



Egyptian Mathematical Society  
Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society

www.etms-eg.org  
www.elsevier.com/locate/joems



## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## A note on fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings



R. Mohanraj

Department of Mathematics, Regional Centre, Anna University Tirunelveli Region, Tirunelveli 627 007, India

Received 26 May 2014; revised 13 July 2014; accepted 16 July 2014

Available online 11 February 2015

## KEYWORDS

Fixed point;  
Fuzzy set;  
Contraction fuzzy mapping;  
Common fixed point

**Abstract** In this paper, a common fixed point theorem for contractive type fuzzy mappings in a complete metric space is proved due to Cho (2005) [1]. Further an example is given for the results of Cho (2005) [1, Theorem 3.1] and Park and Jeong (1997) [2, Theorem 3.2] which are not satisfying the condition “for all  $x, y \in X$ ” and have a fixed point.

AMS MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 47H10; 54H25

© 2015 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Egyptian Mathematical Society.

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Fixed points for multivalued mapping  $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$  are defined as  $x \in Tx$  for some  $x \in X$ . Let  $\mathcal{CB}(X)$  denote the set of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of  $X$ . A multivalued mapping  $T: X \rightarrow \mathcal{CB}(X)$  is called a contraction mapping if there exists  $q \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$H(T(x), T(y)) \leq qd(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X,$$

where the Hausdroff metric  $H(A, B)$  on  $\mathcal{CB}(X)$  is given by

$$H(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A)\},$$

$$\text{where } d(x, C) = \inf_{y \in C} d(x, y)$$

for any nonempty closed and bounded subsets  $A, B$  and  $C$  of  $X$  and for any point  $x \in X$ .

E-mail address: [vmraj@yahoo.com](mailto:vmraj@yahoo.com)

Peer review under responsibility of Egyptian Mathematical Society.



Production and hosting by Elsevier

A fuzzy set in  $X$  is a function with domain  $X$  and values in  $[0, 1]$ . If  $A$  is a fuzzy set and  $x \in X$ , then the function values  $A(x)$  are called the grade of membership of  $x$  in  $A$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  be the collection of all fuzzy sets on  $X$  and let  ${}^{\alpha}A = \{x \in X : A(x) \geq \alpha\}$  denote the  $\alpha$ -cut of  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ . The zero-cut of  $A$  is defined as the closure of the set  $\{x \in X : A(x) > 0\}$ .

A mapping  $F$  from  $X$  to  $\mathcal{F}(Y)$  is called a fuzzy mapping if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $F(x)$  is a fuzzy set on  $Y$  and  $F(x)(y)$  denotes the degree of membership of  $y$  in  $F(x)$ . Let  $X$  be a metric linear space and let  $\mathcal{W}(X)$  denote the set of all fuzzy sets on  $X$  such that each of its  $\alpha$ -cut is a nonempty compact and convex subset (approximate quantity) of  $X$ . A fuzzy mapping  $F$  from  $X$  to  $\mathcal{W}(X)$  is called a fuzzy contraction mapping if there exists  $q \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$D(F(x), F(y)) \leq qd(x, y) \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X,$$

$$\text{where } D(A, B) = \sup_{\alpha} H({}^{\alpha}A, {}^{\alpha}B)$$

Define  $p_{\alpha}(A, B) = \inf_{x \in {}^{\alpha}A, y \in {}^{\alpha}B} d(x, y)$  and  $p(A, B) = \sup_{\alpha} p_{\alpha}(A, B)$  for any fuzzy sets  $A, B \in \mathcal{W}(X)$ .

It is known that  $p_{\alpha}$  is non-decreasing function of  $\alpha$ .

Heilpern [3] first introduced the concept of fuzzy mappings and proved a fixed point theorem for fuzzy contraction

mappings which is a fuzzy analogue of the fixed point theorem of Nadler [4]. Bose and Sahani [5] extended the Heilpern's result for a pair of generalized fuzzy contraction mappings. Marudai and Srinivasan [6] generalized the Heilpern's result using the Nadler's result. They also obtained a nontrivial generalization of the Nadler's fixed point theorem for fuzzy contraction mappings under weaker settings. Further Vijayaraju and Marudai [7] generalize the result of Bose and Mukerjee [8] for contractive type fuzzy mappings in complete metric spaces. The significance of these results is assuming "each of its  $\alpha$ -cut of fuzzy set is nonempty closed and bounded subset of  $X$ " instead of approximate quantity of  $X$ ". Akbar Azam and Muhammad Arshad [9] proved the result of Vijayaraju and Marudai [7, Theorem 3.1] is incomplete and corrected the proof in right direction. In this paper a common fixed point theorem for contractive type fuzzy mappings in complete metric space due to Cho [1] is proved by using the concept of Vijayaraju and Marudai [7]. Further an example is given for the results of Cho [1, Theorem 3.1] and Park and Jeong [2, Theorem 3.2] which are not satisfying the condition "for all  $x, y \in X$ " and have a fixed point.

## 2. Main results

The following lemma due to Nadler [4] is the main key of our result.

**Lemma 2.1** [4]. *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $A, B \in CB(X)$ , then for each  $a \in A, k > 0$  there exists an element  $b \in B$  such that  $d(a, b) \leq H(A, B) + k$ .*

Cho [1] and Park and Jeong [2] proved some fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings from  $X$  to  $W(X)$  under the contractive type conditions in complete metric space. The following example shows that the condition "for all  $x, y \in X$ " fails for the results [1, Theorem 3.1] and [2, Theorem 3.2].

**Theorem 2.2** [1]. *Let  $F, G : X \rightarrow W(X)$  be fuzzy mappings satisfying the following condition: There exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that*

$$D(Fx, Gy) \leq \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \{p(x, Fx)p(y, Gy) + p(y, Gy)d(x, y)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (*)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $F$  and  $G$  have a common fixed point.

**Theorem 2.3** [2]. *Let  $F, G : X \rightarrow W(X)$  be fuzzy mappings satisfying the following condition: There exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that*

$$D(Fx, Gy) \leq k\{p(x, Fx)p(y, Gy)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (**)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $F$  and  $G$  have a common fixed point.

**Example 2.4.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$ . For  $x, y \in X, d(x, y) = |x - y|, \alpha \in (0, 1]$ . Define  $F, G : X \rightarrow W(X)$  by

$$F(0)(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & z = 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}, & 0 < z \leq 1/50 \\ 0, & z > 1/50 \end{cases} \quad G(0)(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & z = 0 \\ 1/4, & 0 < z \leq 1/100 \\ 0, & z > 1/100 \end{cases}$$

$$F(x)(z) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & 0 \leq z \leq x/25 \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}, & x/25 < z \leq x/10 \\ 0, & z > x/10 \end{cases} \quad G(x)(z) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & 0 \leq z \leq x/20 \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}, & x/20 < z \leq x/10 \\ 0, & z > x/10 \end{cases}$$

Here  ${}^1F(x) = {}^1G(x) = \{0\}$  and  ${}^\alpha F(x) = [0, x/25]$  and  ${}^\alpha G(x) = [0, x/20]$

$$D(F(x), G(y)) = \sup_\alpha H({}^\alpha F(x), {}^\alpha G(y)) = |x/20 - y/25| \\ \leq \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} [|x - x/25] \cdot |y - y/20| + |y - y/20| |x - y|]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

For  $x = y, F$  and  $G$  satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 2.2 and 0 is the common fixed point of  $F$  and  $G$ .

For  $x \neq y$ , the condition (\*) fails for taking the values  $x = 1, y = 0$ .

Similarly the condition (\*\*) of Theorem 2.3 fails also.

From the above example, we observe that Theorem 2.2 holds for assuming the condition for all  $x \in X$  and for all nonzero values of  $y$  in  $X$  and Theorem 2.3 holds for assuming the condition for all nonzero values  $x, y \in X$ .

Next a common fixed theorem for fuzzy mappings is proved due to Cho [1].

**Theorem 2.5** [1]. *Let  $F, G : X \rightarrow W(X)$  be fuzzy mappings satisfying the following condition: There exist  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  such that  $\alpha + \beta < 1$  and*

$$D(Fx, Gy) \leq \frac{\alpha p(y, Gy)[(1 + p(x, Fx))p(x, Fx)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + 2d(x, y)} + \beta d(x, y),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $F$  and  $G$  have a common fixed point.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be fuzzy mappings from  $X$  to  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  satisfying the following condition:*

(i) *For each  $x, y \in X$ , there exists  $\alpha(x), \alpha(y) \in (0, 1]$  such that  ${}^{\alpha(x)}F_1(x)$  and  ${}^{\alpha(y)}F_2(y)$  are nonempty closed bounded subsets of  $X$ .*

(ii)

$$H({}^{\alpha(x)}F_1(x), {}^{\alpha(y)}F_2(y)) \\ \leq \frac{a_1 d(y, {}^{\alpha(y)}F_2(y)) \{ [1 + d(x, {}^{\alpha(x)}F_1(x))] d(x, {}^{\alpha(x)}F_1(x)) \}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + 2d(x, y)} + a_2 d(x, y),$$

where  $a_1, a_2 > 0$  and  $a_1 + a_2 < 1$ .

Then there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $z \in {}^{\alpha(z)}F_1(z) \cap {}^{\alpha(z)}F_2(z)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x_0 \in X$ . Then by condition (i), there exists  $\alpha_1 \in (0, 1]$  such that  ${}^{\alpha_1}F_1(x_0)$  is a nonempty closed bounded subset of  $X$ .

Choose  $x_1 \in {}^{\alpha_1}F_1(x_0)$ .

For this  $x_1$ , there exists  $\alpha_2 \in (0, 1]$  such that  ${}^{\alpha_2}F_2(x_1)$  is a nonempty closed bounded subset of  $X$ . Since  ${}^{\alpha_1}F_1(x_0)$  and  ${}^{\alpha_2}F_2(x_1)$  are nonempty closed bounded subsets of  $X$  and by Lemma 2.1, there exists  $x_2 \in {}^{\alpha_2}F_2(x_1)$  such that

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/483776>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/483776>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)