

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Polar Science 5 (2011) 211-224

## Spatial distribution of pelagic fish off Adélie and George V Land, East Antarctica in the austral summer 2008

Masato Moteki <sup>a,\*</sup>, Philippe Koubbi <sup>b,c</sup>, Patrice Pruvost <sup>d</sup>, Eric Tavernier <sup>e</sup>, Percy-Alexander Hulley <sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Ocean Sciences, Tokyo University of Marine Science and Technology, 4-5-7 Konan, Minato, 108-8477 Tokyo Japan <sup>b</sup> UPMC Université Paris 06, UMR 7093, Laboratoire d'Océanographie de Villefranche, BP 28, 06234 Villefranche-sur-Mer, France <sup>c</sup> CNRS, UMR 7093, LOV, BP 28, 06234 Villefranche-sur-Mer, France

<sup>d</sup> Muséum national d'histoire naturelle, Collection d'ichthyologie CP26, MNHN, 43 rue Cuvier, 75231 Paris, Cedex 05, France <sup>e</sup> Dpt Génie Biologique, IUT Calais-Boulogne, Bassin Napoléon, Quai Masset, B.P. 120, 62327 Boulogne sur Mer, Cedex, France <sup>f</sup> Department of Marine Biology, Iziko-South African Museum, Cape Town 8000, South Africa

> Received 14 February 2011; revised 17 March 2011; accepted 5 April 2011 Available online 27 April 2011

## Abstract

Pelagic fish assemblages and community structure were examined along longitudinal and meridian transects off Adélie and George V Land, East Antarctica, in the austral summer 2008. Fish were sampled with an RMT 8 net principally from six discrete depth layers (0-50-100-200-500-1000-2000 m) in the oceanic zone and from three depth layers (0-50-100-200 m) over the continental shelf zone. A total of 20,281 individuals from 27 species were collected. *Pleuragramma antarcticum* was the most dominant species by number (18,710 inds), followed by *Chionodraco hamatus* (768), *Trematomus newnesi* (375), *Cyclothone microdon* (101), *Electrona antarctica* (92), *Bathylagus antarcticus* (51) and *Notolepis coatsi* (54). Cluster analysis revealed that the fish community was clearly divided at the Antarctic Slope Front into separate oceanic and shelf assemblages, being dominated by mesopelagic fish and notothenioids, respectively. The Southern Boundary of Antarctic Circumpolar Current likely restricted a more northern distribution of notothenioids in the upper 200 m. Mesopelagic fish in the oceanic zone would unlikely be eaten by seabirds because no distinctive diel vertical migration to the surface layer was observed. In the neritic zone, notothenioids (*C. hamatus, T. newnesi* and *P. antarcticum*) possibly play an important role as prey items for flying seabirds, penguins and other notothenioids in the surface layer was observed. In the neritic zone, notothenioids (*C. hamatus, T. newnesi* and *P. antarcticum*) possibly play an important role as prey items for flying seabirds, penguins and other notothenioids that in the upper 400 m.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. and NIPR. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Pelagic fish; Community structure; East Antarctica; Mesopelagic fish; Notothenioids

## 1. Introduction

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: masato@kaiyodai.ac.jp (M. Moteki).

While krill have been identified as a key trophic component, pelagic fish are also important elements of the food web in the Southern Ocean. Among the pelagic fish, Antarctic myctophids or lantern fish have

Table 1
Abundance (number 100 m <sup>-2</sup> ) of fish collected off Adélie and George V Land, Indian sector, East Antarctica, by an RMT 8 in the austral summer.

	Stn.	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Bathylagidae	Bathylagus antarcticus	19.4 (2)	3.2 (1)	50.4 (6)	18.5 (4)	35.8 (7)		118.9 (24)	31.4 (7)
Gonostomatidae	Cyclothone microdon	139.3 (21)	48.5 (11)	127.2 (18)	64.7 (15)	35.8 (7)	30 (10)	68.2 (13)	25.3 (6)
Paralepididae	Notolepis coatsi	15.3 (11)	27.4 (7)	24.7 (7)	3.2 (2)	18.2 (5)	28.9 (13)	14 (3)	18.8 (4)
Myctophidae	Electrona antarctica Gymnoscoplelus braueri Krefftichthys anderssoni Protomyctophum bolini	70.7 (15)	29.7 (7) 4.4 (1) 4.4 (1)	73.7 (29) 1.2 (1) 4.3 (3)	27.7 (6) 3.2 (1)	23.3 (5) 5.1 (1)	36.5 (13) 1.8 (1) 9.4 (3) 1.6 (1)	20.1 (5) 4.9 (1)	51.3 (12) 4.2 (2) 4.9 (1)
Macrouridae	Cynomacrurus piriei		4.4 (1)						
Melamphaidae	Melamphaes microps					5.1 (1)			
Nototheniidae	Pleuragramma antarcticum Trematomus lepidorhinus Trematomus newnesi								
Artedidraconidae	Artedidraco loennbergi Artedidraco shackletoni Artedidraco skottsbergi Artedidraco Type A Artedidraco Type B Pogonophryne sp.							1.4 (2)	
Bathydraconidae	Bathydraco antarcticus Cygnodraco mawsoni Prionodraco evansii Racovitzia glacialis								3.2 (1) 0.8 (1)
Channichthydae	Chaenodraco wilsoni Chionodraco hamatus Cryodraco antarcticus Dacodraco hunteri Pagetopsis sp.								- ( )
	Total	260.0 (52)	122.0 (29)	281.5 (64)	117.3 (28)	123.3 (26)	108.2 (41)	227.5 (48)	139.9 (34)

Abundance values represent number of fish in the  $100 \text{ m}^2$  water column from surface to the maximum depth samples at each station. Number in parenthesis indicates individual number collected.

been considered as one of the key families in the oceanic realm from the subantarctic zone to the Antarctic continental shelf with their biogeographic patterns following major water masses and fronts (Piatkowski, 1989; Hulley, 1992; Koubbi et al., 2011). Some studies revealed that myctophids and notothenioids dominated oceanic and shelf zones, respectively, near the Antarctic continental shelf (Hoddell et al., 2000; Barrera-Oro, 2002; Flores et al., 2008; Van de Putte et al., 2010) but also in the subantarctic zone (Koubbi et al., 1991; Duhamel, 1998; Duhamel et al., 2000).

Several papers have studied fish assemblages in East Antarctica (Hulley et al., 1989; Hoddell et al., 2000; Donnelly et al., 2004; Moteki et al., 2009; Van de Putte et al., 2010; Koubbi et al., 2010). In the most southern part of this ocean, one species of lantern fish Electrona antarctica dominates the mesopelagic fish fauna in terms of biomass and abundance (Greely et al., 1999). On the continental shelf, notothenioids are the dominant fish but this group has more benthic species than pelagic ones (Eastman, 2005) which was confirmed in our study area by Koubbi et al. (2010) and Causse et al. (2011). Few notothenioids have pelagic larvae (Loeb et al., 1993; North and Kellermann, 1989; Koubbi et al., 2009), whereas for others the larvae have not been described yet because of probable non-pelagic early life with parental care (Gon and Heemstra, 1990). Some icefish have larvae or juveniles linked with krill swarms (Kock, 2005), while other species are adapted to extreme conditions and are cryopelagic like Pagothenia borchgrevinki. Other species of notothenioids are benthopelagic or even pelagic feeding on plankton.

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4683246

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4683246

Daneshyari.com