Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



**Catalysis Communications** 



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/catcom

# Short communication

# Regeneration of sulfur-poisoned CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst for NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR of NO<sub>x</sub>



# Yun Shi<sup>a,b</sup>, Shan Tan<sup>a</sup>, Xiaoxiang Wang<sup>a</sup>, Meifang Li<sup>a</sup>, Sujing Li<sup>a,\*</sup>, Wei Li<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Biomass Chemical Engineering of Ministry of Education, Institute of Industrial Ecology and Environment, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China <sup>b</sup> Institute of Environmental Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 April 2016 Received in revised form 25 July 2016 Accepted 1 August 2016 Available online 2 August 2016

Keywords: CeO<sub>2</sub> NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR catalyst Sulfation Regeneration NO<sub>x</sub> SO<sub>2</sub>

# ABSTRACT

The effects of regeneration on the activities and structure of  $CeO_2$  catalysts for  $NH_3$ -SCR of  $NO_x$  have been studied in this article.  $CeO_2$  catalyst is deactivated by  $SO_2$  for  $NH_3$ -SCR of  $NO_x$  in a 200 h long-term operation at 350 °C due to the formation of sulfates, and its  $NO_x$  conversion decreases from 100% to 83% gradually. However, sulfates can be removed from sulfur-poisoned  $CeO_2$  catalysts under high temperature thermal treatment in air. After regeneration,  $NO_x$  conversion of sulfur-poisoned  $CeO_2$  catalyst is recovered to about 100% at 350 °C. Moreover, the regeneration temperature is related to the nature of the sulfates formed on the sulfur-poisoned  $CeO_2$  catalysts. © 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Cerium oxide is a common and inexpensive rare earth oxide. It has an ability to store and release oxygen. Addition of ceria in the catalyst helps form oxygen vacancies [1–5]. The  $Ce^{3+}/Ce^{4+}$  redox cycle plays an important role in catalytic reactions [6]. In view of these features, ceria-containing materials have attracted widely attention as SCR catalysts for NO<sub>x</sub> reduction both in stationary sources and in mobile sources [7,8]. Although CeO<sub>2</sub> is a weakly basic oxide with poor NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR activity [9–11], sulfated CeO<sub>2</sub> presents excellent NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR activity [11–13]. The sulfur tolerance of SCR catalysts, such as Sb-V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>, NiO-ZrO<sub>2</sub>, Cu/ ZSM-5, and Fe/ $\beta$ -zeolite, is also enhanced by the incorporation of CeO<sub>2</sub> [2,3,14,15]. From previous research, the improvement in SCR activity of CeO<sub>2</sub> by sulfation is mainly originated from the increase of NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption and the suppression of the catalytic oxidization of NH<sub>3</sub> to NO [11,12].

Although CeO<sub>2</sub> show excellent sulfur tolerance at the beginning of  $NH_3$ -SCR reaction in presence of SO<sub>2</sub>, this work presents that the  $NH_3$ -SCR activity of CeO<sub>2</sub> decreases gradually in continuous operation. The formation of inactive sulfates is responsible for the deactivation because  $Ce(SO_4)_2$  and  $Ce_2(SO_4)_3$  are poisoning species for  $NH_3$ -SCR reaction [16]. There are two common ways to regenerate deactivated catalyst, namely washing and thermal treatment. It has been reported that

sulfur-poisoned CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst can be regenerated by H<sub>2</sub>O washing [17]. However, the effects of thermal treatment on the characterization and activity of sulfur-poisoned CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts have not been reported before. A comparison of the two methods to regenerate sulfur-poisoned CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst is presented. This work shows that sulfur-poisoned CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst could be regenerated under high temperature thermal treatment in air, but the low-temperature NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR activity could only be partially recovered. To investigate the effects of regeneration, the physicochemical characterizations of the prepared catalysts are analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), high resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) and Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method. These results will help us to further understand the effects of SO<sub>2</sub> on CeO<sub>2</sub>-containing catalysts.

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Catalyst preparation

Cerium (III) nitrate hexahydrate (Ce(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O), provided by Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd., was calcined at 550 °C for 4 h in air to obtain CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. Afterwards, the catalyst was sieved to 40– 60 mesh. The CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst was sulfated at high temperature for 12 h in a mixture of 500 ppm SO<sub>2</sub>, 10% O<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> as balance gas. The obtained sulfated-CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts are named as S-CeO<sub>2</sub>-*x*, where *x* represents the sulfation temperature. The catalyst that was regenerated by calcining

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* sujing-li@zju.edu.cn (S. Li).

at 850 °C in air was marked as Re-S-CeO<sub>2</sub>-x. The Ce<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> was obtained by calcining Ce<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>  $\cdot$  8H<sub>2</sub>O at 550 °C for 4 h in air.

#### 2.2. TPD experiment

Temperature-programed procedure was carried out to investigate the decomposition temperature of sulfate species on sulfur-poisoned catalysts using the SCR activity test reactor system. 0.04 g sample was purged with air at a flow rate of 400 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The temperature was then increased from 100 °C to 950 °C at a ramp rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 2.3. Regeneration method

The regeneration procedure was carried out using the SCR activity test reactor system. 0.4 g sample was purged with air at a flow rate of 400 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The temperature was then increased from 100 °C to X °C at a ramp rate of 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>, and the dwell time at X °C is 20 min. X represents the regeneration temperature (730 °C for S-CeO<sub>2</sub>-350 and 850 °C for S-CeO<sub>2</sub>-500, respectively).

# 3. Results

## 3.1. Regeneration of sulfur-poisoned CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst

A continuous experiment was done to evaluate the effects of  $SO_2$  on  $NH_3$ -SCR activity of  $CeO_2$  catalyst, as shown in Fig. 1.  $NO_x$  conversion of  $CeO_2$  catalyst increases sharply in the initial period, and reaches nearly 100%. The promotional effects of  $SO_2$  on  $NH_3$ -SCR activity of  $CeO_2$  catalyst are originated from the enhancement of  $NH_3$  chemisorption [11]. However,  $NO_x$  conversion gradually decreases from 100% to 83% during 200 h operation. This is due to the formation of sulfate species over  $CeO_2$  catalyst [16]. Interestingly, the  $NO_x$  conversion is recovered to about 100% after regeneration.

To further investigate the effects of sulfur poisoning on NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR activity of CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst is pretreated by sulfation at 500 °C. As shown in Fig. 1 inset, the NO<sub>x</sub> conversion of S-CeO<sub>2</sub>-500 is obviously lower than that of CeO<sub>2</sub>. After regeneration, the NO<sub>x</sub> conversion of S-CeO<sub>2</sub>-500 is only partially recovered at low temperature, while it is fully recovered in the temperature range of 350 ~ 450 °C. The NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR activity of Ce<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> is the poorest among all the prepared catalysts. This indicates that sulfates are a kind of inactive species for NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR of NO<sub>x</sub>.

As shown in Fig. 2, sulfur can be removed from the bulk of sulfur-poisoned CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts according to TPD experiment. The regeneration temperature of S-CeO<sub>2</sub>-350 is lower than that of S-CeO<sub>2</sub>-500, and



Fig. 1. Regeneration of sulfur-poisoned CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. Reaction conditions: 500 ppm NO + 500 ppm NH<sub>3</sub> + 5% O<sub>2</sub> + 25 ppm SO<sub>2</sub> + N<sub>2</sub> balance. GHSV = 175,000 h<sup>-1</sup>, 350 °C.



Fig. 2. The decomposition temperature of sulfate species on sulfur-poisoned catalysts.

lower amount of SO<sub>2</sub> is released from S-CeO<sub>2</sub>–350. This indicates that fewer sulfates are formed on S-CeO<sub>2</sub>–350 confirmed by lower catalytic activity of S-CeO<sub>2</sub>-500 (Fig. S1). The decomposition temperature of Ce<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> is higher than that of S-CeO<sub>2</sub>-500. It is reported that the nature of sulfate species over sulfated CeO<sub>2</sub> is changed from surface sulfates to bulk sulfates with increase of the sulfation temperature [17]. This indicates that surface sulfates are easier to be removed from sulfur-poisoned CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. Thus, we chose the S-CeO<sub>2</sub>-500 catalyst as the typical sulfur-poisoned CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst to study the effects of regeneration.

## 3.2. XRD and XPS analysis

As shown in Fig. 3, XRD peaks of  $CeO_2$  match well with the JCPDS (card no. 43-1002) data. Sulfation process has only little effect on the intensity of the  $CeO_2$  reflections of  $S-CeO_2$ -350. However, new phase reflections are detected in the XRD pattern of  $S-CeO_2$ -500. These new reflections are attributed to  $CeOSO_4$  (JCPDS 39-0515),  $Ce_2(SO_4)_3$  (JCPDS 01-0208) and  $Ce(SO_4)_2$  [17], respectively. The reflections of sulfate species disappear after regeneration, and the reflections of  $CeO_2$  recover in the XRD pattern of Re-S-CeO<sub>2</sub>-500.



Fig. 3. The XRD patterns of ceria-based catalysts.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4756732

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4756732

Daneshyari.com