



# Formula for calculating spatial similarity degrees between point clouds on multi-scale maps taking map scale change as the only independent variable<sup>☆</sup>

Yang Weifang<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Yan Haowen<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Li Jonathan<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of GIS, Lanzhou Jiaotong University, Lanzhou 730070, China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Geography & Environmental Management, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1, Canada

<sup>c</sup> Gansu Provincial Engineering Laboratory for National Geographic State Monitoring, Lanzhou 730070, China

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 15 November 2014

Accepted 16 January 2015

Available online 11 April 2015

### Keywords:

Spatial similarity degree

Map generalization

Map scale change

Point clouds

Quantitative description

Spatial similarity relations

Multi-scale map spaces

Curve fitting method

## ABSTRACT

The degree of spatial similarity plays an important role in map generalization, yet there has been no quantitative research into it. To fill this gap, this study first defines map scale change and spatial similarity degree/relation in multi-scale map spaces and then proposes a model for calculating the degree of spatial similarity between a point cloud at one scale and its generalized counterpart at another scale. After validation, the new model features 16 points with map scale change as the x coordinate and the degree of spatial similarity as the y coordinate. Finally, using an application for curve fitting, the model achieves an empirical formula that can calculate the degree of spatial similarity using map scale change as the sole independent variable, and vice versa. This formula can be used to automate algorithms for point feature generalization and to determine when to terminate them during the generalization.

© 2015, Institute of Seismology, China Earthquake Administration, etc. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Spatial similarity relation refers to similarity among and between objects on maps or in geographic space. For years, it has aroused the interest of researchers in the cartography [1]

and geographic information science [2,3] communities. Spatial similarity relation is an important component of the theory of spatial relations, which also includes distance [4], topology [5,6], and directional [7–9] relations. It is an element in spatial retrieval and spatial inference [10,11] and plays a significant role in human spatial cognition [12]. Most

<sup>☆</sup> This paper is funded by the Natural Science Foundation Committee, China (41364001, 41371435).

\* Corresponding author. Department of GIS, Lanzhou Jiaotong University, Lanzhou 730070, China.

E-mail address: [haowen2010@gmail.com](mailto:haowen2010@gmail.com) (Yan H.).

Peer review under responsibility of Institute of Seismology, China Earthquake Administration.



Production and Hosting by Elsevier on behalf of KeAi

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geog.2015.03.002>

1674-9847/© 2015, Institute of Seismology, China Earthquake Administration, etc. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

importantly, spatial similarity relation is one of the crucial factors in intelligent and automatic spatial data processing such as automated map generalization [13,14].

Map generalization is a technique for producing maps at multiple smaller scales from those at a larger scale. It is evident in map generalization that the degree of similarity between a generalized map and the original map and the scale change from the original map to the generalized map are dependent on each other [1]. The more the original map is generalized, the larger the scale changes from the original map to generalized map (Fig. 1). Nevertheless, there have been no achievements in terms of describing such relations quantitatively, which hampers the automation of map generalization for the reasons as follows: (1) the map generalization system/software does not know the extent to which an original map should be generalized to produce a resulting map at a given scale if the degree of similarity between the original map and resulting map is not known beforehand; and (2) the system/software does not know when to terminate a map generalization procedure if its parameters depend on degrees of spatial similarity.

The automation of map generalization relies on automatic algorithms to generalize various types of map attributes, including point, linear, and areal features. This study focuses on point features, aiming to propose a formula that can calculate the degree of spatial similarity by considering map scale change as the only independent variable.

After the introduction, two important concepts are defined: spatial similarity degree and map scale change, and the factors that affect the human judgment of spatial similarity are addressed (Section 2). Next, an approach to calculating spatial similarity degrees among point clouds in multi-scale map spaces is proposed (Section 3) that can calculate the degrees of spatial similarity if the original map and the resulting maps are given at multiple scales. Following this, psychological experiments are designed to validate the model (Section 4). Using the calculated degrees of spatial similarity and their corresponding map scale changes, a formula is constructed that can calculate the degrees of spatial similarity, taking map scale change as the sole independent variable (Section 5). Finally, concluding remarks are made (Section 6).

## 2. Spatial similarity degrees in multi-scale map spaces

In order to reveal the relations between map scale change and spatial similarity degree in multi-scale map spaces, it is pertinent to define the two concepts and to present the factors that affect human judgments on spatial similarity.



**Fig. 1 – Generalization of a settlement: the more the settlement is simplified, the more dissimilar the settlement becomes, and the larger the map scale changes.**

### 2.1. Map scale change

**Definition 1:** There are two maps  $M_0$  and  $M_1$ . Their scales are  $S_0$  and  $S_1$ , respectively.  $M_1$  is a generalized map of  $M_0$ . The ratio  $C_{M_0,M_1} = S_0/S_1$  is called the map scale change from map  $M_0$  to map  $M_1$ .

Map scale change is an index for evaluating the span of map scale, from the original map to the generalized map.

### 2.2. Spatial similarity degree

Spatial similarity relation refers to the similarity relation in geographic space (including map spaces). It comprises the similarity relations between individual objects and those between object groups (i.e., groups/clusters of objects) in geographic space.

In essence, similarity between two objects (or object groups) means a one-to-one correspondence of the properties of objects [1,15–17]. If the differences in the properties in similarity judgments are evaluated using different weights, spatial similarity relation can be defined as follows:

**Definition 2:** Suppose that  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are two objects in the geographic space. Their property sets are  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , respectively, and each has  $n(n > 0)$  elements  $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$  in it, i.e.,  $P_1 = \{p_{11}, p_{12}, \dots, p_{1n}\}$ , and  $P_2 = \{p_{21}, p_{22}, \dots, p_{2n}\}$ , and their corresponding weights are  $W = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$ . Let  $Sim_{A_1,A_2}^{P_i} = f_i(p_{1i}, p_{2i})$ .  $Sim_{A_1,A_2}^{P_i}$  is called the spatial similarity relations of object  $A_1$  and object  $A_2$  at property  $p_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . It is also named the spatial similarity degree between  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  at property  $p_i$ , and  $Sim_{A_1,A_2}^{P_i} \in [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 3:** Let  $Sim(A_1, A_m) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i Sim_{A_1,A_2}^{P_i}$ .  $Sim(A_1, A_m)$  is called the spatial similarity relations of object  $A_1$  and object  $A_2$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . It is also named the spatial similarity degree between  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , and  $Sim(A_1, A_m) \in [0, 1]$ .

### 2.3. Spatial similarity degree in multi-scale map spaces

**Definition 4:** Suppose that  $A$  is an object in the geographic space. It is symbolized as  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n(n > 0)$  on the maps at scales  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$ . The property sets of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  are  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$ . If each property set has  $k(k > 0)$  elements, their corresponding weights are  $W = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ . The property sets are expressed as follows:

$$P_1 = \{p_{11}, p_{12}, \dots, p_{1k}\}$$

$$P_2 = \{p_{21}, p_{22}, \dots, p_{2k}\}$$

$$P_n = \{p_{n1}, p_{n2}, \dots, p_{nk}\}$$

Let  $Sim_{A_l,A_m}^{P_j} = f_j(p_{lj}, p_{mj})$ .  $Sim_{A_l,A_m}^{P_j}$  is called the spatial similarity relations of object  $A$  at scale  $l$  and scale  $m$  regarding the  $j$ th property. Here  $i > 0; j > 0; l > 0; m > 0$ .  $Sim_{A_l,A_m}^{P_j}$  is also named the spatial similarity degree of object  $A$  at scale  $l$  and scale  $m$  regarding the  $j$ th property, and  $Sim_{A_l,A_m}^{P_j} \in [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 5:** Let  $Sim(A_l, A_m) = \sum_{j=1}^k w_j Sim_{A_l,A_m}^{P_j}$ .  $Sim(A_l, A_m)$  is named the spatial similarity relations of object  $A$  at scale  $l$  and scale  $m$ . Here  $l > 0; m > 0$ . It is also named the spatial similarity

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4683504>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4683504>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)