#### ECE 143 1-10

EDUCATION FOR CHEMICAL ENGINEERS XXX (2017) XXX-XXX



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### **Education for Chemical Engineers**



35

36

37

38

39

40

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ece

### Understanding student use of resources in "rich-media" courses

### 3 01 T.L. Rodgers\*, S. Mabley, A.A. Garforth

The University of Manchester, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO 6

#### Article history:

- Received 7 May 2017 9
- Received in revised form 2 July 2017 10
- Accepted 8 July 2017 11
- Available online xxx 12
- 13
- Keywords: 14
- 15 Rich-media
- Chemical engineering 16
- Student engagement 17
- Q3

#### ABSTRACT

There is a current trend in course development to increase the number of "rich-media" items available to students; these include items like key-concept videos, interactive activities and quizzes, and even captures of the full lectures. It is therefore important to understand which of these resources students use and gain value from so that we can target the best resources for student learning.

This paper looks at several courses taught in the School of Chemical Engineering and Analytical Science at The University of Manchester to several year groups; including lecture based courses, distance learning courses, and non-lecture based activities; that contain "rich-media" resources. The use of these items by students is examined; including number of uses, time of use, and local retention. The student opinion on the items and how they felt has affected their learning is also analysed. This allows results to be presented on the most useful types of resource for students providing information for future development.

© 2017 Institution of Chemical Engineers. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

In recent years the number of "rich-media" resources in engi-18 neering education has increased. This is likely due to the 19 improvement of technical possibilities (for example, recording 20 software and automatic lecture capture systems), improve-21 ment of distribution options (YouTube, tablets and, virtual 22 learning environments), and demand from increasing student 23 expectations. Therefore, engineering higher education has 24 moved from the situation where using rich-media to support 25 teaching was rare to one where it is becoming more common 26 place, with an increasing number of institutions bringing in 27 recorded lecture capture. 28

Current research emphasises that video lectures can pro-29 vide important benefits to students (Giannakos et al., 2015) 30 as video lectures offer students the ability to review the lec-31 32 ture at their own pace. They also allow students who miss the 33 live lecture to have the opportunity to catch up on the mate-34 rial. This is especially useful for content-heavy disciplines

such as chemical engineering, where significant amounts of detailed information may be presented during each live lecture. Fernandez et al. (2009) also comment that providing students with access to recorded lectures allows universities to engage with students who study by different learning methods and often increases students' motivation and sense of interaction with the lecturers. **O4** 41

Despite this, research into how students use rich-media 42 resources, and which type of these resources are most used 43 by the students is still largely limited, many studies focus on 44 student opinion of the material and attendance. Case stud-45 ies on the use of rich-media in higher education have been 46 presented across a range of subjects including business, psy-47 chology, and engineering. The rich-media material considered 48 in these studies is also in a variety of formats including audio-49 only podcasts, enhanced podcasts, video segments, or full 50 lecture capture. It is also important when examining the use of 51 rich-media resources to consider also the pedagogy as well as 52 the preference of the students and the purpose of the resource;

E-mail address: tom.rodgers@manchester.ac.uk (T.L. Rodgers). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ece.2017.07.002

1749-7728/© 2017 Institution of Chemical Engineers. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Rodgers, T.L., et al., Understanding student use of resources in "rich-media" courses. Education for Chemical Engineers (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ece.2017.07.002

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: The Mill, School of Chemical Engineering and Analytical Science, The University of Manchester, Manchester Q2 M13 9PL, United Kingdom.

53

54

55

## ARTICLE IN PRESS

EDUCATION FOR CHEMICAL ENGINEERS XXX (2017) XXX-XXX

this is mentioned in Van Zanten et al. (2012) with their 3Ps and has been recently extended by Saunders and Hutt (2015) to include a fourth P of the performance of the students.

The discussion in this paper focuses on the approach 56 where rich-media resources are used to accompany tradi-57 tional teaching methods, i.e. lectures and tutorials, rather than 58 replace these traditional methods, e.g. MOOCs. This discus-59 sion has arisen to address a small number of students not 60 engaging with rich-media material either because they are 61 unwilling or unable; similar to Kazlauskas and Robinson (2012) 62 who saw up to a fifth of students in a cohort not engag-63 ing. In this approach rich-media materials supplemented 64 the existing course; allowing students either the opportu-65 nity to re-engage with already presented lecture content 66 and/or to access supplementary course content (Saunders 67 68 and Hutt, 2015). This of course means that pedagogical deci-69 sions are essentially limited to the material content and format. 70

The aims of rich-media materials vary considerably; 71 including assignment preparation (Belton, 2016; Copley, 2007; 72 Parson et al., 2009; Sutton-Brady et al., 2009), revision materials 73 (Copley, 2007; Davis et al., 2009; Pearce and Scutter, 2010; Van 74 Zanten et al., 2012), improving understanding (Bongey et al., 75 2006; Leadbeater et al., 2013; Parson et al., 2009; Pearce and 76 Scutter, 2010; Van Zanten et al., 2012) and, lecture capture 77 (Davis et al., 2009; Leadbeater et al., 2013; Parson et al., 2009; 78 Pearce and Scutter, 2010); as did student use of the materials. To fulfil these aims rich-media materials have been created 81 involved pod-casting (both audio and video), the use of narrated PowerPoint slides, short video segments, and lecture 82 capture (either audio only or video plus audio). 83

There is still little documented evidence as to how effectively students are actually using these technologies, e.g. is student learning improved. Guertin et al. (2007) even commented that students who claim they want the material may not even use it; however, this may have been due to many students not knowing they could retrieve the recordings.

Recording lectures is thought of by many to enable students 90 to skip lectures, though there seems little documented evi-91 dence either way. This is maybe due to the fact that although 92 recorded lectures allow students to "attend" a lecture when-93 ever they want, the material still takes the same time as the 94 original lecture, and does not allow the students to interact 95 with the lecturer. Holbrook and Dupont (2009) found no signifi-96 cant correlation between declining attendance and the ability 97 to access recorded lectures. Pursel and Fang (2012) reviewed 98 a large number of articles and found that there was no dis-99 cernible link between provision of lecture captured materials 100 and declining attendance from both self-reporting studies and 101 from actual attendance data. Franklin et al. (2011) actually 102 reported a small increase in attendance in those modules 103 that provided captured lectures. They also commented that 104 students will miss lectures with or without the provision of 105 lecture capture and that their decision is based on previous 106 experience of the lecturer's style or their own personal learn-107 ing method. 108

It is clear that rich-media material has become part of the
expectation in higher education teaching and that there are
examples of material designed that students like. However,
there are gaps in our understanding of how students use these
materials and comparison of the different styles of material
available. Therefore, this study uses a combination of data to
address the following questions:

- How do students interact with rich-media resources?
- Which type of rich-media resources do students use the most?

116

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

- Do students like rich-media resources?
- Does rich-media use effect exam results?
- Do people who don't attend the lecture use the rich-media resources more?
- Can we create rich-media to replace some taught content?

The results presented here provide information on how students use rich-media resources and what type of richmedia resources should be developed further for students.

### 2. Method

This study examined student use of the rich-media material provided in two, simultaneously taught, third year undergraduate and MSc Chemical Engineering lecture based units and an undergraduate laboratory based unit delivered at The University of Manchester, UK. The first lecture based unit was "Advanced Engineering Separations" (AES) and the second unit was "Catalytic Reaction Engineering" (CRE) both delivered between September 2015 and January 2016 (Semester 1). Both modules were delivered to a cohort of 202 third year undergraduate students and 26 MSc students, and consisted of weekly lectures. The majority of the students within the class were aged between 20 and 25. The laboratory based unit was the first year core chemical engineering labs (LABS) delivered between September 2015 and May 2016 (Semester 1 and 2). LABS was delivered to a cohort of 285 first year undergraduate students, with majority of the students aged between 18 and 21.

The undergraduate students were familiar with the use of full recorded lectures as around 85% (higher in first and second year units) of the Chemical Engineering units delivered at The University of Manchester are automatically recorded. Approximately 42% of the undergraduate students and 96% of the MSc students were international students.

The rich-media material provided for these modules consisted of six different types:

- 1. Full lecture podcasts that were recorded automatically. The podcasts captured sound from the lecture theatre microphone and video from the lecture theatre projection system (power point presentations). These recordings were edited to remove pauses for breaks and tutorial sessions. Each was made available to students the day after the lecture was delivered via the university VLE (Blackboard). AES consisted of 10 of these at an average of 47 min in length.
- 2. Tutorial solution videos. These were worked tutorial solutions with voice-over audio explaining each step, they consisted of a mixture of hand-written recordings and the use of power point with excel depending on how the question needing to be solved. They were made available within the unit VLE at the end of the week the material was taught. AES consisted of 7 of these at an average of 11.4 min in length.
- 3. Key-concept videos. These videos were short and each examined one concept associated within the module in a focused manner, and were selected based on topics the students had struggled with in previous years. They were made available within the module VLE at the start of the week the material was taught. The videos were produced as

Please cite this article in press as: Rodgers, T.L., et al., Understanding student use of resources in "rich-media" courses. Education for Chemical Engineers (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ece.2017.07.002

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4766398

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4766398

Daneshyari.com