EI SEVIED

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Advanced Powder Technology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apt



31

32

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

47

48 49 50

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

78

Original Research Paper

Simulation of the transport and placement of multi-sized proppant in hydraulic fractures using a coupled CFD-DEM approach

Guodong Zhang a,b,*, Mingzhong Li a, Marte Gutierrez b,*

^a College of Petroleum Engineering, China University of Petroleum (East China), Qingdao 266580, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 December 2016

18 Received in revised form 5 April 2017

Accepted 16 April 2017

Available online xxxx

Keywords:

Multi-sized proppant

3 CFD-DEM

24 PFC^{2D}

21

26

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60

61

62

63

25 Proppant placement

Tip screen-out

ABSTRACT

The productivity of fractured wells is mainly governed by propped fractures, so it is of significant importance to find out where the injected proppants go during hydraulic fracturing treatments, as this is essential to scheduling proppant injection in fracturing design. Using a coupled CFD-DEM model, the transport and placement of multi-sized proppants in fractures in vertical and horizontal wells were systematically investigated, and the effects of having multi-sized particles relative to uniformly-sized ones on the proppant placement were quantitatively characterized. When a proppant-laden fluid is injected into a fracture in vertical wells, a small proppant bank quickly forms. The injected large and small proppant particles are almost uniformly mixed, with just a small-proppant region at the back side of the bank. In comparison in horizontal wells, a proppant dune first forms near the wellbore in a fracture, and the large proppant particles are more likely to accumulate near the wellbore while the small particles are transported deeper into the fracture. The main transport mechanisms of proppant particles are settlement and fluidization, which cause a three-layer flow pattern (stationary proppant bed, fluidization layer and clean fluid layer) to form. In addition, vortex is also an important proppant transport mechanism, especially in a fracture in horizontal wells, where the vortex drags the injected proppant particles to different locations causing a dual-dune profile. The effect of fracture tip screen-out on the proppant placement was investigated. Screen-out can significantly change the flow field in a fracture and this will subsequently affect final proppant placement. Ultimately, the process of graded proppant injection was realistically modeled, which shows small proppants to be transported deeper into the fracture, while large proppants accumulate near the wellbore.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of The Society of Powder Technology Japan. All rights

1. Introduction

Coupled particle-fluid flows are ubiquitous in many nature and industrial processes. Typical examples include fluidization bed, sand transport in rivers and lakes, waste water discharge and pneumatic conveying of granular materials, etc. In oil industry, proppants are usually injected into the hydraulic fracture during hydraulic fracturing treatments to prevent the fracture from closing [1,2]. Because the productivity of fractured wells is mainly governed by propped fracture, the placement of injected proppants in a fracture greatly affects fracturing efficiency [3]. From its first use in hydraulic fracturing, the processes of proppant transport and

placement in a fracture has remained, for the most part, poorly understood [4]. Proppant transport mechanisms, slurry flow pattern and multi-sized proppant distribution in a fracture driven by thinning fracturing fluids remain as topics of active research.

The transport of proppant-fracturing fluid slurry in a fracture can be treated as particle-fluid mixture flows between two parallel plates, so slot experiments are commonly employed to investigate proppant transport and placement in a fracture [5,6]. However, compared with experimental studies, the development of numerical analysis of particle-fluid mixture flow is relatively slow due to the big challenge of modeling the interaction of particles with fluid and particles with particles. Single-phase models were first proposed, wherein the mixture was assumed to be a viscous incompressible fluid with density and viscosity as a function of the particle volumetric concentration [7–9]. However, when particles are transported by thin fluids, the particles will quickly settle out of the fluid, so single-phase models are inappropriate in this

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apt.2017.04.008

 $0921-8831/ @\ 2017\ Published\ by\ Elsevier\ B.V.\ on\ behalf\ of\ The\ Society\ of\ Powder\ Technology\ Japan.\ All\ rights\ reserved.$

^b Department of Civil and Environment Engineering, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, 80401, USA

^{*} Corresponding authors at: 308 Coolbaugh Hall, 1012 14th St., Golden, CO 80401, USA. Fax: +1 303 273 3602.

E-mail addresses: zhangguodong@mines.edu, zhang_gd1987@hotmail.com (G. Zhang), limzh@upc.edu.cn (M. Li), mgutierr@mines.edu (M. Gutierrez).

.

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

```
Nomenclature
C_d
           turbulent drag coefficient
                                                                                        characteristic velocity (m/s)
d
           particle diameter (m)
                                                                              V_n
                                                                                        relative normal velocity at the contact (m/s)
           particle median diameter (m)
d_m
                                                                              V_{c}
                                                                                        relative shear velocity at the contact (m/s)
d_{\text{max}}
           maximum diameter of multi-sized particles (m)
                                                                              V_f
                                                                                        falling velocity (m/s)
           minimum diameter of multi-sized particles (m)
                                                                                        fracture aperture at the location of fracture screen-out
                                                                              w
d_{\min}
           particle body force per unit volume (N)
f_b
f_{drag}
           drag force applied to each individual discrete particle
                                                                              W
                                                                                        fracture aperture near the wellbore (m)
           (N)
                                                                                        location of the contact point
                                                                             XΩ
F_i^c
           contact force (N)
                                                                                        center position of particle i
                                                                             \chi_i
h
           fluid cell size (m)
H_{M}
           equilibrium height of the bank for multi-seized particles
                                                                              Greek symbols
                                                                                        a coefficient
                                                                              β
H_U
           equilibrium height of the bank for uniformly-seized par-
                                                                                        critical normal damping ratio
                                                                              \gamma_n
           ticles (m)
                                                                                        critical shear damping ratio
                                                                              \gamma_s
           index of the two contacting particles (i = 1, 2)
                                                                                        overlap defined to be the relative contact displacement
                                                                              \delta_n
K_n
           normal stiffness at the contact (N/s)
                                                                                        in the normal direction (m)
K_s
           shear stiffness at the contact (N/m)
                                                                              \delta_s
                                                                                        overlap defined to be the relative contact displacement
M_i^c
           is particle momentum at the contact (kg·m/s)
                                                                                        in the shear direction (m)
           fluid pressure (Pa)
D
                                                                              3
                                                                                        porosity
Re_p
           particle Reynolds number
                                                                                        particle volumetric concentration
                                                                              \varepsilon_p
           distance between two particles (m)
S
                                                                                        injection angle (°)
                                                                              \theta_{inj}
           time (s)
t
                                                                                        settling angle (°)
                                                                              \theta_{s}
\overrightarrow{u}
           average velocity of all particles in a given fluid element
                                                                                        fluid dynamic viscosity (Pa·s)
                                                                              μ
                                                                                        fluid density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>)
                                                                              \rho_f
Ù
           average relative velocity between particles and fluid (m/
                                                                                        particle density (kg/m3)
                                                                              \rho_p
                                                                                        characteristic time (s)
\overrightarrow{v}
           fluid velocity (m/s)
           fluid injection velocity (m/s)
v_{ini}
```

circumstance. Mixed phase models were presented to simulate particles and fluids as separate entities. There are two methods to model particle flow: the continuum approach at a macroscopic level and the discrete approach at a microscopic level, and fluid flow can be modeled at different time and length scales from discrete to continuum [10]. Two popular combinations of the twofluid model (TFM) and computational fluid dynamic (CFD)discrete element method (DEM) are commonly used to describe particle-fluid flow. In TFM, both fluid and particle are treated as interpenetrating continuum media. Taking the gravitational settling into account, Dontsov and Peirce [11], and Shiozawa and McClure [12,13] modeled particle transport in a fracture, and uneven particle distribution was observed. In CFD-DEM, the interactions between fluid and particles were accounted for to model particle-fluid mixture flow using an Eulerian-Lagrangian method [14–16]. DEM [17,18] is used to describe particle-particle interaction, which is represented with frictional, inelastic contact force, and to trace the trajectories of individual particles. This is the key requirement to investigating particle micro-hydrodynamic and micro-mechanical behavior. Tomac and Gutierrez [19] introduced a new particle contact model coupled in DEM and investigated the micro-mechanical behavior of particles in a narrow channel. Blyton et al. [20] modeled the motion and placement of proppant particles in a fracture using a coupled CFD-DEM code.

Although proppant transport in a fracture was widely modeled, all of the particles used in previous studies were uniformly-sized. However, proppants are multi-sized particles with a wide range of diameters, which may significantly affect their transport and placement in a fracture. When the proppants are injected into a fracture, the large and small particles can interact with each other, and then the vertical and horizontal transport of the injected proppants will be affected. For multi-sized particle suspensions, the

large particles will settle faster than the small ones, and sedimentation will start with the formation of a series of interfaces within the suspensions. Dynamic sorting due to interaction of the various grain-size populations plays an important role on the vertical particle distribution [21,22]. For horizontal flow of multi-sized particulate slurry, the large particles also will accumulate near the bottom of the channel, while the small ones are more likely to be suspended [23–25]. Therefore, when the multi-sized proppants are injected into a fracture, they will settle at different locations, causing different proppant placements.

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

Ultimately, it is of significant importance to investigate where the injected multi-sized proppants will occupy in a fracture, which will eventually affect the fracture conductivity and fracturing efficiency. Knowledge of proppant transport and placement is very helpful for scheduling proppant injection strategies in fracturing design. As stated previously, to the best of our knowledge, no work has focused on the transport and placement of multi-sized proppants in a fracture particularly at the particle scale. In this work, a coupled CFD-DEM approach is used to fill this knowledge gap. The effect of fracture tip screen-out on the proppant placement is investigated, and the process of graded proppant injection are simulated and investigated in this paper.

2. Numerical model

For particle-fluid mixture flow, the flow of the particle and fluid phases are strongly coupled via momentum exchange. Due to settlement of the injected proppants in a fracture and the relative motion between large and small proppants, proppant interaction is important and must be taken into account. In this section, the fluid flow modeling, fluid-particle coupling scheme, and models

Please cite this article in press as: G. Zhang et al., Simulation of the transport and placement of multi-sized proppant in hydraulic fractures using a coupled CFD-DEM approach, Advanced Powder Technology (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apt.2017.04.008

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4762467

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4762467

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>