Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine 44 (2016) 20-23

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jflm



Research paper

Comparative analysis of clinical and experimental methods for determination of sexual dimorphism of mandibular canines



Bindu Aggarwal^a, R.K. Gorea^{b,*}, Abhinav Gorea^c, Arshdeep Gorea^c

^a Department of Anatomy, Luxmi Bai Dental College, Patiala, Punjab, India

^b Department of Forensic Medicine, College of Medicine, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia

^c Edith Cowan University, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 September 2014 Received in revised form 16 June 2016 Accepted 23 August 2016 Available online 25 August 2016

Keywords: Canines Intraoral Dental casts Sexual dimorphism

ABSTRACT

The identification of gender is of significance in case of major disasters where bodies are often damaged beyond recognition Teeth are the hardest and chemically the most stable structure in the body. Moreover teeth show signs of least amount of changes in morphology and are easily accessible for examination. Therefore teeth are a first-rate material for genetic and forensic investigations. Out of all the teeth mandibular canines are considered as the "key teeth" for personal identification. Many studies have not been conducted simultaneously intra-orally and on the dental casts to establish the sexual dimorphism in the mandibular canines. The present study was undertaken in north Indian population to check the significance of intraoral measurements - mesio distal width and inter-canine distance as compared with the measurements on the dental casts. The study revealed that both the methods were equally reliable in gender determination.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd and Faculty of Forensic and Legal Medicine. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Gender determination is one of the important parameters in forensic identification. Teeth, being the central component of the masticatory apparatus of the skull, are good sources of material for civil and medicolegal identification.¹ The dentition in males is larger than in females in contemporary human populations. Teeth of various species are known to exhibit sexual dimorphism.² Mesiodistal and buccolingual diameters of the permanent tooth crown are the two most commonly used and researched features used in determining sex on the basis of dental measurements.³ The mesiodistal width of mandibular canines and the intercanine distance are a simple inexpensive method that could be useful in forensic odontology establishing sex identity, and is of particular interest in adults aged 18–25 years.^{4–8}In the present investigation, the odontometric measurements (mesio-distal width and intercanine distance) were taken on both-the dental casts and intraorally. The intraoral readings were compared with the readings of the dental casts and it was found that there was no statistical

* Corresponding author.

significance between the two. This indicates that the intraoral readings for the purpose of gender determination are as reliable as the readings taken on the dental casts.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Selection criteria

Sixty subjects, 30 males and 30 females in the age group of 17–21 years were selected for this study. This age group was selected as attrition is minimal in this age group.⁹ The study was conducted on the students of Government Medical College, Patiala.

2.2. Inclusion criteria

Subjects with the following status of teeth were included in the study:

1 Healthy state of gingiva and periodontium.

- 3 Normal overjet and overbite.
- 4 Absence of spacing in the anterior teeth.
- 5 Normal molar and canine relationship.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jflm.2016.08.014

1752-928X/© 2016 Elsevier Ltd and Faculty of Forensic and Legal Medicine. All rights reserved.

E-mail addresses: drbindusanjay@gmail.com (B. Aggarwal), gorea_r@yahoo.com, rakeshgorea@gmail.com (R.K. Gorea), abhinavgorea@gmail.com (A. Gorea), arshdeep.gorea@gmail.com (A. Gorea).

² Caries free teeth.

2.3. Instrument

The measurements of mandibular canines were taken on an anatomically sound basis. All measurements were taken using vernier calipers, taking into account the error if any, in the instrument. The calipers used had a resolution of 0.02 mm. A divider with a fixing devise was also used for taking the measurements.

2.4. Measurement procedure

This method was adopted from the method used by Rao et al.¹ The various parameters of the teeth were measured using dividers with a fixing devise and vernier calipers with a resolution of 0.02 mm.



Fig. 1. Mesiodistal width of right canine.



Fig. 2. Mesiodistal width of left canine.

- 1 A written consent was taken from the subjects after explaining the details of the procedure. All aseptic precautions were taken while making the dental casts and taking the intra-oral measurements.
- 2 Making of study casts- Impressions of mandibular arches were taken with alginate impression material (irreversible hydrocolloid) and study casts were prepared with stone plaster.
- 3 The mesiodistal width of the right and left mandibular canines was measured as the greatest mesiodistal width between the contact points of teeth on either side of jaw. These measurements were taken intra-orally and on casts (Figs. 1 and 2).
- 4 The intercanine distance was measured between the tips of both canines in lower jaw. This was also taken intra-orally and on casts (Fig. 3).



Fig. 3. Intercanine distance.

Table 1

Right canine width- r	nales vs. females.

Group	Sex	Mean (mm)	±S.D.	't'stat	'p'value	Significance
Casts	Males	7.231	0.376	7.34	<0.001	Highly significant
	Females	6.585	0.300			
Intraoral	Males	7.235	0.380	7.52	< 0.001	Highly significant
	Females	6.59	0.275			

Tabl	e 2
------	-----

ļ	Left	canine	width-	males	vs.	femal	es.

Group	Sex	Mean (mm)	± S.D.	't'stat	'p'value	Significance
Casts	Males Females	7.387 6.6	0.322 0.254	10.48	<0.001	Highly significant
Intraoral	Males Females	7.384 6.595	0.318 0.262	10.47	<0.001	Highly significant

Table 3
Inter canine distance-males vs. females.

Group	Sex	Mean (mm)	±S.D.	't'stat	'p'value	Significance
Casts	Males Females	26.003	0.499 1.481	3.51	<0.001	Highly significant
Intraoral		26.073	0.512 1.471	3.93	<0.001	Highly significant

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4760726

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4760726

Daneshyari.com