Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society (2016) 24, 171–174

Egyptian Mathematical Society
Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society
www.etms-eg.org
www.elsevier.com/locate/joems

Original Article

A generalization of a half-discrete Hilbert's inequality

Waleed Abuelela [a,b,*]

[a] *Mathematics Department, Deanery of Academic Services, Taibah University, Al-Madinah Al-Munawwarah, Saudi Arabia*
[b] *Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City 11884, Cairo, Egypt*

Received 3 December 2014; revised 6 May 2015; accepted 30 July 2015
Available online 9 September 2015

Keywords
Hilbert inequality;
Hölder inequality;
Hadamard's inequality;
Beta function

Abstract Considering different parameters and by means of Hadamard's inequality, we obtain new and more general half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities. Then we extract from our results some special cases that have been proved previously by other authors.

2010 Mathematical Subject Classification: 26D15; 26D07; 26D10

1. Introduction

We study advanced variants of the following classical discrete Hilbert-type inequality [1]: if $a_m, b_n \geq 0$, $0 < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^2 < \infty$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 < \infty$, then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q\right)^{1/q}, \qquad (1)$$

where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. Inequality (1) has the following integral analogous:

$$\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y}dx\,dy \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left(\int_0^{\infty} f^p(x)dx\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^{\infty} g^q(x)dx\right)^{1/q}, \qquad (2)$$

unless $f(x) \equiv 0$ or $g(x) \equiv 0$, where $p > 1$, $q = p/(p-1)$. The constant $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$, in (1) and (2), is the best possible, see [1].

Inequalities (1) and (2), which have many generalizations see for example [2,3] and references therein, with their improvements have played fundamental roles in the development of many mathematical branches, see for instance [2,4,5] and references therein. A few results on the half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities with non-homogeneous kernel can be found in [6]. Recently [7–10] gave some new half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities. For example in [8] we find the following inequality

* Tel.: +201141308592.
E-mail address: w_abuelela@yahoo.com, waleed_abu_elela@hotmail.com
Peer review under responsibility of Egyptian Mathematical Society.
Production and hosting by Elsevier

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joems.2015.07.004

with a non-homogeneous kernel: if $0 < \int_0^\infty f^2(x)dx < \infty$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^2 < \infty$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{x+n}dx < \pi\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \int_0^\infty f^2(x)\right)^{1/2}, \tag{3}$$

where the constant π is the best possible. Then in [10], by using the way of weight coefficients and the idea of introducing parameters and by means of Hadamard's inequality, the authors gave the following more accurate inequality of (3):

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^\infty \frac{f(x)}{x+n}dx < \pi\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^\infty f^2(x)\right)^{1/2}. \tag{4}$$

Inequalities (3) and (4) have many generalizations concerning the denominator of the left hand side, see for example [11–14].

Our main goal is to obtain a new generalization of the half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality (3). Before proving the main theorem of this paper, Theorem 2.1, let us state and prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1. For $0 < b < x < c$, $\alpha, r, \lambda_2\alpha \in (0, 1]$, with $\alpha > r$, $\lambda_1 \in (0, \infty)$, and $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ with $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} > p\left(\frac{\alpha}{r} - 1\right) \geq \frac{2}{\lambda_2 r} - 1$ define

$$w(n) := n^{\lambda_2\alpha} \int_b^c \frac{x^{\lambda_1\alpha - 1}}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda}dx, \tag{5}$$

and

$$\overline{w}(x) := x^{\lambda_1\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2 r-1}}{(x^\alpha + n^r)^\lambda}. \tag{6}$$

Then

$$w(n) = \frac{n^{\lambda_2(\alpha - r)}}{\alpha}(\beta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) - \Psi(n)), \tag{7}$$

and

$$\overline{w}(x) < \frac{x^{p\lambda_2\alpha(\frac{\alpha}{r}-1)}}{r}\beta(\xi, \zeta), \tag{8}$$

where $\Psi(n) = \int_0^{\frac{b^\alpha}{n^r}} \frac{u^{\lambda_1 - 1}}{(1+u)^\lambda}du + \int_0^{\frac{n^r}{c^\alpha}} \frac{u^{\lambda_2 - 1}}{(1+u)^\lambda}du$, and $\beta(\xi, \zeta)$ is the $\beta-$function *with* $\xi = \lambda_1 - p\lambda_2(\frac{\alpha}{r} - 1)$ *and* $\zeta = \lambda_2 + p\lambda_2(\frac{\alpha}{r} - 1)$.

Proof. Putting $u = \frac{x^\alpha}{n^r}$ in (5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} w(n) &= \frac{n^{\lambda_2(\alpha-r)}}{\alpha}\int_{\frac{b^\alpha}{n^r}}^{\frac{c^\alpha}{n^r}} \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{1-\lambda_1}du \\ &= \frac{n^{\lambda_2(\alpha-r)}}{\alpha}\left(\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{1-\lambda_1}du \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^{\frac{b^\alpha}{n^r}} \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{1-\lambda_1}du - \int_{\frac{c^\alpha}{n^r}}^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{1-\lambda_1}du\right). \end{aligned}$$

Use the definition of the Beta function $\left(\beta(\theta, \gamma) = \int_0^\infty \frac{z^{\theta-1}}{(1+z)^{\theta+\gamma}}dz\right)$ in the first integral and the substitution $u = \frac{1}{v}$ in the third integral to have

$$\begin{aligned} w(n) = \frac{n^{\lambda_2(\alpha-r)}}{\alpha}&\left(\beta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) - \int_0^{\frac{b^\alpha}{n^r}} \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{1-\lambda_1}du \right. \\ &\left. - \int_0^{\frac{n^r}{c^\alpha}} \frac{1}{(1+v)^\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{v}\right)^{1-\lambda_2}dv\right), \end{aligned}$$

as stated in (7).

In order to prove (8), for fixed $x \in (b, c)$, putting

$$f(t) = \frac{x^{\lambda_1\alpha}t^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2 r-1}}{(x^\alpha + t^r)^\lambda}, \; t \in (0, \infty), \tag{9}$$

leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}f(t) = x^{\lambda_1\alpha}&\left(\frac{-r\lambda\, t^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2 r+r-2}}{(x^\alpha + t^r)^{\lambda+1}}\right. \\ &\left. + \frac{(p\lambda_2\alpha + (1-p)\lambda_2 r - 1)\, t^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2 r-2}}{(x^\alpha + t^r)^\lambda}\right) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2}f(t) = -\lambda r x^{\lambda_1\alpha}&\left(\frac{-r(\lambda+1)t^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2 r+2r-3}}{(x^\alpha + t^r)^{\lambda+2}}\right. \\ &\left. + \frac{(p\lambda_2\alpha + (1-p)\lambda_2 r + r - 2)t^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2 r+r-3}}{(x^\alpha + t^r)^{\lambda+1}}\right) \\ &+ (p\lambda_2\alpha + (1-p)\lambda_2 r - 1)x^{\lambda_1\alpha} \\ &\left(\frac{-r\lambda t^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2 r+r-3}}{(x^\alpha + t^r)^{\lambda+1}}\right. \\ &\left. + \frac{(p\lambda_2\alpha + (1-p)\lambda_2 r - 2)t^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2 r-3}}{(x^\alpha + t^r)^\lambda}\right) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Hadamard's inequality

$$f(n) < \int_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} f(t)dt, \;\; n \in \mathcal{N},$$

and (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{w}(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} f(t)dt = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^\infty f(t)dt \\ &< \int_0^\infty f(t)dt = x^{\lambda_1\alpha}\int_0^\infty \frac{t^{p\lambda_2\alpha+(1-p)\lambda_2 r-1}}{(x^\alpha + t^r)^\lambda}dt. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $u = \frac{t^r}{x^\alpha}$ in the above inequality leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{w}(x) &< \frac{1}{r}x^{p\lambda_2\alpha(\frac{\alpha}{r}-1)}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda}\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)^{1-(p\lambda_2\frac{\alpha}{r}+(1-p)\lambda_2)}du \\ &= \frac{1}{r}x^{p\lambda_2\alpha(\frac{\alpha}{r}-1)}\beta\left(\lambda_1 - p\lambda_2(\frac{\alpha}{r}-1), \lambda_2 + p\lambda_2\left(\frac{\alpha}{r}-1\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (8). □

In the following section we state the main result of this paper of which many special cases can be obtained.

2. Main results and discussion

In this section we state and discuss our main theorem together with its special cases. For three different parameters α, r, λ we have the following result.