



Energy management of a dual-mode power-split hybrid electric vehicle based on velocity prediction and nonlinear model predictive control



Changle Xiang, Feng Ding, Weida Wang*, Wei He

School of Mechanical Engineering, Beijing Institute of Technology, 5 South Zhongguancun Street, Beijing 100081, China

HIGHLIGHTS

- A new cascaded real time energy management strategy is proposed.
- A velocity predictor is proposed based on radial basis function neural network.
- Forward dynamic programming is employed in nonlinear model predictive control to improve efficiency.
- Engine and motors are coordinated with fast sampling time.
- Results of simulation and experiments showed that fuel economy was improved compared with other methods.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 1 July 2016

Received in revised form 25 November 2016

Accepted 11 December 2016

Keywords:

Hybrid electric vehicle

Dual-mode

Energy management

Velocity prediction

Neural network

Nonlinear model predictive control

ABSTRACT

In this paper, a real time energy management strategy (EMS) is proposed for a dual-mode power-split hybrid electric vehicle in order to improve the fuel economy and maintain proper battery's state of charge (SOC) while satisfying all the constraints and the driving demands. The EMS employs a cascaded control concept which includes a velocity predictor, a master controller and a slave controller. The short term vehicle velocity predictor is developed to improve the controller performance based on radial basis function neural network. The master controller based on nonlinear model predictive control is developed with slow sampling time to sustain SOC and to reduce fuel consumption. Forward dynamic programming is employed here to solve the optimal problem. And the PID-based slave controller is developed with fast sampling time to coordinate the engine and the two motors. Simulation and testbed experiments are performed to verify it and the results demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed approach compared with other methods.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Over the years, due to the shortage of fuel resources and the concerns of environmental impacts, the demand of electric power has become more and more important. Meanwhile, automotive customers' demands in terms of performance, safety and comfort for their new cars can be satisfied better in electric vehicles. Hence, many different types of electric vehicles are developed by enthusiastic automotive companies. But as the market shows, hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) seem to be the most short-term promising solution [1]. An HEV adds an electric power path to the conventional powertrain to improve its performance and fuel economy. With the help of electric power, an HEV's engine can be better sized and work more efficiently, since the power needed can be compensated by electric motor generators (MGs). Also, when the

vehicle is decelerating, the MGs can capture part of the vehicle kinetic energy and recharge the battery [2].

Based on the hybrid configuration, there are different types of HEVs, such as series, parallel and power-split, which can be used for different purposes. The Toyota Hybrid System (THS) is the most successful single-mode power-split configuration used in small power vehicles. It enables the engine to operate at its efficient regions, independent of the vehicle speed, which also means an electronically controlled continuously variable transmission (E-CVT). Dual-mode power-split configuration is an extended version, and it is often used in heavy duty vehicles, like trucks and SUVs. Compared with single-mode HEVs, dual-mode power-split HEVs can provide larger power with two MGs of the same size. Because the peak power of the two MGs can partly be decoupled from the engine power. Also, dual-mode power-split HEVs can achieve higher efficiency through the overall vehicle velocity range [3–5].

In order to fully exploit the capabilities of the HEV, an appropriate energy management strategy (EMS) is necessary. The primary

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: wangwd0430@163.com (W. Wang).

Nomenclature

A_f	frontal area of the vehicle	t_h	prediction horizon
C_{batt}	battery capacity	V	vehicle velocity
C_d	drag coefficient	V_{demand}	future vehicle velocity demand
c_i	neural net center	V_{oc}	battery open circuit voltage
f_e	fuel consumption look-up table	w_h	final penalty weight
g	gravity acceleration	w_m	fuel penalty weight
h	number of NN hidden layer nodes	w_s	SOC penalty weight
i_f	gear ratio of final drive	w_t	torque penalty weight
J_e	rotational inertia of engine	w_w	speed penalty weight
J_{MG1}	rotational inertia of MG1	Z_r	ring gear teeth number
J_{MG2}	rotational inertia of MG2	Z_s	sun gear teeth number
k_1	PG1 inherent parameter	α	driver's pedal position
k_2	PG2 inherent parameter	θ	road grade
k_3	PG3 inherent parameter	μ	friction coefficient
m	vehicle mass	ρ	air density
m_f	fuel consumption	σ	spread width
N_p	prediction horizon	ω_c	carrier gear speed
P_{batt}	battery power	ω_e	engine speed
P_{load}	actual load disturbance	$\omega_{e,d}$	demand engine speed
P_{MG1}	MG1 power	ω_{MG1}	MG1 speed
P_{MG2}	MG2 power	$\omega_{MG1,d}$	desired MG1 speed
P_{MG1}^{loss}	MG1 power losses	ω_{MG2}	MG2 speed
P_{MG2}^{loss}	MG2 power losses	$\omega_{MG2,d}$	desired MG2 speed
p	number of NN outputs	ω_{out}	output speed
R_{batt}	battery internal resistance	ω_s	sun gear speed
r_w	radius of wheels	ω_r	ring gear speed
SOC_0	initial SOC value	DP	dynamic programming
SOC_r	reference SOC value	EMS	energy management strategy
T_{brake}	friction brake torque	HEV	hybrid electric vehicle
T_{demand}	future vehicle torque demand	MG	electric motor generators
T_{drive}	torque acting on the wheels	MPC	model predictive control
T_e	engine torque	NMPC	nonlinear model predictive control
$T_{e,d}$	demand engine torque	NN	neural network
T_{MG1}	MG1 torque	PG	planetary gear set
T_{MG2}	MG2 torque	RBF	radial basis function
T_{out}	output torque	SOC	state of charge
t_0	current time	VP	velocity predictor

objectives of EMS are to minimize fuel consumption, to fully take advantage of batteries and to take into account all physical constraints of the system. Current existing strategies are largely based on heuristic rules. Defining a set of thresholds to build a rule-based control strategy like [6–11], or using fuzzy logic for control algorithm development like [12–15], is relatively easy to achieve. These methods mostly stem from engineering intuition, which are sometimes far from the actual optimal solution. An alternative approach is optimization-based control strategy [16–20]. Recently, many researches have been done using optimal control methods like dynamic programming (DP) [21–23] and Pontryagin's Minimum Principle (PMP) [24,25]. These techniques require the full knowledge of entire driving cycle in advance, so they can only be used in off-line simulation. However, since DP results are global optimal, they are always used as benchmarks for the best achievable performance. Methods that are implementable in real time have also been developed. Equivalent consumption minimization strategy (ECMS) [2] defines an equivalent fuel cost for the battery energy, so it can be solved at each sampling time which makes it capable of being applied online. But it is quite sensitive to its tuning parameters and the dynamics of the system are not considered. Ref. [26] proposes a new ECMS which takes into account not only fuel consumption, but also emissions and battery aging. Ref. [27] proposes a total cost minimization strategy (TCMS) as least costly energy

management considering the grid energy, the battery life and the fuel consumption. Besides these methods, multiple advanced algorithms such as particle swarm optimization [28], machine learning [29], genetic algorithm [30] and simulated annealing [31] are also employed to develop various strategies.

Model predictive control (MPC) is also proposed in some references to build a controller. This MPC-based controller can offer an optimal solution in real time and is implementable with limited computation and memory resources. Ref. [32] presents an energy management strategy based on linear time-varying MPC without a priori knowledge of the future load demand. Ref. [33] proposes a model predictive controller to extend battery life for a system with the combination of battery and supercapacitor. These methods need to linearize the system so the solution is not always the optimal. Ref. [34] proposes nonlinear model predictive control (NMPC) to further improve fuel economy. In order to fully take advantage of MPC algorithm, some approaches are developed to predict the future demand of vehicles. Ref. [35–38] propose an exponentially varying velocity predictor. This method is simple and provides an intuitive understanding of how velocity prediction affects fuel economy. Ref. [39] proposes path-forecasting for trajectory planning based on GPS data, but such an approach is not feasible everywhere due to some missing map information. Ref. [40] implements a Markov chain to represent driver behavior. And

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4916780>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4916780>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)