

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SciVerse ScienceDirect

ADVANCES IN Mathematics

Advances in Mathematics 232 (2013) 543–570

www.elsevier.com/locate/aim

### Cantor boundary behavior of analytic functions

Xin-Han Dong<sup>a,\*</sup>, Ka-Sing Lau<sup>b,a</sup>, Jing-Cheng Liu<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of High Performance Computing and Stochastic Information Processing (Ministry of Education of China), College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, China <sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

> Received 7 June 2011; accepted 22 September 2012 Available online 23 October 2012

> > Communicated by Kenneth Falconer

#### Abstract

Let  $A(\mathbb{D})$  be the space of analytic functions on the open disk  $\mathbb{D}$  and continuous on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . Let  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  be the boundary of  $\mathbb{D}$ , we are interested in the class of  $f \in A(\mathbb{D})$  such that the image  $f(\partial \mathbb{D})$  is a curve that forms loops everywhere. This fractal behavior was first raised by Lund et al. (1998) [21] in the study of the Cauchy transform of the Hausdorff measure on the Sierpinski gasket. We formulate the property as the *Cantor boundary behavior* (CBB) and establish two sufficient conditions through the distribution of zeros of f'(z) and the mean growth rate of |f'(z)| near the boundary. For the specific cases we carry out a detailed investigation on the gap series and the complex Weierstrass functions; the CBB for the Cauchy transform on the Sierpinski gasket will appear elsewhere.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: primary 30C 35; secondary 30C 55; 28A 80

*Keywords:* Analyticity; Boundary behavior; Blaschke product; Cantor set; Conformal; Fractal; Growth rate; Lacunary series; Simply connected; Univalence; Weierstrass function; Zeros

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be the open unit disk and let  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  be the boundary of  $\mathbb{D}$ . For f analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and continuous on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , let  $\partial f(\mathbb{D})$  denote the boundary of  $f(\mathbb{D})$ . It follows from the open mapping

\* Corresponding author.

0001-8708/\$ - see front matter © 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.aim.2012.09.021

*E-mail addresses:* xhdong@hunnu.edu.cn (X.-H. Dong), kslau@math.cuhk.edu.hk (K.-S. Lau), liujingcheng11@126.com (J.-C. Liu).

theorem that  $\partial f(\mathbb{D}) \subset f(\partial \mathbb{D})$ . These two sets have very rich and intriguing geometric properties. In fact, when f is conformal on  $\mathbb{D}$ , then they are equal and there is a large literature on their boundary behaviors; the reader can refer to Pommerenke [28] for the classical developments; there are more recent developments in connection with Brownian motion [20] and for the random fractals [3,4].

Our interest is in the class of analytic functions f for which the image curve  $f(\partial \mathbb{D})$  form infinitely many loops everywhere; naturally they are not univalent. From intuition, the function f has the property that for any open arc I on  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ , f(I) contains at least one loop (which is inside  $f(\mathbb{D})$ ). If we let  $\mathcal{C} = f^{-1}(\partial f(\mathbb{D}))$ , then  $\mathcal{C} = \partial \mathbb{D} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$ , where  $I_i$  are open arcs of  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ ,  $f(I_i) \subset f(\mathbb{D})$ , and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i = \partial \mathbb{D}$ . The condition of loops everywhere implies that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a nowhere dense closed set (i.e., totally disconnected) and the image stretches out to be  $f(\mathcal{C}) = \partial f(\mathbb{D})$ , which is a curve if  $f(\mathbb{D})$  is simply connected, or contains more than one curve if  $f(\mathbb{D})$  is multiple connected. This is analogous to the Cantor function that maps the Cantor set onto the interval [0, 1]. Also note that for any uncountable nowhere dense closed set  $E \subset \partial \mathbb{D}$ , if we let  $E' \subseteq E$  be the set of accumulation points x of E such that each neighborhood of xcontains *uncountably* many points of E, then E' is, in addition, a perfect set (no isolated point), and it is well known that such E' is homeomorphic to the Cantor set [17, p. 100]. For this reason we call an uncountable nowhere dense closed subset  $E \subset \partial \mathbb{D}$  a *Cantor-type set* for convenience.

This boundary behavior was first observed by Lund et al. [21] in the study of Cauchy transform on the Sierpinski gasket. Let  $\mu$  be the canonical Hausdorff measure on the Sierpinski gasket *K* and let  $F(z) = \int_K d\mu(w)/(z-w)$  be the Cauchy transform. It is clear that *F* is analytic outside *K*, and they showed that *F* has a Hölder continuous extension over *K*. Let  $\Delta$  be the unbounded connected component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ . From computer graphics, they observed that the image  $F(\partial \Delta)$ is a complicate system of loops. They raised the *Cantor set conjecture* that  $F^{-1}(\partial F(\Delta))$  is a Cantor-type set (see also [7,8]). By using the Riemann mapping theorem, we can convert it into the more general problem on the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  as the above.

To formulate such boundary behavior, there are difficulties in obtaining a precise meaning of "infinitely many loops". Our approach is to use a weaker topological concept on the connected components determined by  $f(\partial \mathbb{D})$ . We let  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  be the Riemann sphere. We make a first decomposition on the range as

$$\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus f(\partial \mathbb{D}) = \bigcup_{j} \mathcal{W}_{j}$$
(1.1)

where  $W_j$  are connected components (see section 2); then a second decomposition on the domain by

$$f^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_j) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{q_j} O_j^k$$
(1.2)

with  $O_j^k$  connected components and  $q_j < \infty$ . It follows that these  $W_j$ ,  $O_j^k$  are simply connected and f satisfies  $f(O_j^k) = W_j$  and  $f(\partial O_j^k) = \partial W_j$  (Theorem 2.4), this is equivalent to say that  $f: O_j^k \to W_j$  is a *proper map*, in the sense that pre-images of compact subsets in the range are compact [29].

**Definition 1.1.** We say that  $f \in A(\mathbb{D})$  has the *Cantor boundary behavior (CBB)* if (i)  $f^{-1}(\partial f(\mathbb{D}))$  and (ii)  $f^{-1}(\partial W_j) \cap \partial \mathbb{D}$  for each j, are Cantor-type set in  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ .

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4666135

Download Persian Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/article/4666135

Daneshyari.com