of internal groupoids	172
6.1.	The technique	172
6.2.	Case study: groups	173
6.3.	Case study: Lie algebras	174
7.	Classification of extensions	175
8.	The free exact case	177
9.	A reminder on semi-abelian categories	179
9.1.	Protomodular and semi-abelian categories	179
9.2.	The “Huq = Smith” condition	180
9.3.	Butterflies in a Grothendieck topos	181
9.4.	Internal object actions	181
	Acknowledgment	182
	References	182

1. Introduction

A groupoid in the category of groups is a special case of strict monoidal category, tensor product being provided by the group structure on objects and arrows. Therefore, beyond internal functors, as arrows between groupoids in groups we can consider monoidal functors, that is, functors between the underlying categories

$$F: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G},$$

equipped with a natural and coherent family of isomorphisms

$$F^{x,y}: F_0(x) + F_0(y) \rightarrow F_0(x + y) \quad x, y \in H_0.$$

Both notions of monoidal functor and internal functor are relevant as morphisms of groupoids in groups (just to cite an example, as a special case of monoidal functors we get group extensions, whereas in the same case internal functors give split extensions, see Section 7, so the question of expressing monoidal functors in an internal way arises.

Three progresses have been recently accomplished in this direction. In [40] (see also [41] and [2]) B. Noohi has proved that the bicategory having groupoids in groups as objects and monoidal functors as 1-cells can be equivalently described using crossed modules of groups as objects and what he calls butterflies as arrows. Moreover, in a paper with E. Aldrovandi [2], the

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4666074>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4666074>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)