



Review

Fabric-reinforced cementitious matrix: A promising strengthening technique for concrete structures



Oluwafunmilayo Awani, Tamer El-Maaddawy*, Najif Ismail

United Arab Emirates University, United Arab Emirates

HIGHLIGHTS

- Previous studies on strengthening of RC structures with FRCM are critically reviewed.
- Factors affecting the performance of FRCM-strengthened RC elements are highlighted.
- Research gaps are identified.
- Directions for future research are outlined.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 26 June 2016

Received in revised form 11 November 2016

Accepted 25 November 2016

Available online 3 December 2016

Keywords:

Bond

Cementitious matrix

FRCM

Fabric

Fiber

Flexural

Mortars

Shear

Textile

ABSTRACT

Fabric-reinforced cementitious matrix (FRCM) systems have recently been introduced in the construction industry as a viable alternative strengthening material, to circumvent problems associated with fiber-reinforced polymers (FRP). They are made of fabric grids and a cementitious agent which serves as matrix and binder. The cementitious matrix used in FRCM systems has higher thermal capacity and better compatibility with the concrete substrate compared to those of the epoxy resin used in FRP. The use of FRCM composites for strengthening and repair of reinforced concrete structures, though relatively recent, is gradually gaining popularity as an alternative to FRP. This paper presents a critical review of existing research on structural strengthening with FRCM composites, identifies gaps in knowledge, and outlines directions for future research.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	95
2. Bond behaviour of FRCM	96
2.1. Test methods	96
2.2. Failure modes	96
2.3. Factors affecting bond behaviour of FRCM	98
2.3.1. Number of FRCM layers	98
2.3.2. Fabric type	98
2.3.3. Anchorage	98
2.3.4. Bond width	98
2.3.5. Bond length	99
2.3.6. Temperature	99
2.4. Bond-slip (τ -s) models	99

* Corresponding author at: United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain, P.O. Box 15551, United Arab Emirates.

E-mail addresses: more_funmi@yahoo.com (O. Awani), tamer.maaddawy@uaeu.ac.ae (T. El-Maaddawy), najif@uaeu.ac.ae (N. Ismail).

3.	Flexural strengthening with FRCM	100
3.1.	Failure modes	100
3.1.1.	Concrete cover separation	100
3.1.2.	Debonding at fabric-matrix interface	100
3.1.3.	Debonding at concrete-matrix interface	100
3.1.4.	Slippage of fabric roving within matrix	100
3.1.5.	Rupture of fabric within matrix	100
3.2.	Factors affecting the flexural capacity of FRCM-strengthened members	100
3.2.1.	Number of FRCM layers	100
3.2.2.	Fabric type	102
3.2.3.	Mortar type	102
3.2.4.	FRCM configuration	102
3.2.5.	Anchorage	103
3.2.6.	Concrete strength	103
3.2.7.	Corrosion	103
3.2.8.	Tensile steel reinforcement ratio	103
4.	Shear strengthening with FRCM	103
4.1.	Failure modes	103
4.1.1.	Cover separation	103
4.1.2.	Debonding at concrete-matrix interface	103
4.1.3.	Slippage of fabric roving within the matrix	105
4.1.4.	Rupture of fabric within the matrix	105
4.2.	Factors affecting the shear capacity of FRCM-strengthened members	105
4.2.1.	Number of FRCM layers (reinforcement ratio)	105
4.2.2.	Fabric type	105
4.2.3.	Mortar type	105
4.2.4.	FRCM configuration	105
4.2.5.	Mechanical anchorage	106
4.2.6.	Concrete strength	106
4.2.7.	Stirrup spacing	106
4.2.8.	Mortar thickness	106
5.	Column confinement with FRCM	106
5.1.	Failure modes	106
5.1.1.	Fabric rupture	106
5.1.2.	Debonding	108
5.1.3.	Fiber slippage within rovings	108
5.1.4.	Concrete crushing	108
5.2.	Factors affecting the axial capacity of FRCM-strengthened members	108
5.2.1.	Number of FRCM layers	108
5.2.2.	Fabric type	108
5.2.3.	Mortar type	108
5.2.4.	FRCM configuration	108
5.2.5.	Concrete strength	108
5.2.6.	Tie spacing	109
5.2.7.	Temperature	109
5.2.8.	Section size/shape	109
5.2.9.	Layout of longitudinal steel	109
5.2.10.	Corner radius	109
5.2.11.	Load eccentricity	109
5.2.12.	Loading protocol	109
6.	Conclusions and recommendations	109
6.1.	Conclusions	109
6.2.	Recommendations for future studies	110
	Notations	110
	Acknowledgement	110
	References	110

1. Introduction

Since the early 1980's, fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) composites have gradually gained popularity as viable strengthening materials for reinforced concrete (RC) structures. FRP composites possess certain characteristics, which make them a viable alternative to the traditionally used strengthening systems. These characteristics include corrosion resistance, high strength-to-weight ratio, and high versatility. FRPs consist of fibers embedded in a polymer matrix, usually epoxy resin. The epoxy resin used in FRPs is incompatible with the concrete substrate, resulting in a critical

bond surface/line. This often leads to delamination of the composite from the substrate [1–8]. FRP composites also have poor performance at elevated temperatures and thermal incompatibility with the substrate. They are difficult to apply on humid surfaces and at low temperatures. Fabric-reinforced cementitious matrix (FRCM) systems, also referred to as textile-reinforced mortar (TRM), mineral-based composite (MBC) or textile-reinforced concrete (TRC) systems, have recently been introduced in the construction industry as an alternative to circumvent the problems associated with FRPs [9]. FRCM composites consist of fabric grids (made of fibers such as carbon, glass, etc.) and a cementitious agent (mortar)

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4913803>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4913803>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)