

## Egyptian Mathematical Society

Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society

www.etms-eg.org www.elsevier.com/locate/joems



### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# Necessity and sufficiency for hypergeometric functions to be in a subclass of analytic functions



M.K. Aouf<sup>a</sup>, A.O. Mostafa<sup>a</sup>, H.M. Zayed<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Menofia University, Shebin Elkom 32511, Egypt

Received 11 October 2014; revised 17 November 2014; accepted 3 January 2015 Available online 23 February 2015

#### KEYWORDS

Univalent; Starlike; Convex; Uniformly starlike; Uniformly convex; Hypergeometric function **Abstract** The purpose of this paper is to introduce necessary and sufficient condition of (Gaussian) hypergeometric functions to be in a subclass of uniformly starlike and uniformly convex functions. Operators related to hypergeometric functions are also considered. Some of our results correct previously known results.

#### 2010 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 30C45; 30A20; 34A40

© 2015 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Egyptian Mathematical Society. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### 1. Introduction

Let A denote the class of functions f(z) of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$
 (1.1)

which are analytic in the open unit disc  $\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } | z | < 1\}$ , and let S be the subclass of all functions in A, which are univalent. Let  $g(z) \in A$ , be given by

ELSEVIER Production and hosting by Elsevier

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n z^n,$$
 (1.2)

then, the integral convolution of two power series f(z) and g(z) is given by (see [1]):

$$(f \circledast g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_n g_n}{n} z^n = (g \circledast f)(z).$$
(1.3)

Let  $S^*(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  denote the subclasses of starlike and convex functions of order  $\alpha$ , respectively. We note that  $S^*(0) = S^*$  and  $\mathcal{K}(0) = \mathcal{K}$ , the subclasses of starlike and convex functions (see, for example, Srivastava and Owa [2]).

Goodman [3,4] introduced the classes  $\mathcal{UCV}$  and  $\mathcal{UST}$  of uniformly convex and uniformly starlike functions. Following Goodman, Rønning [5] (see also [6]) gave one variable analytic characterization for  $\mathcal{UCV}$ , that is, a function f(z) of the form (1.1) is in the class  $\mathcal{UCV}$  if and only if

$$\Re\left\{1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > \left|\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right| (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

$$(1.4)$$

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joems.2015.01.002

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: mkaouf127@yahoo.com (M.K. Aouf), adelaeg254@ yahoo.com (A.O. Mostafa), hanaa\_zayed42@yahoo.com (H.M. Zayed). Peer review under responsibility of Egyptian Mathematical Society.

<sup>1110-256</sup>X © 2015 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Egyptian Mathematical Society. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Goodman proved the classical Alexander's result  $f(z) \in \mathcal{UCV} \iff zf'(z) \in \mathcal{UST}$ , does not hold. On later, Rønning [7] introduced the class  $S_p$  which consists of functions such that  $f(z) \in \mathcal{UCV} \iff zf'(z) \in S_p$ . Also in [5], Rønning generalized the classes  $\mathcal{UCV}$  and  $S_p$  by introducing a parameter  $\alpha$  in the following.

**Definition 1** [5]. A function f(z) of the form (1.1) is in the class  $S_p(\alpha)$ , if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\Re\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha\right\} > \left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right| (-1 \leqslant \alpha < 1; \ z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{1.5}$$

and  $f(z) \in \mathcal{UCV}(\alpha)$ , the class of uniformly convex functions of order  $\alpha$  if and only if  $zf'(z) \in S_p(\alpha)$ .

Also in [8], Bharati et al. introduced the classes  $UCV(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $S_p(\alpha, \beta)$  as follows:

**Definition 2** [8]. A function f(z) of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class  $S_p(\alpha, \beta)$ , if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\Re\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha\right\} > \beta \left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right| \ (-1 \leqslant \alpha < 1; \beta \ge 0; z \in \mathbb{U}),$$
(1.6)

and  $f(z) \in \mathcal{UCV}(\alpha, \beta)$  if and only if  $zf'(z) \in S_p(\alpha, \beta)$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{T}$ , the subclass of  $\mathcal{S}$  consisting of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \ (a_n \ge 0).$$
(1.7)

Denote also by  $\mathcal{T}^*(\alpha) = \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(\alpha) = \mathcal{K}(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{T}$ , the subclasses of starlike and convex functions of order  $\alpha$  with negative coefficients, which were introduced and studied by Silverman (see [9]). Also let  $\mathcal{UCT}(\alpha) = \mathcal{UCV}(\alpha) \cap T$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_p \mathcal{T}(\alpha) = \mathcal{S}_p(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\mathcal{UCT}(\alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{UCV}(\alpha, \beta) \cap T$  and  $\mathcal{S}_p \mathcal{T}(\alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{S}_p(\alpha, \beta) \cap \mathcal{T}$ .

Let  $S_{\gamma}(f; \alpha, \beta)$   $(-1 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1)$  be the subclass of *S* consisting of functions of the form (1.1) and satisfying the analytic criterion:

$$\Re\left\{\frac{zf'(z) + \gamma z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\gamma)f(z) + \gamma z f'(z)} - \alpha\right\}$$
$$> \beta \left|\frac{zf'(z) + \gamma z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\gamma)f(z) + \gamma z f'(z)} - 1\right| (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$
(1.8)

The class  $S_{\gamma}(f; \alpha, \beta)$  was introduced and studied by Aouf et al. [10, with  $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ ]. Further, we define the class  $TS_{\gamma}(f; \alpha, \beta)$  by  $TS_{\gamma}(f; \alpha, \beta) = S_{\gamma}(f; \alpha, \beta) \cap T$ .

Let F(a, b; c; z) be the (Gaussian) hypergeometric function defined by

$$F(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n (1)_n} z^n,$$
  
where  $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$  and  
 $(\lambda)_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \lambda (\lambda + 1) (\lambda + 2) \cdots (\lambda + n - 1) & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}. \end{cases}$ 

We note that F(a,b; c; 1) converges for  $\Re(c-a-b) > 0$  and is related to Gamma functions by

$$F(a,b; c; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}.$$
(1.9)

Also, we define the functions

g(a,b; c; z) = zF(a,b; c; z), (1.10) and

$$h_{\mu}(a,b;\ c;\ z) = (1-\mu)(g(a,b;c;z)) + \mu z(g(a,b;\ c;\ z))'(\mu \ge 0)$$
(1.11)

The mapping properties of a function  $h_{\mu}(a, b; c; z)$  was studied by Shukla and Shukla [11].

Corresponding to the Gaussian hypergeometric function  $_2F_1(a,b; c; z)$ , we define the linear operator  $\mathcal{M}_{a,b,c} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$  by the integral convolution

$$[\mathcal{M}_{a,b,c}(f)](z) = g(a,b; c; z) \circledast f(z)$$
  
=  $z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-1}(b)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} \frac{a_n}{n} z^n \ (c \neq 0, -1, -2, ...), \ (1.12)$ 

and the linear operator  $\mathcal{N}_{\mu}:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}$  by the integral convolution

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mu}(f)](z) = h_{\mu}(a,b;\ c;\ z) \circledast f(z)$$
  
=  $z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + \mu(n-1)] \frac{(a)_{n-1}(b)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} \frac{a_n}{n} z^n$   
 $\times (c \neq 0, -1, -2, ...).$  (1.13)

Merkes and Scott [12] and Ruscheweyh and Singh [13] used continued fractions to find sufficient conditions for zF(a,b; c; z) to be in the class  $S^*(\alpha)$  ( $0 \le \alpha < 1$ ) for various choices of the parameters a, b and c. Carlson and Shaffer [14] showed how some convolution results about the class  $S^*(\alpha)$  may be expressed in terms of a linear operator acting on hypergeometric functions. Recently, Silverman [15] gave a necessary and sufficient conditions for zF(a,b; c; z) to be in the classes  $S^*(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ .

#### 2. Main results

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that  $-1 \le \alpha < 1$ ,  $\beta \ge 0$  and  $0 \le \gamma \le 1$ . To establish our results, we need the following lemmas due to Aouf et al. [10].

**Lemma 2.1** [10, Theorem 1, with  $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ ]. A sufficient condition for f(z) defined by (1.1) to be in the class  $S_{\gamma}(f; \alpha, \beta)$  is

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)][1+\gamma(n-1)]|a_n| \le 1-\alpha.$$
 (2.1)

**Lemma 2.2** [10, Theorem 2, with  $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ ]. A necessary and sufficient condition for f(z) defined by (1.7) to be in the class  $TS_{\gamma}(f; \alpha, \beta)$  is

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)][1+\gamma(n-1)]a_n \leqslant 1 - \alpha.$$
(2.2)

By using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we get the following results.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/483409

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/483409

Daneshyari.com