Applied Energy 200 (2017) 330-346

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Energy

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apenergy

Next-generation HVAC: Prospects for and limitations of desiccant and membrane-based dehumidification and cooling

Omar Labban^{a,1}, Tianyi Chen^{a,1}, Ahmed F. Ghoniem^{a,2}, John H. Lienhard V^{a,2}, Leslie K. Norford^{b,*,2}

^a Department of Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, United States ^b Department of Architecture, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, United States

HIGHLIGHTS

• Thermodynamic principles are applied to systematically compare three technologies.

• Merits and limits of standalone versus integrated designs are identified.

• Effect of climate conditions on performance and technology selection is evaluated.

• Integrated desiccant/membrane technologies outperform current state-of-the-art VCS.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 9 March 2017 Received in revised form 19 April 2017 Accepted 3 May 2017 Available online 19 May 2017

Keywords: Next-generation HVAC Dehumidification Thermodynamic least work Vapor-compression Desiccants Membranes

ABSTRACT

Recently, next-generation HVAC technologies have gained attention as potential alternatives to the conventional vapor-compression system (VCS) for dehumidification and cooling. Previous studies have primarily focused on analyzing a specific technology or its application to a particular climate. A comparison of these technologies is necessary to elucidate the reasons and conditions under which one technology might outperform the rest. In this study, we apply a uniform framework based on fundamental thermodynamic principles to assess and compare different HVAC technologies from an energy conversion standpoint. The thermodynamic least work of dehumidification and cooling is formally defined as a thermodynamic benchmark, while VCS performance is chosen as the industry benchmark against which other technologies, namely desiccant-based cooling system (DCS) and membrane-based cooling system (MCS), are compared. The effect of outdoor temperature and humidity on device performance is investigated, and key insights underlying the dehumidification and cooling process are elucidated. In spite of the great potential of DCS and MCS technologies, our results underscore the need for improved system-level design and integration if DCS or MCS are to compete with VCS. Our findings have significant implications for the design and operation of next-generation HVAC technologies and shed light on potential avenues to achieve higher efficiencies in dehumidification and cooling applications.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Building operation consumes more than 40 percent of the total energy used in the United States [1], and building heating and cooling loads comprise the largest fraction. Demand for cooling energy is exacerbated in hot and humid climates. High humidity poses a serious limitation to the design and operation of buildings, promoting mold and dust mites and often associated with increased disease transmission. Consequently, dehumidifying air in building ventilation systems is normally a requirement to mitigate the effects of high humidity, improve indoor thermal comfort, and meet indoor design conditions. For over a century, the vapor-compression system (VCS) has

For over a century, the vapor-compression system (VCS) has been the de facto technology of choice in heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC), especially for dehumidification and cooling. In spite of its success, research, policy, and economics all encourage change in light of its many inherent shortcomings. From an energy standpoint, the inability of the VCS system to decouple latent and sensible loads leaves condensation, an energy intensive process, as the only means for dehumidification. The heart of the VCS system lies in the refrigerant, whose chemistry has evolved in response to policy and environmental concerns. From chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs), banned





AppliecEnergy

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: lnorford@mit.edu (L.K. Norford).

¹ Joint first authors.

² Joint senior authors.

Nomenclature

| Roman symbols | | Subscripts | |
|------------------|--|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Cp | specific heat at constant pressure, kJ/(kg K) | a | dry air |
| COP | coefficient of performance | ad | adsorption |
| h | specific enthalpy, kJ/kg dry air | С | cooling |
| h_{fg} | enthalpy of vaporization, kJ/kg | cool | cooling load |
| 'n | mass flow rate of dry air, kg/s | coil | cooling coil |
| Ż | rate of heat transfer, kW | cond | condensation |
| P | pressure, kPa | h | heating |
| r _p | compression ratio | i | stream identity |
| $\dot{R_a}$ | ideal gas constant of dry air, 0.287 kJ/(kg K) | lat | latent load |
| RH | relative humidity | р | pressure |
| S | specific entropy, kJ/(K kg dry air) | reg | regeneration |
| Š _{gen} | rate of entropy generation, kW/K | sat | saturation |
| T | temperature, K | ν | vapor |
| Ŵ | rate of work transfer, kW | w | liquid water |
| Ŵ | specific work transfer, kJ/kg dry air | 0 | environment or dead state |
| Greek symbols | | Abbreviations | |
| α | humidity removal fraction | DCS | desiccant-based cooling system |
| n | second law efficiency | DW | desiccant wheel |
| -1]] €μν | heat exchanger effectiveness | IEC | indirect evaporative cooler |
| enz Es | membrane exchanger sensible effectiveness | MCS | membrane-based cooling system |
| 3 E1 | membrane exchanger latent effectiveness | VCS | vapor-compression system |
| ω | humidity ratio, kg moisture/kg dry air | | super compression system |
| õ | mole fraction of vapor to air in the moist air mixture | | |
| Ĕ | total specific exergy, kI/kg dry air | | |
| 2 | ····· | | |

under the Montreal Protocol in 1987 [2], to hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), such as R-134a with a global warming potential (GWP) 1430 times that of carbon dioxide (CO₂) [3], environmental concerns associated with VCS can no longer be overlooked. These concerns, coupled with potential excess greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions resulting from the inefficiencies inherent in the system, place research on future HVAC technologies at the cornerstone of any effort aimed at mitigating climate change.

The United States, Canada, and Mexico recently proposed to curb their use of HFCs by 85% between 2016–2033 [4], while several members of the European Union supported an agreement to phase out HFCs by 80% between 2016–2030 [5]. These efforts, among others, paved the way for the Kigali accord, an amendment to the Montreal Protocol signed by more than 170 countries to phase out HFCs. In response to the rising interest in the future of HVAC, Chua et al. [6] presented a review of recent HVAC innovations to achieve improved air-conditioning efficiency, while the U.S. DOE published a study shortlisting potential next-generation HVAC technologies [7,8], highlighting the potential of two technologies

Desiccant technology employs desiccants, normally solid or liquid materials with a high affinity for water vapor, to separate water vapor from outdoor air and thereby decouple dehumidification from the cooling process [9]. Daou et al. [10] reviewed different configurations of the desiccant cooling system (DCS), and La et al. [11] pointed out the merits of the solid rotary DCS (known as a desiccant wheel): compactness, continuous working hours, and lower susceptibility to corrosion during operation. To analyze its potential for regeneration using low-grade heat, Angrisani et al. [12,13] experimentally investigated the effect of outdoor conditions and regeneration temperature on desiccant wheel performance. Given the promise desiccants offer for more efficient air conditioning, several configurations employing desiccant wheels, such as the combined chilled-ceiling desiccant cooling system [14], continue to be investigated in the literature.

In contrast with desiccant technology, the isothermal nature of chemical separation in membrane technology poses a unique advantage, and its incorporation in HVAC has lately been an active field of research. In recent reviews, Woods [15] and Zhang [16] provided overviews of the latest membrane developments in HVAC and a summary of potential avenues for future research, while another review by Yang et al. [17] highlighted the major advances membrane technology has made in air dehumidification. In search of membranes with the greater selectivity necessary to make this process viable, Zhang et al. [18] developed a novel membrane using a polyethersulfone (PES) support layer coupled with a polyvinylalcohol (PVA) active layer, while Bui et al. [19,20] later reported the fabrication of a robust hydrophilic PVA/LiCl composite membrane. Other studies explored boosting air-conditioning performance through incorporating membrane-based total heat recovery [21,22], enabling heat and mass exchange across air streams.

Another prominent application of membrane technology has been membrane-based liquid desiccant dehumidification, recently reviewed by Huang et al. [23] and Abdel-Salam et al. [24]. Compared to solid desiccants, liquid desiccants allow for localized dehumidification and for regeneration to occur at lower temperatures [9], while integrating them with membranes eliminates the challenge of desiccant cross-over [23]. Research in the field continues to investigate several aspects of this technology, including modeling system performance [25–27], improving system design [28], and employing renewable energy [29].

Apart from the question of which technology produces the dehumidification and cooling effect, HVAC is an energy conversion process, whose design and performance can considerably benefit from a greater thermodynamic understanding. Even many decades after the inception of VCS, for example, thermodynamic analysis aimed at improving VCS performance and efficiency continues to be relevant to this day, as evident from the works of Kumar et al. [30], Bayrakçi and Özgür [31], and a recent review by Ahamed et al. [32], to name a few. Similarly, Zhang [33] presented an

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4916164

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4916164

Daneshyari.com