



Comparison of three-dimensional facial morphology between upright and supine positions employing three-dimensional scanner from live subjects



Ozgur Bulut^{a,*}, Ching-Yiu Jessica Liu^b, Fatih Koca^c, Caroline Wilkinson^b

^a Department of Anthropology, Hitit University, Corum, Turkey

^b Face Lab, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, United Kingdom

^c Department of Forensic Anthropology, Police Forensic Laboratory, Ankara, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Forensic facial reconstruction
Facial soft tissue thickness
Facial scan
Accuracy
Volumetric analysis

ABSTRACT

Facial soft tissue thicknesses (FSTT) measurements collected from Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and Computed Tomography (CT) imaging techniques are most commonly taken in the supine position for forensic craniofacial reconstruction. FSTT have been shown to be different in comparison to the upright position due to gravity. The variation of facial morphology between the upright and supine position of laser-scanned images taken from 44 individuals was investigated using volumetric analysis with deviation maps. Between 82.4% and 86.7% of the facial surface area were within the error range of ± 2 mm between the supine and the upright position. This indicates that most anatomical landmarks taken from the MRI and CT data can be an accurate representative of the FSTT in the upright position. Seven landmarks located around the buccal region, masseteric region and the nasolabial region of the face showed the greatest FSTT deviation between the upright and supine position, thus these landmarks may affect the accuracy of facial reconstructions when using a CT or MRI database.

1. Introduction

Forensic facial reconstructions such as the anthropometric (American) method [1], the combined (Manchester) method [2] and the automated methods [3] all require the use of average tissue thickness data taken from a related population. Studies have collected tissue thickness data from Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) [4–6], Computed Tomography (CT) [7–11], Cone-Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) [12,13], ultrasound [14–17], lateral radiographs [18–21] or from cadavers [22–24].

Some of these three-dimensional (3D) imaging techniques such as CT and MRI requires the subjects to be in the supine position, and the difference in soft tissue displacement due to gravity has been shown to be a false representation of the living in comparison to the upright position [17,25]. Some of these soft tissue thicknesses taken in the supine position are then applied to forensic facial reconstruction to create a likeness of an individual based on the skull. Tissue thickness differences resulted from gravitational factors may well affect the accuracy of a facial reconstruction.

A 3D facial shell can be created with many 3D imaging techniques such as CT scans, CBCT scans, laser scans etc. Volumetric analysis of the 3D face using shell deviation facial maps have been used in many

studies to compare the difference in facial tissue thicknesses [25–31]. The facial shells can be superimposed with many commercially available ‘best-fit’ algorithms such as VAM [30], Geomagic Qualify [25], VRMesh [32] etc. In showing the shell-to-shell deviation, the area of differences can be displayed as a color map.

Surface examination or measurements of the face have also been used, where photographic images of the face between the upright and supine position were compared morphologically [30,33]. See et al. [30] compared measurements between different anatomical landmarks of the face, and the authors assessed the angle and the shape of the facial contour, and also made observations relating to other changes to the facial soft tissues. Mally et al. [33] compared the anatomical facial features of the face using a grading scale to represent signs of aging. The method proposed by Mally et al. [33] was more subjective, but the study gave a clear overview on the volume changes of midface aging. Morphological assessments, as such, do not give information on the exact area or depth in tissue change, but with the use of high quality photographic images giving texture information, displacement on detailed facial features can be analyzed.

Lee et al. [25] and Wilkinson et al. [29] assessed the accuracy of facial reconstructions using shell-to-shell deviation maps as a method of volumetric analysis. Both studies compared the reconstructions to the

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ozgur.bulut@yahoo.com (O. Bulut).

original 3D face scans of the subjects. Wilkinson et al. [29] used CT scans comparison, although it was concluded that the reconstructions showed a good level of accuracy to the CT scans, this result may not represent recognition rate, as the authors are aware of the soft tissue distortions along with the difference in skin texture in comparison to a real face, these representation of faces may not be comparable to day-to-day facial recognition.

With the change in pose, FSTT differences can be observed around the masseter, cheek and mouth area [7,16,29]. De Greef et al. [34] compared the tissue thicknesses between CT (Supine) and ultrasound (Upright), where the greatest difference were shown around the gonion, supraglenoid and the occlusal line.

See et al. [30] and Iblher et al. [31] compared females of a young group to an older group and showed tissue depth displacement increases with age. Both studies suggested that facial soft tissue displacement of the lower face around the mouth and the gonial region was most prominent, but these changes in soft tissue are more marked in the older group. Iblher et al. [31] also suggests that with the increase in elasticity and deformability, the old group showed a higher tissue mobility of the facial soft tissue, hence more displacement.

Forensic facial reconstruction prefers to use a FSTT database of the closest population in relation to the subject, but many available databases are from CT and MRI. By exploring the soft tissue changes between the supine and upright position, the differentiation of facial anatomical landmarks can be identify, thus can suggest the accuracy of certain landmarks when using a CT or MRI database. This study aims to analyze 3D facial morphology variation between upright and supine position and reach a conclusion as to which region of the face is modified with the change in pose. Specific anatomical facial landmark with the largest differentiation between the supine and upright position will be suggested, and these landmarks should be used with caution when applying database such as CT or MRI.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Acquisition and preparation of facial scan data

44 volunteers, between the ages of 22 and 49 years, were recruited from employees at the Police Forensic Laboratory in Ankara, Turkey. Among body mass index (BMI) categories (< 20 , $20\text{--}25$, > 25) as slender, normal and obese, only subjects who fell into the normal BMI category were included. All volunteers had no previous orthodontic treatments, facial plastic surgery or any facial deformities. Informed consents were obtained from all individuals.

The faces were scanned with the Fastscan Cobra 3D Laser scanner (Polhemus, Colchester, USA). The subjects were scanned in the upright and supine positions to acquire 3D facial images. The 3D face scans were converted to .STL files by using Fastscan 4.0.7 (Polhemus, Colchester, USA), and then imported to GOM Inspect software, version 7.5 SR2 for Windows (Gesellschaft für Optische Messtechnik, Braunschweig, Germany). Unnecessary regions were cropped, and the required regions of the faces were saved for further analysis.

2.2. Alignment and comparison process

Volumetric analysis of the 3D face scans between the upright and supine positions were assessed using the 3D morphometric surface comparison option within the GOM Inspect software. The supine scans were first aligned to the upright position in GOM Inspect, and the upright scans were automatically aligned using the best-fit registration or the RPS (Reference Point System) registration method. This 3D inspection and mesh processing software provided several 3D work activities including automatic and best-fit pre-alignment, shape analysis of 3D point clouds and surface comparison of the 3D objects (Fig. 1).

After alignment, the face shells between the two different poses were compared for analysis of deviation. GOM Inspect compared the

surface morphology discrepancy between the shells. Each surface-to-surface comparison was set in the upright position as a reference. The software showed continuous color maps of deviation for volumetric comparisons of the faces in the different poses.

A surface-to-surface deviation map may be computed and automatically produced within the software. From this continuous color map, the general deviation of the face is clearly visible and can be easily understood. The results include the maximum and minimum range of surface deviations with the average distance between the two surfaces (Fig. 1).

3. Results

Surface-to-surface deviation maps for 44 comparisons between each paired face scan of the upright and supine positions were performed and the percentage of distributions for the deviations is presented in Table 1.

The discrepancies between the two surfaces were computed as the minimum limit of deviation error defined within ± 2 mm. In Fig. 1, the colors on the spectrum bars and the facial scans indicate the distribution of the errors: “green” represents the deviation within ± 2 mm; “yellow to red” between $+2$ to $+10$ mm; and “blue” between -2 to -6 mm. The areas of yellow and red implies that the scan of the supine position is more prominent than the scan of upright position, and the areas of the bluish color implies that the scan of supine position is less prominent than the scan of upright position.

The deviation map for the 44 subjects showed between 82.4% and 86.7% of the facial surface area were within the error range of ± 2 mm between the supine and the upright position. When the error deviation was broadened to ± 5 mm, the deviation map increased to 95.2%–97.5%.

Subjects showed similar color deviation pattern, the tissue thickness difference between $+3$ and $+7$ mm shown as the yellow–orange-colored areas occurred around the buccal region. This tissues thickness difference extends into the posterior parotid-masseter region shown as the red-colored areas ($\geq +8$ and $\leq +10$ mm). This suggests that the scan in the supine position is more prominent. The area shown in light blue color represents a tissue thickness difference of -3 to -5 mm, this area of differences is also similar across the subjects around the nasolabial region extending towards the mental eminence and the jowl. This suggests that the associated area is less prominent in comparison to the upright position.

32 of all subjects showed a slight difference in the deviation pattern around the nasolabial region, where the color difference was focused anterior to the nasolabial fold extending towards the jowl and not the mental eminence. Other subjects showed the tissue thickness differences to be posterior to the nasolabial fold. With the red-colored area indicating a tissue thickness difference around $\geq +8$ and $\leq +10$ mm, only 9 subjects showed an extended area towards the temporal region in comparison to other subjects, where the area is confined below the temporal region around the parotid-masseter area (Fig. 2).

Among 44 subjects, tissue thickness differences exceeding ± 2 mm ($< \pm 2$ to ± 10 mm) were between 14.6%–17.4% of the facial surface area. These areas were located around the buccal, masseteric and the nasolabial region. The differences suggest the greatest deviation in soft tissue thickness between the upright and supine poses. Using the soft tissue landmarks suggested by De Greef et al. [34] to define specific areas of the face, seven landmarks as inferior malar, supra canina, sub canina, supraglenoid, mid masseter, gonion, and occlusal line showed the greatest tissue thickness deviation over ± 2 mm (Fig. 3).

4. Discussion

Advances in 3D imaging techniques have allowed an objective assessment by comparing 3D surfaces. The GOM Inspect software has allowed a quantitative assessment of the surface morphology

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4760864>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4760864>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)