Accepted Manuscript

Experimental study on airtightness test methods in large buildings; proposal of averaging pressure difference method

Dong-Seok Lee, Jae-Weon Jeong, Jae-Hun Jo

PII: S0360-1323(17)30230-5

DOI: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2017.06.003

Reference: BAE 4931

To appear in: Building and Environment

Received Date: 20 February 2017

Revised Date: 1 May 2017

Accepted Date: 1 June 2017

Please cite this article as: Lee D-S, Jeong J-W, Jo J-H, Experimental study on airtightness test methods in large buildings; proposal of averaging pressure difference method, *Building and Environment* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2017.06.003.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Experimental study on airtightness test methods in large buildings; Proposal of averaging pressure difference method

^aDong-Seok Lee, ^bJae-Weon Jeong, ^aJae-Hun Jo^{*}

^a Department of Architectural Engineering, Inha University, Incheon 22212, South Korea

^b Division of Architectural Engineering, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, South Korea

Abstract

Airtightness measurement standards using the fan pressurization method are widely used for measuring residential units such as detached houses and apartment units. The measurement of airtightness for small buildings can be readily conducted through existing fan pressurization methods. However, it can be difficult to achieve accurate measurement results for large buildings, as the height and volume of the buildings are considerably increased. This paper empirically investigates factors that are to be considered in regard to airflow rate (Q) and pressure difference (ΔP), which are measurement values required for deriving more accurate airtightness values for large buildings. The specifications of the standards ISO 9972, EN 13829, ASTM E779, JIS A 2201, ATTMA TS 1, and CAN/CGSB 149.15 relating to Q and $\triangle P$ are compared. Test conditions with respect to Q and $\triangle P$ are discussed for large building airtightness measurements. In measuring airtightness, a method is proposed in which the pressure difference at each floor of the building is measured and an average pressure difference value is used. Experiments were conducted on two multi-story office buildings using the method based on measuring pressure difference according to existing standards in tandem with the proposed pressure difference measurement method. The results of the experimental study show that the vertical pressure distribution across the overall building envelope can be significantly different when a building is pressurized. The airtightness values may also be different according to the measurement methods. This paper demonstrates how important it is to derive accurate $\triangle P$ values in measuring large building airtightness.

Keywords

Airtightness; Large Building; Pressurization test; Pressure Difference; Standard; Field test

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4911447

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4911447

Daneshyari.com