



Provenance from zircon U–Pb age distributions in crustally contaminated granitoids

Heinrich Bahlburg^{a,*}, Jasper Berndt^b

^a Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Institut für Geologie und Paläontologie, Germany

^b Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Institut für Mineralogie, Germany



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 May 2015

Received in revised form 6 August 2015

Accepted 18 August 2015

Available online 1 September 2015

Keywords:

Zircon

U–Pb geochronology

Granitoid

Provenance

Magmatic recycling

ABSTRACT

The basement of sedimentary basins is often entirely covered by a potentially multi-stage basin fill and therefore removed from direct observation and sampling. Melts intruding through the basin stratigraphy at a subsequent stage in the geological evolution of a region may assimilate significant volumes of country rocks. This component may be preserved in the intrusive body either as xenoliths or it may be reflected only by the age spectrum of incorporated zircons. Here we present the case of an Ordovician calc-alkaline intrusive belt in NW Argentina named the “Faja Eruptiva de la Puna Oriental” (Faja Eruptiva), which in the course of intrusion sampled the unexposed and unknown basement of the Ordovician basin in this region, and parts of the basin stratigraphy. We present new LA–ICP–MS U–Pb ages on zircons from 9 granodiorites and granites of the Faja Eruptiva. The main part of the Faja Eruptiva intruded c. 445 Ma in the Late Ordovician. The zircon ages obtained from the intrusive rocks have a large spread between 2683.5 ± 21.6 and 440.0 ± 4.9 Ma and reflect the underlying crust and may be interpreted in several ways. The inherited zircons may have been derived from the oldest known unit in the region, the thick siliciclastic turbidite successions of the upper Neoproterozoic–lower Cambrian Puncoviscana Formation, which is inferred to represent the basement of the NW Argentina. The basement to the Puncoviscana Formation is not known. Alternatively, the inherited zircons may reflect the geochronological structure of the entire unexposed Early Paleozoic crust underlying this region of which the Puncoviscana Formation was only one component. This crust likely contained rocks pertaining to and detritus derived from earlier orogenic cycles of the southwestern Amazonia craton, including sources of Early Meso- and Paleoproterozoic age. Detritus derived, in turn, from the Faja Eruptiva intrusive belt reflects the origin of the granitoids as well as the inherited geochronological and isotope geochemical structure of either the basement and/or distant sources having supplied material to the basement rocks. If unrecognized, sediment formed from such granitoid sources may erroneously be used to infer the exposure of, and direct detrital contributions from, a variety of older source rocks in fact not directly involved in the studied source–sink system.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Detrital zircon geochronology has developed into an indispensable and major tool in provenance research (Fedó et al., 2003; Scherer et al., 2007; Gehrels, 2011). Zircon is an abundant mineral in most siliciclastic sediments because of its resilience under most physical and chemical conditions (Morton and Hallsworth, 1999). Detrital zircon geochronology is a crucial tool for defining the geochronological signal preserved in sedimentary units and for investigating the source–sink relationships of sedimentary routing systems. It is also conducive to defining maximum depositional ages particularly in units with poor biostratigraphic control (Fedó et al., 2003; Gehrels, 2011). Geochronological tools are probably the only means allowing distinguishing

temporally changing contributions from several plate tectonically distinct sources.

Zircon is originally supplied mainly from intrusive rocks of granodioritic to granitic composition and from metamorphic rocks. Zircon grains may subsequently be reworked from these sources and from intermittent storages in sedimentary rocks. Consequently the detrital zircon record is biased towards upper crustal lithologies (Fedó et al., 2003; Hoskin and Schaltegger, 2003; Hawkesworth et al., 2009, 2013). To obtain a more comprehensive compositional and geochronologic reflection of available source lithologies, studies of zircon can be combined with other heavy mineral chronometers like rutile and hornblende (Zack et al., 2004; Hawthorne and Oberti, 2007; Meinhold, 2010; Bracciali et al., 2013).

Zircon has become the mineral of choice in provenance research on siliciclastic sedimentary rocks due to the mineral's favorable properties and the increasing availability and precision of LA–ICP–MS (e.g. Košler and Sylvester, 2003; Chang et al., 2006; Gehrels, 2011). Increasingly

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: hbahlburg@uni-muenster.de (H. Bahlburg).

Fig. 1. a) Main cratons, Precambrian orogens and Paleozoic magmatic arcs of central South America (compiled from [Cordani et al., 2000](#), [Ramos, 2008](#); [Bahlburg et al., 2009](#)). FEP: Faja Eruptiva de la Puna Oriental. Black box marks position of panel b. Orogenic cycles: Br, Brasiliano; CA, Central Amazonian; F, Famatinian; Gr, Grenville; MI, Marconi-Icantiunas; P, Pampean; RNJ, Rio Negro-Juruena; RO, Rondonia-San Ignacio; S, Sunsás; Tr, Transamazonian. TBL: Transbrasiliano Lineament. b) Outcrop map of Ordovician Faja Eruptiva de la Puna Oriental magmatic and hosting siliciclastic rocks in the Puna of northwestern Argentina (modified from [Bahlburg, 1990](#)). Section indices correspond to sample numbers in electronic supplement Table 1.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4689055>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4689055>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)