





C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 346 (2008) 297-300

http://france.elsevier.com/direct/CRASS1/

Partial Differential Equations

Magnetic Ginzburg-Landau functional with discontinuous constraint

Ayman Kachmar

Université Paris-Sud, département de mathématiques, bâtiment 425, 91405 Orsay cedex, France
Received 12 November 2007; accepted 29 January 2008

Available online 15 February 2008

Presented by Jean-Michel Bony

Abstract

This Note reports on results obtained for minimizers of a Ginzburg-Landau functional with discontinuous constraint. These results concern vortex-pinning and boundary conditions for inhomogeneous superconducting samples. *To cite this article: A. Kachmar, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 346 (2008).*

© 2008 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Résumé

Une fonctionnelle de Ginzburg-Landau magnétique avec une contrainte discontinue. Cette Note rend compte sur des résultats récents obtenus pour les minimiseurs d'une fonctionnelle de Ginzburg-Landau avec une contrainte discontinue. Ces résultats concernent le phénomène de chevillage (pinning) de vortex et les conditions aux limites pour des échantillons supraconducteurs inhomogènes. *Pour citer cet article : A. Kachmar, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 346 (2008)*.

© 2008 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Ginzburg-Landau functional with discontinuous constraint

Some physical experiments deal with superconducting samples subject to non-constant temperatures, or with samples consisting of superconducting materials with different critical temperatures; see [10] for a recent review concerning these experiments. Such superconducting samples are of particular interest since they permit to increase (or decrease) the value of the onset field H_{C_3} (third critical field), and they serve in controlling the position of vortices, exhibiting thus a phenomenon known as *vortex-pinning*.

In the framework of the Ginzburg–Landau theory, it is proposed to model the energy of an inhomogeneous superconducting sample by means of the following functional (see [2]):

$$\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon,H}(\psi,A) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\left| (\nabla - iA)\psi \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} \left(p(x) - |\psi|^2 \right)^2 + |\operatorname{curl} A - H|^2 \right) dx. \tag{1}$$

Here $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the 2-D cross section of the sample (assumed to occupy a cylinder of infinite height), $H \geqslant 0$ is the intensity of the applied magnetic field, $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} = \kappa > 0$ is the Ginzburg-Landau parameter (a temperature independent

E-mail address: ayman.kachmar@math.u-psud.fr.

parameter), and p(x) is a real valued function whose values are determined by the local temperature in the sample. The functional (1) is defined for pairs (ψ, A) in the space $\mathcal{H} = H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C}) \times H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$.

Lassoued and Mironescu [9] analyzed the functional (1) without a magnetic field (i.e. H = 0 and A = 0) and when the function p(x) is a step function. Aftalion, Sandier and Serfaty [1] analyzed the functional (1) when the function p(x) is smooth and strictly positive. We analyze the functional (1) in the following case:

$$\Omega = B(0, 1), \quad p(x) = 1 \quad \text{in } B(0, R), \quad p(x) = a \quad \text{in } B(0, 1) \setminus B(0, R),$$
 (2)

where B(0, 1) denotes the unit disc in \mathbb{R}^2 , $R \in]0, 1[$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{1\}$ are given constants.

2. The case without a magnetic field

The next theorem characterizes the set of minimizers of the functional (1) when there is no applied magnetic field, i.e. H = 0.

Theorem 1. Assume that H = 0. Up to a gauge transformation, the functional (1) admits in the space \mathcal{H} a unique minimizer $(u_{\varepsilon}, 0)$, where $u_{\varepsilon} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative function.

Moreover, there exists a constant ε_0 such that for all $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0[$, $u_{\varepsilon} \in C^2(\overline{B(0,R)}) \cup C^2(\overline{B(0,1)} \setminus B(0,R))$ and

$$\min(1, \sqrt{a}) < u_{\varepsilon} < \max(1, \sqrt{a}) \quad in \ \overline{\Omega}.$$

To understand the asymptotic behavior of the function u_{ε} , we show that there is a unique positive and bounded function $U: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ that solves the equation:

$$-U''(t) = (p_0(t) - U^2(t))U(t) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{where } p_0(t) = 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_- \text{ and } p_0(t) = a \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+.$$
 (3)

The expression of U(t) can be given explicitly (see [5]), but we only need to know that the quantity $\gamma(a) =$ U'(0)/U(0) is positive when a < 1, and negative when a > 1.

By a blow-up argument, we are able to describe the asymptotic behavior of the function u_{ε} by means of the onedimensional function U.

Theorem 2. The following asymptotic limits hold as $\varepsilon \to 0$:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left\| u_{\varepsilon}(x) - U\left(\frac{|x| - R}{\varepsilon}\right) \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} = 0, \tag{4}$$

$$\forall C > 0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon \left\| u_{\varepsilon}(x) - U\left(\frac{|x| - R}{\varepsilon}\right) \right\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2: |R - |x| | \leqslant C\varepsilon\})} = 0.$$
 (5)

3. Vortex pinning

We return to the analysis of minimizers of the functional (1) in the presence of an applied magnetic field, i.e. H > 0.

Theorem 3. Let $(\psi_{\varepsilon,H}, A_{\varepsilon,H})$ be a minimizer of (1). There exists a constant $a_0 \in]0, 1[$, and for each $a \in]0, a_0[$, there exist positive constants μ_* , μ_* , ϵ_0 and a function $]0, \epsilon_0[\ni \epsilon \mapsto k_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{R}_+, 0 < \liminf_{\epsilon \to 0} k_{\epsilon} \leq \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} k_{\epsilon} < \infty$, such that:

- (i) If H < k_ε | ln ε | − μ_{*} ln | ln ε |, then |ψ_{ε,H}| ≥ √a/2 in Ω.
 (ii) If H = k_ε | ln ε | + μ ln | ln ε | and μ ≥ −μ_{*}, then there exists a finite family of balls (B(a_i(ε), r_i(ε)))_i with the following properties:
 - (a) $\sum_{i} r_i(\varepsilon) < |\ln \varepsilon|^{-10}$;

 - (b) $|\psi_{\varepsilon,H}| \geqslant \frac{\sqrt{a}}{2}$ in $\overline{\Omega} \setminus \bigcup_i B(a_i(\varepsilon), r_i(\varepsilon))$; (c) Letting d_i be the degree of $\psi_{\varepsilon,H}/|\psi_{\varepsilon,H}|$ on $\partial B(a_i(\varepsilon), r_i(\varepsilon))$ if $B(a_i, r_i) \subset \Omega$ and 0 otherwise, then we have $\sup_{i,|d_i|>0} |R - |a_i(\varepsilon)|| \to 0 \quad as \ \varepsilon \to 0.$

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4672401

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4672401

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>