Chemical Engineering Science 175 (2018) 306-319

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### **Chemical Engineering Science**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ces

# Multi-zone parallel-series plug flow reactor model with catalyst deactivation effect for continuous catalytic reforming process

Xiao-Jian Dong, Yi-Jun He\*, Jia-Ni Shen, Zi-Feng Ma

Department of Chemical Engineering, Shanghai Electrochemical Energy Devices Research Center, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

#### HIGHLIGHTS

- The multi-zone parallel-series PFR modeling approach is proposed.
- An axial catalyst deactivation model is designed and integrated into PFR model.
- The prediction performance is validated by the commercial plant datasets.
- The effect of zone number on prediction and computational cost is studied.
- Both concentration and temperature distributions are thoroughly investigated.

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 June 2017 Received in revised form 16 September 2017 Accepted 7 October 2017 Available online 9 October 2017

Keywords: Continuous catalytic regenerative reforming process Radial flow moving bed reactor Catalyst deactivation Plug flow reactor Multi-zone

#### ABSTRACT

Accurate and reliable modeling of continuous catalytic regenerative (CCR) reforming process plays a significant role not only in product and temperature distributions prediction, but also in real-time optimization and control. In this study, a new multi-zone parallel-series plug flow reactor (PFR) model is proposed for the CCR reformer with four-stage stacked radial flow moving bed reactors (RFMBRs). A 27-lumped kinetic model is used to describe the aromatics aimed CCR reforming process. An empirical catalyst deactivation model is designed to describe the axial catalyst activity distribution information and integrated into the multi-zone parallel-series PFR model. The effectiveness of the proposed model is validated by the industrial plant datasets from a commercial reforming process. The zone number of 4 is reasonably determined by balancing the trade-off between the prediction accuracy and computational cost. Moreover, the detailed distributions of component mass fraction, temperature and catalyst activity are thoroughly investigated by means of the simulation results of the 4-zone parallel-series PFR model. The prediction results illustrate that the proposed model could provide accurate predictions of product and temperature distributions, which indicates that the proposed modeling approach could be greatly helpful for assisting in real-time optimization and control of CCR reforming process.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Catalytic naphtha reforming process, as one of the most important processes in refining industries, is widely used for producing high-octane gasoline and aromatics (Rahimpour et al., 2011a,b,c). The valuable byproduct hydrogen of catalytic naphtha reforming process also provides a rich source for hydrogen-consuming processes in most refineries (Rahimpour et al., 2011a,b,c). According to the mode of catalyst regeneration, the existing catalytic naphtha reforming processes can be generally divided into three distinct types: cyclic regenerative (CR), semi-regenerative (SR) and continuous catalyst regenerative (CCR) (D'Ippolito et al., 2008;

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: heyijun@sjtu.edu.cn (Y.-J. He). Rahimpour et al., 2013). Although the most commonly used processes are also the SR type, more than 95% of the new catalytic naphtha processes are designed with CCR type due to its advantages of higher catalyst activity and lower operational pressure (Mahdavian et al., 2010; Wei et al., 2016). In addition, originally designed SR type has been starting to be revamped to CCR type. In last decades, the mathematical model based optimization approaches has been developed not only to assist in optimal design of reactor configuration, but also to optimize the operation conditions for improving the reformate yields, the hydrogen yields and the octane number (Rahimpour et al., 2011a,b,c; Karimi et al., 2013). However, how to develop a simple, accurate and reliable model for performing real-time optimization of commercial CCR reforming process is still a challenging task, as it usually requires







#### Nomenclature

a <sub>acid</sub>	catalyst deactivation factor of acid site, dimensionless catalyst deactivation factor of metal site, dimensionless
a <sub>metal</sub>	activation energy of the <i>j</i> th reaction, $s^{-1}$
A <sub>j</sub> b <sub>acid</sub>	catalyst deactivation factor of acid site, dimensionless
$b_{acid}$ $b_{metal}$	catalyst deactivation factor of metal site, dimensionless
C <sub>p,i</sub>	specific heat capacity of the <i>i</i> th component, J mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup> K <sup><math>-1</math></sup>
$E_{j}^{p,i}$	frequency factor of the <i>j</i> th reaction, J mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup>
h	axial location of reactor, dimensionless
$h_{n-1,m}$	top location of the <i>n</i> th zone of the <i>m</i> th RFMBR, dimen-
	sionless
$h_{n,m}$	bottom location of the <i>n</i> th zone of the <i>m</i> th RFMBR,
	dimensionless
$k_j$	kinetic constant of the <i>j</i> th reaction, $s^{-1}$
K <sub>RP</sub> N	reaction equilibrium constant of $R \leftrightarrow P$ , dimensionless total number of zones in a RFMBR, dimensionless
P	operation pressure, MPa
$P_0$	standard atmospheric pressure, MPa
$Q_{nm}$	volume flow rate of the <i>n</i> th zone of the <i>m</i> th RFMBR,
Chin	m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
r <sub>ij</sub>	reaction rate of <i>i</i> th lumped components for the <i>j</i> th reac-
	tion, mol s <sup><math>-2</math></sup>
$r_j$	reaction rate of the <i>j</i> th reaction, mol $s^{-2}$
R	universal constant of gases, J mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup> K <sup><math>-1</math></sup>
$T T_{in}^{(m)}$	reaction temperature, K inlet temperature of the <i>m</i> th RFMBR, K
	inlet temperature of the <i>n</i> th zone of the <i>m</i> th RFMBR, K
$T_{\rm in}^{(nm)}$	l ,
$T_{\rm out}^{(m)}$	outlet temperature of the $m$ th RFMBR, K
$T_{\rm out}^{(nm)}$	outlet temperature of the <i>n</i> th zone of the <i>m</i> th RFMBR, K
$T_{\text{out},actual}^{(m)}$	
$T_{\mathrm{out},cal}^{(m)}$	calculated outlet temperature of the $m$ th RFMBR, K
$w_i$	weight of the <i>i</i> th lumped components, dimensionless
$w_m$	weight of the outlet temperature of the <i>m</i> th RFMBR,
	dimensionless
$y_i$	molar flow rate of the <i>i</i> th component, mol $s^{-1}$
$y_{i,\mathrm{in}}^{(m)}$	inlet molar flow rate of the <i>i</i> th lumped component in the <i>m</i> th RFMBR, mol $s^{-1}$
$y_{i,\mathrm{in}}^{(nm)}$	inlet molar flow rate of the <i>i</i> th lumped component in
	the <i>n</i> th zone of the <i>m</i> th RFMBR, mol $s^{-1}$
$y_{i,\mathrm{out}}^{(m)}$	outlet molar flow rate of the <i>i</i> th lumped component in
	the <i>m</i> th RFMBR, mol s <sup><math>-1</math></sup>
$y_{i,\mathrm{out}}^{(nm)}$	outlet molar flow rate of <i>i</i> th lumped component in the <i>n</i> th zone of the <i>m</i> th RFMBR, mol $s^{-1}$
$y_{i,\text{out,}actual}^{(4)}$	actual molar flow rate of the <i>i</i> th lumped component in
	the outlet of the 4 th RFMBR, mol s <sup>-1</sup>
$y_{i,\text{out,cal}}^{(4)}$	calculated molar flow rate of the <i>i</i> th lumped component
	in the outlet of the 4 th RFMBR, mol $s^{-1}$
$y_P$	molar flow rate of product, mol s <sup>-1</sup>
$y_R$	molar flow rate of reactant, mol $s^{-1}$
$Z_m$	radial length of the catalyst layer in the <i>m</i> th RFMBR,
	dimensionless

#### Greek letters

	Greek letters	
	$lpha_j$ $arphi_{acid}$	pressure factor of the <i>j</i> th reactions, dimensionless catalyst activity in acid site, dimensionless
	$arphi_{acid}^{(nm)}$	catalyst activity in acid site of the <i>n</i> th zone of the <i>m</i> th RFMBR, dimensionless
	$\varphi_{metal}$	catalyst activity in metal site, dimensionless
	$\varphi_{metal}^{(nm)}$	catalyst activity in metal site of the <i>n</i> th zone of the <i>m</i> th RFMBR, dimensionless
	$\varphi_j$	catalyst activity of the <i>j</i> th reaction, dimensionless
	ΔrHj	enthalpy of the <i>j</i> th reaction, J mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup>
	Subscript	
	acid	acid site
	actual	actual industrial data
	cal ;	calculation result
	i in	number of lump components, dimensionless
	in ;	inlet of reactors number of reactions, dimensionless
	j	number of reactors, dimensionless
	m metal	metal site
	n	number of zones, dimensionless
	out	outlet of reactors
	P	product
	R	reactant
Abbreviations		
	5N	alkyl-cyclohexane
	6N	alkyl-cyclopentane
	А	aromatic
	CCR	continuous catalytic regenerative
	CFD	computational fluid dynamics
	CR	cyclic regenerative
	EB	ethylbenzene
	HA	heavy aromatic
	HP	heavy paraffin
	LA	light aromatic
	LP	light paraffin
	MADS	mesh adaptive direct search
	MEB	methylethylbenzene
	N	naphthene
	ODE	ordinary differential equation
	P PB	paraffin
	PFR	propylbenzene plug flow reactor
	R1	the first-stage reactor
	R2	the second-stage reactor
	R3	the third-stage reactor
	R4	the fourth-stage reactor
	RFMBR	radial flow moving bed reactor
	SR	semi-regenerative
	TMB	trimethylbenzene
	WNSSE	weighted normalized sum square error
	XY	xylene

to balance the trade-off between model accuracy and computational cost especially from an industrial perspective.

Note that accurate and reliable modeling of a CCR reforming process usually calls for a thorough understanding of complex reaction network, catalyst deactivation behavior as well as practical reactor configuration. As the main feedstock of CCR reforming process, naphtha consisting of more than 300 components is a very complex mixture of hydrocarbons, and different reactions occur between these components, including dehydrogenation, dehydrocyclization, isomerization, hydrodealkylations, hydrocracking and coking (Boyás and Froment, 2008; Stijepovic et al., 2009; Rodríguez and Ancheyta, 2011). Hence, it is often practically impossible and unnecessary to establish a kinetic model with the inclusion of all components and their corresponding reactions (Marin and Froment, 1982). To tackle such complex reaction network problems, lumped kinetic modeling approach has been introduced, in which components with similar chemical properties and kinetic behaviors are lumped into a pseudocomponent (Zhou et al., Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4763819

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4763819

Daneshyari.com