



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



indagationes mathematicae

Indagationes Mathematicae 27 (2016) 392-405

www.elsevier.com/locate/indag

## Diophantine equations with truncated binomial polynomials

Artūras Dubickas<sup>a</sup>, Dijana Kreso<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University, Naugarduko 24, LT-03225 Vilnius, Lithuania <sup>b</sup> Institut für Analysis und Computational Number Theory (Math A), Technische Universität Graz, Steyrergasse 30/II, 8010 Graz, Austria

Received 20 February 2015; received in revised form 3 September 2015; accepted 6 November 2015

Communicated by F. Beukers

## Abstract

For positive integers  $k \le n$  let  $P_{n,k}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{k} {n \choose j} x^j$  be the binomial expansion of  $(1 + x)^n$  truncated at the *k*th stage. In this paper we show the finiteness of solutions of Diophantine equations of type  $P_{n,k}(x) = P_{m,l}(y)$  in  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  under assumption of irreducibility of truncated binomial polynomials  $P_{n-1,k-1}(x)$  and  $P_{m-1,l-1}(x)$ . Although the irreducibility of  $P_{n,k}(x)$  has been studied by several authors, in general, this problem is still open. In addition, we give some results about the possible ways to write  $P_{n,k}(x)$  as a functional composition of two lower degree polynomials.

© 2015 Royal Dutch Mathematical Society (KWG). Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Truncated binomial expansion; Dickson polynomial; Diophantine equations

## 1. Introduction and main results

For positive integers  $k \leq n$  put

$$P_{n,k}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{n}{j} x^{j} = \binom{n}{0} + \binom{n}{1} x + \binom{n}{2} x^{2} + \dots + \binom{n}{k} x^{k}.$$

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: arturas.dubickas@mif.vu.lt (A. Dubickas), kreso@math.tugraz.at (D. Kreso).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2015.11.006

0019-3577/© 2015 Royal Dutch Mathematical Society (KWG). Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

The polynomial  $P_{n,k}(x)$  is said to be a *truncated binomial expansion* (*polynomial*) at the *k*th stage. We study Diophantine equations of type

$$P_{n,k}(x) = P_{m,l}(y) \quad \text{with } n, k, m, l \in \mathbb{N}, \ k \le n-1, \ l \le m-1.$$
(1.1)

We prove that Eq. (1.1) has only finitely many integer solutions under certain reasonable assumptions. The main results are deduced from a general finiteness criterion for the Diophantine equation f(x) = g(y) established by Bilu and Tichy in [3]. The proof requires several auxiliary results about the possible ways to write a truncated binomial expansion as a functional composition of two lower degree polynomials, as the above mentioned theorem of Bilu and Tichy essentially says that the equation of type f(x) = g(y) has only finitely many solutions in integers x, y, unless the polynomials f(x) and g(x) can be written as a functional composition of some lower degree polynomials in a prescribed way. Factorization of polynomials under the operation of functional composition, i.e. "polynomial decomposition" was first studied by Ritt [13], and subsequently investigated and applied by many other authors; see, for instance, [3,6,9,11,12,15,18,20].

Our interest in Eq. (1.1) has arisen from our considerations of decomposition properties of truncated binomial expansions. Note that  $P'_{n,k}(x) = nP_{n-1,k-1}(x)$ , so if  $P_{n,k}(x) = g(h(x))$ , where  $g(x), h(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  satisfy deg g > 1 and deg h > 1, then

$$nP_{n-1,k-1}(x) = P'_{n,k}(x) = g'(h(x))h'(x),$$

and, consequently, the polynomial  $P_{n-1,k-1}(x)$  is reducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The question of irreducibility of truncated binomial expansions first appeared in [14]. It was studied by Filaseta, Kumchev and Pasechnik [8], and subsequently by Khanduja, Khassa and Laishram [10]. There are indications that the polynomials  $P_{n,k}(x)$  are irreducible for all pairs  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $k \le n-2$ , although this problem is still far from being solved. It is known that  $P_{n,k}(x)$  are irreducible for  $n \le 100$ and  $k \le n-2$ , see [8]. It is easy to see that  $P_{n,k}(x)$  is irreducible for k = 2, since in this case the discriminant of the polynomial is negative, so that it has two complex roots. It is also known that  $P_{n,k}(x)$  are irreducible for all  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $2k \le n < (k+1)^3$ , see [10]. Furthermore, as it was shown in [8] for any fixed integer  $k \ge 3$  there exists an integer  $n_0(k)$  such that  $P_{n,k}(x)$ is irreducible for every  $n \ge n_0$ . Finally, in the same paper it was proved that if n is prime, then  $P_{n,k}(x)$  is irreducible for each k in the range  $1 \le k \le n-1$ .

In this paper we prove the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $n, k, m, l \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $2 \le k \le n - 1, 2 \le l \le m - 1$  and  $k \ne l$ . If  $P_{n-1,k-1}(x)$  and  $P_{m-1,l-1}(x)$  are irreducible, then the equation  $P_{n,k}(x) = P_{m,l}(y)$  has at most finitely many integer solutions (x, y).

Note that the truncated binomial expansion at the last stage, i.e. when k = n - 1, takes the form  $P_{n,n-1}(x) = (x + 1)^n - x^n$ . If *n* is a composite integer, then  $P_{n,n-1}(x)$  is clearly reducible. If n = p is a prime, then the polynomial  $P_{n,n-1}(x) = P_{p,p-1}(x)$  is irreducible, by the Eisenstein criterion applied to the reciprocal polynomial  $x^{p-1}P_{p,p-1}(1/x)$ . As an auxiliary result we show that if *n* is even, then  $P_{n,n-1}(x)$  cannot be written in the form

$$P_{n,n-1}(x) = g(x) \circ h(x) = g(h(x))$$

with  $g(x), h(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  and deg g > 1, deg h > 1. We further show that if n is odd, then essentially the only way to write  $P_{n,n-1}(x)$  as a functional composition of polynomials of lower degree is the following: write n = 2n' + 1 and  $\omega_j = \exp(2\pi i j/n), j = 1, 2, ..., n$ , so that

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4672835

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4672835

Daneshyari.com