Fluid Dynamics and Transport Phenomena

Heat transfer of nanofluidics in hydrophilic pores: Insights from molecular dynamics simulations☆

Mingjie Wei, Yang Song, Yong Wang *

State Key Laboratory of Materials-Oriented Chemical Engineering, Jiangsu National Synergetic Innovation Center for Advanced Materials and College of Chemical Engineering, Nanjing Tech University, Nanjing 210009, China

A B S T R A C T

Nanofluidics in hydrophilic nanopores is a common issue in many natural and industrial processes. Among all, the mass transport of nanofluidics is most concerned. Besides that, the heat transfer of a fluid flow in nano or micro channels is always considered with adding nanoparticles into the flow, so as to enhance the heat transfer by convection between the fluid and the surface. However, for some applications with around 1 nm channels such as nano filtration or erosion of rocks, there should be no nanoparticles included. Hence, it is necessary to figure out the heat transfer mechanism in the single phase nanofluidics. *Via* non-equilibrium molecular dynamics simulations, we revealed the heat transfer inside nanofluidics and the one between fluid and walls by setting simulation into extremely harsh condition. It was found that the heat was conducted by molecular motion without temperature gradient in the area of low viscous heat, while it was transferred to the walls by increasing the temperature of fluids. If the condition back to normal, it was found that the viscous heat of nanofluidics could be easily removed by the fluid-wall temperature drop of less than 1 K.
© 2016 The Chemical Industry and Engineering Society of China, and Chemical Industry Press. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Fluid flows in nanopores have attracted considerable attention for years [1], since it is related with several academic and industrial topics, such as rock erosion, ionic channel and membrane separation. For example, in the field of membrane separation, the mass transport in confinement is most concerned, so that most works are focused on fabricating new materials [2,3]. Recent findings of fast water transport in carbon-based materials [2,4–6] encourage the researchers to investigate the mechanism of mass transport of a fluid flow in nano-confinements, but it is quite difficult to study the fluid flow in nano-scale *via* direct experimental observations.

Although there are several experimental methods to observe the molecular properties of fluid, such as neutron scattering, scanning tunnel microscopy and atomic force microscopy, none of them works in the condition of a flow state. In investigating the molecular mechanism of fluid in nanopores, molecular simulations exhibit the advantage [7]. To study molecular properties of fluid at the flow state, a non-equilibrium molecular dynamics (NEMD) simulation is required, through which not

only molecular properties can be calculated but also the macroscopic properties such as velocity profile and flux of the flow are obtained at the same time [8–10]. Thus there are some NEMD works published recently trying to explore why there being fast water transport in carbon nanotubes [9,11,12].

Compared to mass transport, the heat transport of nanofluidics is rarely concerned. Most researchers believe that the heat caused by viscosities (within fluid molecules) and frictions (between fluids and solid walls) is very limited as the scale of nanopores being small, so there will be hardly any heat generated in the nanofluidics. Moreover, it is generally considered that adding small particles into a fluid is one of the methods to increase the rate of heat transfer by convection between the fluid and the surface [13,14]. However, the exact cause of the enhancement of heat transfer in nanofluids is still not fully understood [15–17]. Since the concept of continuum of fluid is not applicable any more in the nano-scale, we try to find out how heat conducting in nanofluidics by NEMD simulations in this work. We build up the slit nanopores of SiO₂ and TiO₂ then let the single phase water flow through those channels *via* NEMD simulations. By analyzing the output from simulations we explore the heat conduct of nanofluidics in hydrophilic channels.

2. Simulation Details

Similar to our previous work [18,19], the slit nanopores of rutile TiO₂ and quartz SiO₂ were built as shown in Fig. 1. The area exposed to fluid molecules are 2.752×1.965 nm² and 2.600×2.367 nm² for quartz and

Fig. 1. Snapshot for simulation box (2.0 nm rutile slit shown only): pink, Ti; cyan, O of rutile; purple, O of water; lime, H. Red, green and blue axes represent *x*, *y* and *z* directions, respectively. (a) The initial snapshot of 1 ns NPT simulation to obtain precise water density in the slit, 3D periodical boundary condition used to form the slits. (b) The initial snapshot of 10 ns NEMD simulations with obtained water density in slits. The red arrow indicated the external force.

rutile, and the slit widths were set to be 2.0 and 2.2 nm for rutile and quartz models, respectively. SPC/E water was chosen to act as fluid in the nanopores, since SPC/E model was among the best of the non-polarizable models for water as it reproduces the vapor–liquid phase envelope as well as other structural and transport properties at ambient conditions [20,21]. The interactions of wall atoms and those between fluid and walls were described in the work of Bandura and Kubicki [22] for the rutile cases and by ClayFF [23] for quartz those.

Before a simulation of water flow in slit nanopores, the precise density of water in slits is crucial. For this reason, the isothermal-isobaric (NPT) ensemble is needed to determine the density of water in the nanopores. In order to save the computing time, only one slab of rutile or quartz was placed in each simulation box, and the slit pore could be formed by the three dimensional periodic boundary condition. If the typical three-dimensional NPT ensemble algorithm was applied in all directions, the slit width could not be preserved. To solve this problem, we applied barostat in one direction only (*y* direction in this work) while placing two water reservoirs outside the slits in *y* direction (as shown in Fig. 1a). After 1 nanosecond (ns) simulation, the average density of water in the slits was calculated. It is noted that the pressure in NPT simulations was set to 100 bars to avoid the appearance of nano bubbles.

After water molecules in the nanopores being initialized, 10 ns NEMD simulations were performed without the reservoirs (*i.e.*, restoring periodic boundary conditions in all three directions, as shown in Fig. 1b). A series of external force was added to water molecules directly to maintain the flow state [9,24,25], which are 0.2 to 1.0 in atomic unit (kJ·mol^{-1}·nm^{-1}). Some atoms in the slabs were frozen to keep the slit widths unchanged and to prevent the slab of walls from moving with the flow during simulations running and the thermostat was coupled with the other atoms of walls at 300 K. There is no thermostat directly coupled with water molecules because the thermostat will disturb the free motion of water molecules three dimensionally [26,27], so the microcanonical (NVE) ensemble was applied to water molecules [9,28]. All simulations were carried out for totally 10 ns with time step of 1.0 fs by LAMMPS package [29]. Generally, a steady state of flow reached within 100 ps, so all the data collected below came from the last 9 ns simulations for accuracy.

3. Results and Discussions

As introduced in the last section, the nanopores built in this work are slit channels, so that the velocity profile could be described, according to the theory of transport phenomenon, as [30]:

$$v_y(z) = \frac{\rho g}{2\mu}\left(l^2 - z^2\right), \tag{1}$$

where ρ and μ are the density and viscosity of fluids, respectively, and *g* is the gravity worked on the fluids, which came from the external force

we added to each atoms in simulations. This equation is the format of Hagen–Poiseuille equation for steady flow between two parallel plates, which indicated that the velocity profile should present parabolic (shown in Fig. 2).


Fig. 2. The sketch of Hagen–Poiseuille equation for steady flow between two parallel plates. $T(z)$ and $V_y(z)$ are temperature and velocity profiles of fluid flows, respectively. T_0 indicates the temperature of wall atoms and the slit width is 2 *l*.

To calculate the velocity profile of water molecules, the slit channel was divided into 200 bins, then the velocities of molecules in each bin were averaged throughout 1 ns. By plotting the average velocities of each bin in one figure, we got the velocity profiles for each case (shown in Fig. 3). Actually, the velocity profile in Fig. 3 was further averaged by 9 ns (from 2 to 10 ns of each simulation) and the error bar gave the deviation of each averaged velocity from every 1 ns.

From Fig. 3, it was found that all velocity profiles of water molecules were indeed parabolic, as predicted by the Eq. (1) above. Similar results can be found in the work of simplified atom systems [31,32]. The maximum velocity was enhanced as expected while the external force increased. Moreover, in the same condition of external force, the maximum velocities of water (listed in Table 1) in quartz channel (2.2 nm wide) was higher than those in rutile channel (2.0 nm wide), which is corresponding to the prediction from HP equation as larger pore size exhibits higher velocities.

It is noticeable that the velocities of water molecules were much larger than those in natural or industrial processes. In this work, we tried to figure out how heat conducts, so we let the system generate more heat by adding much larger force on water molecules, which resulted in higher flow velocities. Although the velocities were higher than normal those, the steady state could be maintained, as the deviation of averaged velocities (error bar in Fig. 3) was small.

It is also obvious that the flow velocities of water molecules near solid walls reached zero, indicating the non-slip condition. The error bar increased in this area due to the low density of water, as fewer water molecules could be counted in to calculate the average velocities.

After the velocity profiles for each case being confirmed, the temperature profiles were then calculated, since the speed of water molecules