



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

**ScienceDirect** 

indagationes mathematicae

Indagationes Mathematicae 27 (2016) 985–990

www.elsevier.com/locate/indag

### Complete connections on fiber bundles

Matias del Hoyo

IMPA, Estrada Dona Castorina 110, Rio de Janeiro 22460-320, Brazil

Received 25 April 2016; accepted 13 June 2016

Communicated by M.N. Crainic

#### Abstract

Every smooth fiber bundle admits a complete (Ehresmann) connection. This result appears in several references, with a proof on which we have found a gap, that does not seem possible to remedy. In this note we provide a definite proof for this fact, explain the problem with the previous one, and illustrate with examples. We also establish a version of the theorem involving Riemannian submersions.

© 2016 Royal Dutch Mathematical Society (KWG). Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Ehresmann connections; Fiber bundles; Riemannian submersions

### 1. Introduction: A rather tricky exercise

An *(Ehresmann) connection* on a submersion  $p : E \to B$  is a smooth distribution  $H \subset TE$  that is complementary to the kernel of the differential, namely  $TE = H \oplus \ker dp$ . The distributions H and ker dp are called *horizontal* and *vertical*, respectively, and a curve on E is called horizontal (resp. vertical) if its speed only takes values in H (resp. ker dp). Every submersion admits a connection: we can take for instance a Riemannian metric  $\eta^E$  on E and set H as the distribution orthogonal to the fibers.

Given  $p : E \to B$  a submersion and  $H \subset TE$  a connection, a smooth curve  $\gamma : I \to B$ ,  $t_0 \in I$ , locally defines a *horizontal lift*  $\tilde{\gamma}_e : J \to E$ ,  $t_0 \in J \subset I$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma}_e(t_0) = e$ , for *e* an arbitrary point in the fiber. This lift is unique if we require *J* to be maximal, and depends smoothly on *e*.

E-mail address: mdelhoyo@impa.br.

URL: http://w3.impa.br/~mdelhoyo/.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2016.06.009

<sup>0019-3577/© 2016</sup> Royal Dutch Mathematical Society (KWG). Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

The connection *H* is said to be *complete* if for every  $\gamma$  its horizontal lifts can be defined in the whole domain. In that case, a curve  $\gamma$  induces diffeomorphisms between the fibers by *parallel transport*. See e.g. [9] for further details.

The purpose of this article is to show that, when *B* is connected, a submersion  $p : E \to B$  admits a complete connection if and only if *p* is a *fiber bundle*, namely if there are *local trivializations*  $\phi_i : p^{-1}(U_i) \to U_i \times F, \pi_1 \phi_i = p$ . One implication is easy: if *H* is a complete connection, working locally, we can assume  $U_i$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and define  $\phi_i^{-1} : U_i \times p^{-1}(0) \to p^{-1}(U_i)$  by performing parallel transport along radial segments, obtaining a fiber bundle over each component of *B*. The converse, as we shall see, is definitely more challenging.

As far as we know, this result first appeared in [10, Cor 2.5], with a proof that turned out to be incorrect, and then as an exercise in [4, Ex VII.12]. Later it was presented as a theorem in [6-9], always relying in a second proof, that P. Michor attributed to S. Halperin in [7], and that we learnt from [2]. We have found a gap in that argument, that does not seem possible to remedy. Concretely, it is assumed that fibered metrics are closed under convex combinations. A counterexample for this can be found in [1, Ex 2.1.3].

In Section 2 we prove that every fiber bundle admits a complete connection. Our strategy uses local complete connections and a partition of 1, as done by Michor, but we allow our coefficients to vary along the fibers. We do it in a way so as to make the averaged connection and the local ones to agree in enough horizontal sections, which we show insures completeness. In Section 3 we discuss fibered complete Riemannian metrics, provide counter-examples to some constructions in the literature, and show that every fiber bundle admits a complete fibered metric, concluding the triple equivalence originally proposed in [10].

#### 2. Our construction of complete connections

Given  $(U_i, \phi_i)$  a local trivialization of  $p : E \to B$ , there is an *induced connection* on  $p : p^{-1}(U_i) \to U_i$  defined by  $H_i = d\phi_i^{-1}(TU_i \times 0_F)$ , and is complete. The space of connections inherits a convex structure by identifying each connection H with the corresponding projection onto the vertical component. It is tempting then to construct a global complete connection, out of the ones induced by trivializations, by using a partition of 1. The problem is that, as stated in [4], complete connections are not closed under convex combinations.

**Example 1.** Let  $p : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be the projection onto the first coordinate, and let  $H_1, H_2$  be the connections spanned by the following horizontal vector fields:

$$H_1 = \langle \partial_x + 2y^2 \sin^2(y) \partial_y \rangle \qquad H_2 = \langle \partial_x + 2y^2 \cos^2(y) \partial_y \rangle.$$

Note that the curves  $t \mapsto (t, k\pi), k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , integrate  $H_1$ , and because of them, any other horizontal lift of  $H_1$  is bounded and cannot go to  $\infty$ . The same argument applies to  $H_2$ . Hence both connections are complete. However, the averaged connection  $\frac{1}{2}(H_1 + H_2)$  is spanned by the horizontal vector field  $\partial_x + y^2 \partial_y$  and is not complete.

Our strategy to prove that every fiber bundle  $p : E \to B$  admits a complete connection is inspired by previous example. We will paste the connections induced by local trivializations by using a partition of 1, in a way so as to preserve enough local horizontal sections, that will bound any other horizontal lift of a curve. Given  $U \subset B$  an open, we say that a local section  $\sigma : U \to E$ is *horizontal* if  $d\sigma$  takes values in H, and we say that a family of local sections  $\{\sigma_k : U \to E\}_k$ is *disconnecting* if each component of  $p^{-1}(U) \setminus \bigcup_k \sigma_k(U)$  has compact closure in E. Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4672736

Download Persian Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/article/4672736

Daneshyari.com