Fluid Phase Equilibria 438 (2017) 37-43

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Fluid Phase Equilibria

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fluid

# In situ Raman spectroscopic study of the pressure effect on the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in water at hydrate-liquid water equilibrium up to 900 bar

## Lantao Geng <sup>a</sup>, Kang Qu <sup>b</sup>, Wanjun Lu <sup>b, \*</sup>, Lei Jiang <sup>c</sup>, I-Ming Chou <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Tectonics and Petroleum Resources of Ministry of Education, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan 430074, China
 <sup>b</sup> State Key Laboratory of Geological Processes and Mineral Resources, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan 430074, China
 <sup>c</sup> Institute of Deep-sea Science and Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Sanya, Hainan 572000, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 9 November 2016 Received in revised form 7 February 2017 Accepted 8 February 2017 Available online 9 February 2017

Keywords: Carbon dioxide Hydrate High pressure phase equilibria Raman spectroscopy

#### ABSTRACT

CO<sub>2</sub> hydrate may form during the injection of CO<sub>2</sub> into the submarine environment for CO<sub>2</sub> storage or enhanced recovery of CH<sub>4</sub> hydrate. The growth of CO<sub>2</sub> hydrate in seawater is dependent on the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in seawater in equilibrium with hydrate. However, such data are currently only available at pressures below 250 bar, and the pressure effect on the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in water at hydrate-liquid water equilibrium still remains controversial. In this study, Raman spectroscopy was applied to measure the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in water in equilibrium with hydrate from 276.15 to 289.05 K and from 30 to 900 bar. Our results show that the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in water at hydrateliquid water equilibrium increases with increasing temperature ( $\sim$ 0.081 mol/(kg K) on average), but decreases slightly with increasing pressure (-0.016, -0.021 and -0.034 mol/(kg  $\cdot$ 100 bar) at 276.15, 280.15 and 285.15 K, respectively). An equation that can well reproduce the current and previous measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in water at hydrate-liquid water equilibrium was proposed.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Disposal of greenhouse gas  $CO_2$  and exploitation of  $CH_4$  hydrate are two crucial issues of global concern. Direct injection of  $CO_2$  into the deep ocean [1] (more than 3000 m below sea level) has been considered as an efficient method for  $CO_2$  storage. On the other hand, a novel technique, in which  $CH_4$  in natural hydrates was replaced by  $CO_2$  as demonstrated by Ohgaki et al. [2], provides twin advantages: sequestrating  $CO_2$  and enhancing  $CH_4$  hydrate recovery.

In the past decades, experiments of the  $H_2O-CO_2$  system at hydrate (H)-liquid water (Lw)-vapor/liquid  $CO_2$  ( $V_{CO_2}/L_{CO_2}$ ) equilibrium (HLVE/HLLE), particularly at temperatures below 283.15 K were extensively conducted and have been well documented in Sloan and Koh's book [3]. Owing to pressure and temperature in most submarine conditions are more conducive to hydrate-liquid water equilibrium (HLE) than HLVE/HLLE (Fig. 1), the concentration of  $CO_2$  ( $C_{CO_2}$ ) in seawater plays the key role in driving  $CO_2$ 

hydrate growth or dissolution. However, current measurements of C<sub>CO2</sub> in water at HLE [4–9] are limited below 250 bar (Fig. 1), and the pressure effect on  $C_{CO_2}$  in water at HLE still remains controversial. For instance, Servio and Englezos [5] measured  $C_{CO_2}$  in water at HLE from 273 to 284 K and from 20 to 60 bar, and suggested that pressure is not a strong factor on  $C_{CO_2}$  in water at HLE. Kim et al. [6] extended the experimental pressure to 201 bar and concluded that the pressure effect on  $C_{CO_2}$  at HLE is very weak. In addition, according to the measurements of C<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> in water at HLE from 49.9 to 142 bar at 280 K and the predictions, Yang et al. [4] suggested that  $C_{CO_2}$  in water at HLE decreases slightly with increasing pressure. Moreover, both experimental and theoretical results from 273 to 281 K below 250 bar reported by Zhang et al. [7] shown a negative effect of pressure on  $C_{CO_2}$  in water at HLE as well. In contrast, the theoretical derivation proposed by Bergeron et al. [10] and the semi-empirical model of Hashemi et al. [11] indicated that  $C_{CO_2}$  in water at HLE should increase with increasing pressure.

In an effort to overcome the existing controversy of the pressure effect on  $C_{CO_2}$  in water at HLE and extend our knowledge of the  $CO_2$ -H<sub>2</sub>O system high-pressure phase equilibria, we measured  $C_{CO_2}$  in water in equilibrium with hydrate from 276.15 to 289.05 K and from 30 to 900 bar using Raman spectroscopy.





CrossMark

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail address: luwanjuncug@126.com (W. Lu).



**Fig. 1.** Pressure-temperature conditions of previous experimental data and our new measurements of the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in liquid water ( $L_W$ ) in equilibrium with hydrate (H) in the absence of vapor/liquid CO<sub>2</sub> ( $V_{CO_2}/L_{CO_2}$ ): ( $\Box$ ) Yang et al. [4]; ( $\odot$ ) Servio and Englezos [5]; ( $\Delta$ ) Kim et al. [6]; ( $\times$ ) Zhang et al. [8]; (+) Sun et al. [8]; (-) Guo et al. (9); ( $\bullet$ ) this study; (curve) the calculated dissociation pressures of CO<sub>2</sub> hydrate from the model of Sun and Duan [16].

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Materials

 $CO_2$  with a purity of 99.99% supplied by Air Products and ultrapurified water with a resistivity of 18.24 M $\Omega$  cm (Table 1) were used in this study. The water was boiled for several minutes to expel possible dissolved air before sample preparation.

#### 2.2. Apparatus and procedures

The experimental apparatus (Fig. 2) used in this study are similar to those we used previously for quantifying the diffusion coefficients of CO<sub>2</sub> in water [12] and geo-fluids high-pressure phase equilibria [9,13]. Briefly, a high pressure optical capillary cell [14] combined with a Linkam CAP500 heating-cooling stage were used. The temperature was measured by a K-type thermocouple with accuracy of  $\pm 0.1$  K. The pressure in the cell was maintained with CO<sub>2</sub>, strong brine, or both in the pressure line, adjusted by a pressure generator, and read from a Setra 204D digital pressure transducer (690 bar full scale; accurate to  $\pm 0.14\%$ ) with Datum 2000<sup>TM</sup> manometer below 600 bar, and from a Omega PX91NO-30KSV pressure transducer (2000 bar full scale; accurate to  $\pm 0.5\%$ ) from 600 to 900 bar.

The procedures for measurements of  $C_{CO_2}$  in water in equilibrium with hydrate include: (1) load a small quantity of water in the closed end of the capillary cell and connect the open end of the cell

to the high-pressure valve in the pressure line; (2) insert the cell horizontally to the Linkam CAP500 heating-cooling stage; (3) evacuate the pressure line and the cell and load it with CO<sub>2</sub>; (4) repeat procedure (3) three times; (5) pressurize the line with a section of strong brine at ~200 bar for several days to let enough  $CO_2$  be dissolved in the water; (6) adjust the pressure in the cell to a fixed value: (7) decrease the temperature rapidly to form CO<sub>2</sub> hydrate crystals (usually at 248 K); (8) increase the temperature to 273.15 K and maintain it for several days to grow hydrate crystals until the vapor/liquid CO<sub>2</sub> is completely consumed; (9) locate some crystals and move them to the window of the heating-cooling stage for Raman study; (10) slowly increase temperature to closely near the hydrate dissolution level; (11) adjust temperature repeatedly until there is no change in crystal size, and then keep the cell under this condition for more than 15 min to ensure the system reaches HLE; (12) collect Raman spectra of the aqueous solution between two hydrate crystals (Fig. 3a) and record the pressure and temperature (Table 2). For measuring  $C_{CO_2}$  in water at HLVE/HLLE, the main differences from the procedures described above are reserving some vapor/liquid CO<sub>2</sub> in procedure (8) and collecting Raman spectra between hydrate crystals and vapor/liquid CO2 (Fig. 3b) in procedure (12).

#### 2.3. Spectra collection and calculation of $C_{CO_2}$ in water

Raman spectra were collected with a JY/Horiba LabRam HR800 system equipped with a frequency doubled Nd:YAG laser (532.06 nm) with the output laser power of 45 mW. A 50  $\times$  longwork-distance Olympus objective with 0.5 numerical aperture and a 300-groove/mm grating were used with a spectral resolution of about 4 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Raman spectra were collected from 1150 to 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 4, Fig. S1 and Fig. S2) for 20–30 s with two accumulations per spectrum to maintain high signal to noise ratio. The Raman peak heights of the upper band of CO<sub>2</sub> Fermi diad ( $H_{CO_2}$ , at ~1390 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and the OH stretching band of water  $(H_{\rm H_20}, \text{ at ~3480 cm}^{-1})$  were obtained for calculating the Raman peak intensity ratio (HR, stands for  $(H_{CO_2}/H_{H_2O})$ ) using the software GRAMS/AI (Thermo Galactic). In this study, three spectra were collected for each pressure-temperature condition and the average values of HR were used to quantify C<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> in water. Based on the quantitative relationship between HR and  $C_{CO_2}$  in water (molality of CO<sub>2</sub>, mCO<sub>2</sub> in mol/kg) proposed by Guo et al. [9] for determining CO<sub>2</sub> solubility in water from 273.15 to 573.15 K and from 100 to 1200 bar using the same Raman system,

$$HR/mCO_2 = -5.38 \times 10^{-5}T + 0.126, \ 273.15K \le T < 404.45K$$
(1)

C<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> in water in equilibrium with hydrate can be calculated.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Measured C<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> in water at HLVE/HLLE

Nine measurements of C<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> in water at HLVE/HLLE from 30 to

#### Table 1

Sources and purity/resistivity of the compounds used in this study.

Compound	Formula	Source	Purity/Resistivity
Carbon dioxide	CO <sub>2</sub>	Air Products	0.9999 <sup>a</sup>
Water	H <sub>2</sub> O	From Millipore water filtering system	DDI <sup>b</sup> (18.24 MΩ cm)

<sup>a</sup> Mass percentage purity declared by the manufacturer.

<sup>b</sup> Distilled and deionized water.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4768091

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4768091

Daneshyari.com