Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Computers and Mathematics with Applications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/camwa

Two-phase porous media flows with dynamic capillary effects and hysteresis: Uniqueness of weak solutions

X. Cao^{a,*}, I.S. Pop^{a,b}

^a CASA, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands ^b Institute of Mathematics, Johannes Bruns GT. 12, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 October 2014 Received in revised form 22 January 2015 Accepted 8 February 2015 Available online 27 February 2015

Keywords: Dynamic capillary pressure Two-phase flow Hysteresis Weak solution Uniqueness

1. Introduction

We consider a mathematical model for two-phase flow in a porous medium. Two immiscible fluid phases (for example, water – the wetting phase, and oil – the non-wetting one) are flowing through a porous medium occupying a bounded, connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \ge 1$). $\overline{\Omega}$ and $\partial \Omega$ denote the closure, respectively boundary of Ω . We let $t \in (0, T]$ be the time variable, where T > 0 is a given maximal time. The phase pressures are denoted by p_w , p_n . The non-wetting phase saturation is *s*. We assume the porous medium is saturated by the two phases, so no other flowing phase is present. This means, the wetting phase saturation is 1 - s. Then from the Darcy law and mass conservation for each fluid, one obtains (see [1,2])

$$\partial_t s - \nabla \cdot (k_n(s)\nabla p_n) - \nabla \cdot (k_n(s)\overrightarrow{g}) = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$-\partial_t s - \nabla \cdot (k_w(s)\nabla p_w) - \nabla \cdot (k_w(s)\overrightarrow{g}) = 0.$$
⁽²⁾

Here $\overrightarrow{g} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the gravity vector in direction $-\overrightarrow{e_d} = (0, \dots, 0, -1) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. $k_n(s)$, $k_w(s)$ are the relative permeabilities, two nonlinear functions depending on *s*. The system is closed by the relation between the phase pressures and saturation. Standardly, one assumes that

$$p_n - p_w = p_c(s),$$

 p_c being a given increasing function in *s* (see [3]). These are so-called equilibrium models. However, experiments [4–6] have invalidated such models. In particular, one should distinguish between infiltration, when the wetting phase is displacing

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +31 40 2475546. E-mail addresses: x.cao@tue.nl (X. Cao), i.pop@tue.nl (I.S. Pop). URL: http://www.win.tue.nl/casa/people/tempstaff/168.html (X. Cao).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2015.02.009 0898-1221/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.







ABSTRACT

In this paper, we obtain the uniqueness of weak solutions for a two phase flow model in a porous medium. A particularity of the model is that the dynamic effects and hysteresis are included in the capillary pressure. To prove the uniqueness, we define an auxiliary elliptic system.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

the non-wetting phase, and the opposite process, drainage. The switch between the two situations above is achieved in so-called hysteresis models. Further, experiments for which classical, equilibrium models would predict monotone infiltration saturation profiles, actually revealed non-monotone profiles (saturation overshoot) (see [7]). Such profiles are instead allowed in dynamic capillarity models. Both effects mentioned above are included in:

$$p_n - p_w \in p_c(s) + \gamma(x) \operatorname{sign}(\partial_t s) + \tau \partial_t s.$$
(3)

The second term on the right hand side models play-type hysteresis (see [8,9]), while the last one accounts for dynamic capillarity (see [10]). In (3), $\gamma \ge 0$, $\tau > 0$ are given, while sign is the multi-valued graph:

$$\operatorname{sign}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \xi > 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } \xi < 0, \\ [-1, 1] & \text{if } \xi = 0. \end{cases}$$
(4)

Following [11], we also consider the Lipschitz continuous function $\Psi: \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\Psi(\xi, x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\xi - \gamma(x)}{\tau} & \text{for } \xi > \gamma(x), \\ 0 & \text{for } \xi \in [-\gamma(x), \gamma(x)], \\ \frac{\xi + \gamma(x)}{\tau} & \text{for } \xi < -\gamma(x). \end{cases}$$
(5)

Clearly, for a. e. $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, one has

$$0 \le \partial_{\xi} \Psi(\xi, x) \le 1/\tau, \tag{6}$$

With this, (3) rewrites

$$\partial_t s = \Psi(p_n - p_w - p_c(s), x). \tag{7}$$

The model (1), (2), (7) is complemented by initial and boundary conditions:

$$s(0, \cdot) = s_0,$$

$$p_n = p_w = 0 \quad \text{at } \partial \Omega, \text{ for all } t \ge 0.$$
(8)
(9)

Remark 1. Other boundary conditions are possible (see Remark 3), but for clarity, we restrict the presentation to (9).

We make the following assumptions:

- **A1:** The functions k_w , k_n : $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitz continuous. Further, δ , $M_k > 0$ exist, such that $\delta \leq k_n(s)$, $k_w(s) \leq M_k < +\infty$, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
- **A2:** $p_c(\cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ is increasing and Lipschitz continuous, there exist $m_p, M_p > 0$, such that $m_p \le \|p_c\|_{Lip} \le M_p < +\infty$, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. **A3:** Ω is a $C^{1,\beta}$ domain with $0 < \beta \le 1$.
- **A3:** Ω is a $C^{n,p}$ domain with $0 < \beta \le 1$. **A4:** $\gamma(x) \in C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega})$. **A5:** $s_0 \in C^{0,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$.

Remark 2. Commonly, the permeabilities and capillary pressure model encountered in the literature [12,13] are

$$k_n(s) = s^p$$
, $k_w(s) = (1 - s)^q$, with $p, q > 1$,

and

$$p_{c}(s) = (1-s)^{-\frac{1}{\mu}}, \quad \mu > 1, \text{ for } s \in [0, 1].$$

Then (A1) and (A2) are not satisfied when *s* approaches 0 or 1. We consider here a regularized approximation of these functions.

Below we use standard notation in the theory of partial differential equations. For any $h \in C^{0,\beta} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, we define the norm

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})} := \sup_{x \in \Omega} |h(x)|,$$

the β th-Hölder semi-norm of h

$$[h]_{C^{0,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})} := \sup_{x,y\in\Omega, x\neq y} \frac{|h(x) - h(y)|}{|x - y|^{\beta}},$$

and the β th-Hölder norm of h

 $\|h\|_{\mathcal{C}^{0,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})} \coloneqq \|h\|_{\mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})} + [h]_{\mathcal{C}^{0,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})}.$

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/471597

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/471597

Daneshyari.com