Accepted Manuscript

To Err is Human? How Typographical and Orthographical Errors Affect Perceptions of Online Reviewers

Dena Cox, Jeffrey G. Cox, Anthony D. Cox

PII: S0747-5632(17)30320-5

DOI: 10.1016/j.chb.2017.05.008

Reference: CHB 4967

To appear in: Computers in Human Behavior

Received Date: 13 February 2017

Revised Date: 04 May 2017

Accepted Date: 05 May 2017

Please cite this article as: Dena Cox, Jeffrey G. Cox, Anthony D. Cox, To Err is Human? How Typographical and Orthographical Errors Affect Perceptions of Online Reviewers, *Computers in Human Behavior* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2017.05.008

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Highlights

- Effects of textual errors on online reviewer credibility depend on general trust.
- Low trusters' judgments of reviewer trustworthiness are insensitive to errors.
- High trusters respond negatively to typographical but not orthographical errors.
- High trusters view typos as signaling low reviewer trustworthiness.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4937495

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4937495

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>