



Original Article

Some constraints of hypergeometric functions to belong to certain subclasses of analytic functions



M.K. Aouf ^a, A.O. Mostafa ^a, H.M. Zayed ^{b,*}

^a Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt

^b Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Menofia University, Shebin Elkom 32511, Egypt

Received 4 July 2015; revised 3 November 2015; accepted 21 December 2015

Available online 3 February 2016

Keywords

Starlike;
Convex;
k-Starlike;
k-Uniformly convex;
Hypergeometric function;
Hohlov operator

Abstract The purpose of this paper is to introduce sufficient conditions for (Gaussian) hypergeometric functions to be in various subclasses of analytic functions. Also, we investigate several mapping properties involving these subclasses.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45; 30A20; 34A40

Copyright 2016, Egyptian Mathematical Society. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1)$$

which are analytic and univalent in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. For $g(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form:

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n z^n, \quad (2)$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of two power series $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is given by (see [1]):

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n g_n z^n = (g * f)(z). \quad (3)$$

We recall some definitions which will be used in our paper.

Definition 1.1. For two functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, analytic in \mathbb{U} , we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in \mathbb{U} , and written $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in \mathbb{U} with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +20 1090388351.

E-mail addresses: mkaouf127@yahoo.com (M.K. Aouf), adelaeg254@yahoo.com (A.O. Mostafa), hanaa_zayed42@yahoo.com (H.M. Zayed).

Peer review under responsibility of Egyptian Mathematical Society.



($z \in \mathbb{U}$). Furthermore, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we have the following equivalence (see [2]):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

Definition 1.2. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is called starlike of order α (denoted by $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$), if $f(z)$ satisfies the following condition:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1; z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{4}$$

Also, a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is called convex of order α (denoted by $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$), if $f(z)$ satisfies the following condition:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1; z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{5}$$

The classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ were studied by MacGregor [3], Schild [4], Pinchuk [5] and others. From (4) and (5) we can see that

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha) \iff zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha). \tag{6}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S}^*(0)$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(0)$, where \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{K} are the classes of starlike and convex functions, respectively, (see Robertson [6]).

Definition 1.3. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be k -uniformly convex function (denoted by $k - \mathcal{UCV}$), if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} \geq k \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \quad (k \geq 0; z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{7}$$

Also, a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be k -starlike function (denoted by $k - \mathcal{ST}$), if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} \geq k \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \quad (k \geq 0; z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{8}$$

The classes of k -uniformly convex functions and k -starlike functions were introduced by Kanas and Wisniowska (see [7,8]).

Definition 1.4 [9, with $p = 1$]. For $-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$, $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, we say that a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $R^\lambda(A, B, \alpha)$ if it satisfies the subordination condition:

$$e^{i\lambda} f'(z) \prec \cos \lambda \left[(1 - \alpha) \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} + \alpha \right] + i \sin \lambda. \tag{9}$$

Using the principle of subordination, $f(z) \in R^\lambda(A, B, \alpha)$ if and only if there exists function $w(z)$ satisfying $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$) such that

$$e^{i\lambda} f'(z) = \cos \lambda \left[(1 - \alpha) \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)} + \alpha \right] + i \sin \lambda,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\left| \frac{e^{i\lambda} (f'(z) - 1)}{B e^{i\lambda} f'(z) - [B e^{i\lambda} + (A - B)(1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda]} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{10}$$

For suitable choices of A, B and α , we obtain the following subclasses:

- (i) $R^\lambda(-1, 1, \alpha) = R^\lambda(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) (see Kanas and Srivastava [10]);
- (ii) $R^\lambda(A, B, 0) = R^\lambda(A, B)$ ($-1 \leq A < B \leq 1$, $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$) (see Shukla and Dashrath [11]);
- (iii) $R^0(-\beta, \beta, 0) = D(\beta)$ the class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{f'(z) - 1}{f'(z) + 1} \right| < \beta \quad (0 < \beta \leq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

introduced and studied by Padmanabhan [12] and later Caplinger and Causey [13];

- (iv) $R^0(-\beta, \beta, \alpha) = R(\alpha, \beta)$ the class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition

$$\left| \frac{f'(z) - 1}{f'(z) + 1 - 2\alpha} \right| < \beta \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1; 0 < \beta \leq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

studied by Junenja and Mogra [14].

Also, we note that:

$$R^\lambda(-\beta, \beta, \alpha) = R^\lambda(\alpha, \beta) =$$

$$\left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \frac{f'(z) - 1}{f'(z) - 1 + 2(1 - \alpha)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda} \right| < \beta \quad (|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}; 0 \leq \alpha < 1; 0 < \beta \leq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}) \right\}.$$

The (Gaussian) hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ is defined by

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} z^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots),$$

where

$$(\gamma)_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \gamma(\gamma + 1)(\gamma + 2) \dots (\gamma + n - 1) & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}. \end{cases}$$

We note that ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; 1)$ converges for $\operatorname{Re}(c - a - b) > 0$ and is related to gamma function by

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b)}{\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b)}. \tag{11}$$

Using the (Gaussian) hypergeometric function, consider the functions

$$g(a, b; c; z) = z {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{12}$$

$$h_\mu(a, b; c; z) = (1 - \mu)[g(a, b; c; z)] + \mu z [g(a, b; c; z)]' \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \mu \geq 0), \tag{13}$$

and

$$J_{\mu, \delta}(a, b; c; z) = (1 - \mu + \delta)[g(a, b; c; z)] + (\mu - \delta)z [g(a, b; c; z)]' + \mu \delta z^2 [g(a, b; c; z)]'' \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \mu, \delta \geq 0; \mu \geq \delta). \tag{14}$$

The mapping properties of functions $h_\mu(a, b; c; z)$ and $J_{\mu, \delta}(a, b; c; z)$ were studied by Shukla and Shukla [15] and Tang and Deng [16, with $p = 1$], respectively.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/483387>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/483387>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)