



Personality traits and echo chambers on facebook



Alessandro Bessi ^{a, b, *}

^a IUSS Institute for Advanced Study, Pavia, Italy

^b IMT Institute for Advanced Studies, Lucca, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17 June 2016

Received in revised form

8 August 2016

Accepted 10 August 2016

Keywords:

Personality traits
Online social media

ABSTRACT

In online social networks, users tend to select information that adhere to their system of beliefs and to form polarized groups of like minded people. Polarization as well as its effects on online social interactions have been extensively investigated. Still, the relation between group formation and personality traits remains unclear. A better understanding of the cognitive and psychological determinants of online social dynamics might help to design more efficient communication strategies and to challenge the digital misinformation threat. In this work, we focus on users commenting posts published by US Facebook pages supporting scientific and conspiracy-like narratives, and we classify the personality traits of those users according to their online behavior. We show that different and conflicting communities are populated by users showing similar psychological profiles, and that the dominant personality model is the same in both scientific and conspiracy echo chambers. Moreover, we observe that the permanence within echo chambers slightly shapes users' psychological profiles. Our results suggest that the presence of specific personality traits in individuals lead to their considerable involvement in supporting narratives inside virtual echo chambers.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In online social media, users show the tendency to select information that confirms their preexisting beliefs. Being influenced by confirmation bias and selective exposure, they join virtual echo chambers — i.e. largely closed, mostly non-interacting polarized communities centered on different narratives (Quattrociocchi, Scala, Sunstein; Del Vicario & Vivaldo, et al.), where enclaves of like-minded people consume information in strikingly similar ways.

Polarization as well as its effects on online social dynamics have been extensively investigated (Adamic & Glance, 2005; An, Quercia, & Crowcroft, 2013; Bakshy, Messing, & Adamic, 2015; Bessi, Scala, Rossi, Zhang, & Quattrociocchi, 2014; Bessi & Coletto et al., 2015; Conover et al., 2011; Mocanu, Rossi, Zhang, Karsai, & Quattrociocchi, 2015; Sunstein, 2002). In particular, discussion within like-minded people seems to influence negatively users emotions and to enforce group polarization (Zollo et al., 2015). Moreover, experimental evidence shows that confirmatory information gets accepted even if containing deliberately false claims

(Bessi & Coletto et al., 2015), while dissenting information are mainly ignored or might even increase group polarization (Zollo et al.). Furthermore, recent studies clearly show that confirmation bias, more than algorithms of content promotion (Bessi et al.), plays a pivotal role in the formation of echo chambers (Del Vicario et al., 2016; Del Vicario, Scala, Caldarelli, Stanley, Quattrociocchi). Finally, users on social media aim at maximizing the number of likes, and often information, concepts and debate get flattened and oversimplified (Dewey & Rogers, 2012; Habermas, 2015).

The cognitive and psychological dimensions of users either as individuals or as a part of a group influence and shape online social interactions. Recent studies suggested that behavior and preferences of individuals can be explained by their personality traits (Ozer & Benet-Martinez, 2006). Indeed, research in psychology pointed out that personality can affect the decision making process (Cantador, Fernández-Tobías, & Bellogín, 2013; Kosinski, Stillwell, & Graepel, 2013; Kosinski, Bachrach, Kohli, Stillwell, Graepel, 2014), and a large research effort has been payed in studying the interplay between personality of users and their online behavior (Amichai-Hamburger & Vinitzky, 2010; Golbeck, Robles, & Turner, 2011; Kern et al., 2014; Kosinski et al., 2013; Marriott & Buchanan, 2014; Michikyan, Subrahmanyam, & Dennis, 2014; Muscanell & Guadagno, 2012; Oberlander & Nowson, 2006; Quercia, Kosinski, Stillwell, & Crowcroft, 2011; Worth & Book, 2014). Still, the

* IUSS Institute for Advanced Study, Pavia, Italy.
E-mail address: alessandro.bessi@iusspavia.it.

relation between group formation and personality traits remains unclear.

Psychologists describe personality along five dimensions known as the *Big Five* (Goldberg, 1992; Norman, 1963). According to this framework, such five dimensions contain most known personality traits and represent the basic structure behind all personalities (O'Connor, 2002). In particular, these dimensions are extraversion, emotional stability, agreeableness, conscientiousness, and openness. Extraversion is defined as the state of being concerned primarily with things outside the self. Introvert individuals are likely to enjoy time spent alone and find less reward in time spent with large groups of people, though they may enjoy interactions with close friends (Laney, 2002). Emotional Stability refers to an individual's ability to remain calm when faced with pressure or stress. Those who score low in emotional stability are emotionally reactive and vulnerable to stress. They are more likely to interpret ordinary situations as threatening, and minor frustrations as hopelessly difficult (Holt et al., 2012). Agreeableness reflects a tendency to be compassionate and cooperative rather than suspicious and antagonistic towards others (Hogan, 1997). Conscientiousness is a tendency to show self-discipline and act dutifully. People who score low on conscientiousness are more likely to engage in antisocial behavior (Ozer & Benet-Martinez, 2006). Finally, Openness is related to curiosity and to a general appreciation for unusual ideas, imagination, and novel experiences (McCrae & Costa, 1987).

A traditional approach to measure personality traits requires participants to answer a series of questions evaluating their behavior and preferences (John & Srivastava, 1999), but such an approach is time consuming and impractical. In particular, online users might be unwilling to spend their time filling-in questionnaires (Farnadi et al., 2016, pp. 1–34). However, digital footprints — e.g. Facebook Likes and language used in online social networks — left by users can be used to infer their personality (Celli & Polonio, 2013, pp. 41–54; Celli, Bruni, & Lepri, 2014; Park et al., 2015; Youyou, Kosinski, & Stillwell, 2015).

In this paper, we aim to understand the personality traits driving the adoption of a specific narrative and the emergence of echo chambers. By means of a well established unsupervised personality recognition approach (Celli, 2012), we want to understand whether users in echo chambers have similar personality traits, and whether a specific narrative attracts certain psychological profiles.

In particular, we focus on users commenting posts published by US Facebook pages supporting the scientific narrative (Science) and the conspiracy-like one (Conspiracy). We choose to consider these specific narratives for two main reasons: a) Science and Conspiracy are two very distinct and conflicting narratives; b) scientific pages share the main mission to diffuse scientific knowledge on the most recent research findings — e.g. the discovery of gravitational waves and the Higgs boson —, whereas conspiracy-like pages diffuse myth narratives, hoaxes, false news, and controversial information designed to replace scientific evidence — e.g. the absence of a link between HIV and AIDS and the causal relationship between vaccines and autism. Thus, our contribution is twofold. First, we provide a statistical characterization of the personality traits of users embedded in conflicting echo chambers. Moreover, we provide additional insights that might be crucial to develop strategies to mitigate the spreading of misinformation online. Indeed, the World Economic Forum listed massive digital misinformation as one of the main threats for the modern society (Howell; Quattrociochi) and, despite different debunking strategies have been proposed, unsubstantiated rumors and false news keep proliferating in polarized communities emerging in online social networks (Bessi, Caldarelli, Del Vicario, Scala, Quattrociochi, 2014; Bessi & Petroni et al, 2015; Bessi & Zollo et al., 2015; Zollo et al., 2015).

In this work, we perform a comparative analysis on personality traits of users engaged with different and conflicting narratives. We first measure extraversion, emotional stability, agreeableness, conscientiousness, and openness of about 30K users who made more than 3M comments in a time span of 5 years (Jan 2010–Dec 2014). Then, we compare the statistical distributions of personality traits of users supporting different narratives. Moreover, we analyze the correlations between such personality traits. Finally, we look for the prevalent personality models in the observed echo chambers.

2. Methods

2.1. Dataset

We analyze users commenting on 413 US public Facebook pages supporting conflicting narratives — i.e. Science and Conspiracy — within a temporal window of 5 years (Jan 2010 to Dec 2014). Science pages aim at diffusing scientific knowledge and rational thinking, whereas Conspiracy pages diffuse controversial information, usually lacking supporting evidence and most often contradictory of the official news. Such a space of investigation is defined with the same approach as in Bessi and Coletto et al. (2015), Del Vicario et al. (2016), with the support of different Facebook groups very active in monitoring conspiracy narratives (see Acknowledgements). Furthermore, the classification of each page has been validated accounting for the type of narrative reported on and the page's self description.

On Facebook, a *like* stands for a positive feedback to the post, whereas a *comment* is the way in which users express their personality and online collective debates take form.

Here, we consider a user as embedded in the Science (Conspiracy) echo chamber if she is polarized towards Science (Conspiracy) — i.e. if and only if she has more than the 95% of their total likes on posts published by Science or Conspiracy pages. Moreover, we analyze only users who left at least 50 comments in order to provide reliable estimates of the personality traits. The final dataset is composed by 25,767 users supporting Science who left 2,620,733 comments, and 6,262 users supporting Conspiracy who left 666,592 comments.

The entire data collection process has been carried out exclusively through the Facebook Graph API, which is publicly available. We used only public available data. The pages from which we downloaded data are public Facebook entities.

2.2. Personality model recognition

In this work, we represent the Big Five dimensions (Goldberg, 1992; Norman, 1963) — i.e. extraversion, emotional stability, agreeableness, conscientiousness, and openness — as discrete numerical variables that can take both positive and negative values. For each dimension, a positive value indicates the presence of the personality trait; a negative value indicates the presence of the reversed personality trait; a value equal to zero indicates a balance between the two extremes of the spectrum. For instance, if we consider the extraversion, a positive value reflects an extrovert individual; a negative value reflects an introvert individual; a value equal to zero reflects an ambivert individual.

To assign a personality model to each user, we rely on an established unsupervised personality recognition approach (Celli, 2012) which leverages a series of statistically significant correlations between linguistic features and personality traits (Mairesse, Walker, Mehl, & Moore, 2007) — e.g. extraversion is positively correlated with the use of first person singular pronouns and negatively correlated with the use of parentheses, while emotional

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4937799>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4937799>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)