

Accepted Manuscript

Impact of channel blockage on the performance of axial and cross-flow hydrokinetic turbines

Thomas Kinsey, Guy Dumas



PII: S0960-1481(16)30984-3

DOI: [10.1016/j.renene.2016.11.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2016.11.021)

Reference: RENE 8295

To appear in: *Renewable Energy*

Received Date: 13 July 2015

Revised Date: 8 November 2016

Accepted Date: 11 November 2016

Please cite this article as: Kinsey T, Dumas G, Impact of channel blockage on the performance of axial and cross-flow hydrokinetic turbines, *Renewable Energy* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2016.11.021.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Impact of channel blockage on the performance of axial and cross-flow hydrokinetic turbines

Thomas Kinsey^a, Guy Dumas^{a,*}

^a*Laboratoire de Mécanique des Fluides Numérique
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Laval University
Quebec City, Quebec G1V 0A6
Canada*

Abstract

10 This work investigates the effect of channel blockage on the performance of axial and cross-flow turbines with the objective of filling a gap in the literature on suitable blockage corrections for cross-flow turbines. Our investigation is based on 3D computational fluid dynamics simulations at high Reynolds number. Blockage corrections are proposed for axial and cross-flow hydrokinetic turbines. These corrections allow the estimation of the drag, power and tip speed ratio of the theoretically unconfined turbine, based on results of the confined turbine. It is found that a blockage correction based on a simple linear momentum actuator disk theory, is quite adequate when applied to both axial and low-solidity cross-flow turbines. Results suggest that for the 3-bladed (high-solidity) cross-flow turbine, this method slightly underpredicts the correction factor, more so for drag than for power. Normalizing with the bypass flow velocity improves the drag correction. For both technologies, the power extracted is found to be almost insensitive to blockage when dynamic stall is present. To discriminate between lateral and vertical confinement is usually not required, the performance being mostly dependent on the blockage ratio (ratio of turbine and channel frontal areas) unless the confinement asymmetry differs by more than a factor of 3.

Keywords: Blockage, Hydrokinetic, Cross-flow, Turbines

1. Introduction

It is well known from the best practices in wind tunnel measurements that forces measured should be corrected for wall interference, i.e., to correct the data to account for the accelerated flow due to confinement [1–3]. By continuity, the acceleration of the flow bypassing an object in a confined environment depends on the level of blockage caused by the presence of the object itself (geometry blockage) and its wake (wake blockage). In a confined environment, the flow cannot expand as much as in the unconfined case

*corresponding author

Email address: gdumas@gmc.ulaval.ca (Guy Dumas)

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4926571>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4926571>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)