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#### Abstract

In this paper, we present a new method to determine the optimal number of independent components after applying an Independent Component Analysis (ICA) to a set of mixed signals. The proposed method, called Linear Correlations between Components (LCC), uses the JADE algorithm to calculate the independent components. The LCC method allows to automatically select the optimal number of independent components in an unsupervised way without any previous knowledge. It has been tested using synthetic mixed signals where the number of pure (or independent) signals is known. This method is very simple, fast and easy to implement.
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## 1. Introduction

Given a set of mixed signals that have been created by combining a set of pure signals in unknown proportions, the Independent Components Analysis (ICA) (Bouveresse \& Rutledge, 2016; Hyvärinen \& Oja, 2000) is a blind-source separation method that enables the extraction of the pure signals, as well as their proportions, from the set of mixed signals. ICA has been applied in many domains in which signals have to be analysed (Hao et al., 2009; He, Clifford, \& Tarassenko, 2006; Krishnaveni, Jayaraman, Manoj Kumar, Shivakumar, \& Ramadoss, 2005; Wang, Ding, \& Hou, 2008). In particular, it is used to separate significant components from signals and remove artefacts.

ICA is based on the construction of the Independent Components (IC). The procedure for determining the optimal number of IC's, $k$, is an important issue when developing an ICA model. The value of $k$ corresponds to the number of pure signals where each of them explains an independent phenomenon. In general, when the number of desired IC's, NIC, is smaller than the optimal one, some significant components are mixed together in the small number of extracted IC's. On the other hand, if a NIC bigger than $k$ is required, some of the significant components are decomposed into subcomponents (it means that not all the components are independent). In both cases, the components obtained neither represent nor explain correctly the independent phenomena. Therefore,

[^0]it is necessary to use a validation method to determine $k$. This is the main goal of this paper.

Some methods make use of some theoretical knowledge of the pure signals to determine $k$, such as the Amari index (Rutledge \& Jouan-Rimbaud Bouveresse, 2013). However, in practice this information is not available and consequently any method to determine $k$ should work without a priori knowledge. This fact involves the need to find an unsupervised method to determine the optimal number of independent components when analyzing a set of mixed signals.

Bouveresse and Rutledge (2016) show a review of the most interesting algorithms developed to find $k$ : the Durbin-Watson criterion (Rutledge \& Barros, 2002), the ICA_by_Blocks (Jouan-Rimbaud Bouveresse, Moya-González, Ammari, \& Rutledge, 2012), the Random_ICA_by_Blocks, the RV_ICA_by_Blocks and the ICA_corr_Y. Unlike ICA_corr_Y, the first four methods do not require any specific prior knowledge. The Durbin-Watson criterion can only be used in structured signals although the other methods can be applied to any type of data. The methods that use blocks of data need to pay particular attention in selecting representative and comparable data blocks. Furthermore, these "blocks methods" cannot find $k$ when the number of mixed signals is less than $2 \times k$.

In addition to these methods, there is another approach based on the Principal Components Analysis (PCA) (Semmlow, 2004; Jolliffe, 2002) applied to the mixed signals. This algorithm considers the optimal number of IC's equal to the number of significant Principal Components. This method is simple but it is based on hypotheses that are not always valid. Moreover, the optimal number of $I C$ 's is chosen from a scree plot. This plot is a descending curve
representing the eigenvalues vs. the Principal Component index. The optimal number of $I C$ 's is the value at which the eigenvalues start to level off.

In the present work, JADE is the selected ICA algorithm (Cardoso \& Souloumiac, 1993). JADE consists of an eigenmatrix decomposition of a higher-order cumulant tensor. The cumulants give a measure of the non-Gaussianity of the components. For each NIC, JADE provides a stable result. This algorithm starts by restricting the operation of JADE to the NIC first principal components obtained from a PCA (Cardoso Resources, 2016).

In this paper, a simple and effective method to calculate $k$ named Linear Correlations between Components (LCC) is shown. This algorithm uses JADE and it takes advantages of both the concept of independence and the fact that a decomposed IC appears when NIC is superior to $k$. The LCC method allows to automatically select $k$ in an unsupervised way.

Unlike LCC, every method described above needs at least a specific condition to find $k$ that reduces its capacity of being generic. In general, these methods require a graphical representation to select $k$.

On the other hand, the main characteristics of LCC are the following: it is an unsupervised method, it determines $k$ automatically, it does not need the support of a graphical representation, there is no restriction with respect to the type of pure signals, the mixed signals do not need to be ordered in blocks and it can calculate $k$ when the number of mixed signals is inferior to $2 \times k$. However, as any method, it needs a number of mixed signals superior to $k$.

The only limitation (similar to others methods) comes from the computational resources that JADE needs. Depending on the available memory and CPU time, JADE cannot create a very large number of components (Cardoso Resources, 2016).

In practice, the $k$ found cannot be validated by using external information. One way to evaluate the result is to compare the results of different algorithms. The LCC is a different method and can contribute to the evaluation process. However, LCC is robust enough and its results can be trusted.

In Section 2, ICA and some basic concepts used in LCC are reviewed. In Section 3, the proposed method LCC is described. In Section 4, the LCC and other methods are tested using synthetic data. Finally, in Sections 5 and 6, the discussion and conclusions of the paper are respectively presented.

## 2. Background

This section explains the ICA algorithm and reviews the concepts of independence and correlation between two random variables.

### 2.1. ICA

Given a set of $n$ mixed signals formed by combining $k$ independent signals with $p$ samples each, its ICA model is defined as $M=A \times S$, where $M$ is a $n \times p$ data matrix, $A$ is a $n \times k$ mixing matrix and $S$ is a $k \times p$ matrix of independent signals.

The objective of ICA is to calculate $A$ and $S$ knowing only M. ICA does not need any knowledge concerning the nature of the source signals or their proportions. To estimate $A$, ICA requires the pure signals in $S$ to be truly independent and non-Gaussian. Both conditions are usually met when the sources are real signals.

The independence in ICA can be reached by maximizing the non-Gaussianity of the components or by minimizing the mutual information (Wang et al., 2008). Around this concept, different ICA algorithms have been developed: FastICA (Hyvärinen \& Oja, 1997), JADE (Cardoso \& Souloumiac, 1993; Cardoso Resources, 2016), InfoMax (Bell \& Sejnowski, 1995), Mutual Information Least Depen-
dent Component Analysis (Stögbauer, Kraskov, Astakhov, \& Grassberger, 2004), Stochastic Non-Negative Independent Components Analysis (Astakhov, Stögbauer, Kraskov, \& Grassberger, 2006), RADICAL (Learned-Miller \& Fisher III, 2003), etc.

### 2.2. Independence and linear correlation

Suppose a random variable $X$ that can take $v$ different values, with the probability that $X=x_{\mathrm{i}}$ defined to be $P\left(X=x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=p_{i}$. Then the expectation ( $E$ ) of $X$ is defined as
$E(X)=x_{1} p_{1}+x_{2} p_{2}+\cdots+x_{v} x_{v}$
and its variance (Var)
$\operatorname{Var}(X)=E\left(X^{2}\right)-(E(X))^{2}$
Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are two random variables with expected values $E(X), E(Y)$ and variances $\operatorname{Var}(X), \operatorname{Var}(Y)$, respectively. The covariance ( $\operatorname{Cov}$ ) of $(X, Y)$ is defined by
$\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=E(X Y)-E(X) E(Y)$
and its correlation (Corr)
$\operatorname{Corr}(X, Y)=\frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)}{(\operatorname{Var}(X) \operatorname{Var}(Y))^{\frac{1}{2}}}$
The $\operatorname{Corr}(X, Y)$ measures the linear dependence between two variables $X$ and $Y$, giving a value between -1 and +1 both inclusive.

Two random variables $X$ and $Y$ are uncorrelated when their correlation coefficient is zero: $\operatorname{Corr}(X, Y)=0$. Being uncorrelated is the same as having zero covariance and therefore, from (3):
$E(X Y)=E(X) E(Y)$
If $X$ and $Y$ are independent, then they are uncorrelated and consequently $\operatorname{Corr}(X, Y)=0$. If $\operatorname{Corr}(X, Y) \neq 0$, then $X$ and $Y$ present some grade of dependence. If $\operatorname{Corr}(X, Y)=0$, then $X$ and $Y$ can be either dependent or independent.

Suppose that ( $x_{m}, y_{m}$ ) with $m=1,2, \ldots . v$ form a sample from a pair of random variables $X$ and $Y$. The distance covariance (dCov) (Székely, Rizzo, \& Bakirov, 2007) is defined as:
$d \operatorname{Cov}^{2}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{v^{2}} \sum_{j, h=1}^{v} A_{j, h} B_{j, h}$
where
$A_{j, h}:=a_{j, h}-\bar{a}_{j .}-\bar{a}_{. h}+\bar{a}_{. .}, \quad a_{j, h}=\left\|x_{j}-x_{h}\right\| \quad j, h=1,2, \ldots . . v$
$B_{j, h}:=b_{j, h}-\bar{b}_{j .}-\bar{b}_{. h}+\bar{b}_{. .}, \quad b_{j, h}=\left\|y_{j}-y_{h}\right\| \quad j, h=1,2, \ldots . . v$
$\bar{a}_{j .}=\frac{1}{v} \sum_{h=1}^{v} a_{j h}, \quad \bar{a}_{. h}=\frac{1}{v} \sum_{j=1}^{v} a_{j h}, \quad \bar{a}_{. .}=\frac{1}{v^{2}} \sum_{j, h=1}^{v} a_{j h}$
The notation is similar for $\bar{b}_{j,}, \bar{b}_{h}$ and $\bar{b}_{. .}$.
The distance variance (dVar) of $X$ is
$d \operatorname{Var}^{2}(X)=d \operatorname{Cov}^{2}(X, X)=\frac{1}{v^{2}} \sum_{j, h=1}^{v} A_{j, h}^{2}$
The distance correlation (dCorr) (Székely, Rizzo, \& Bakirov, 2007) of two random variables $X$ and $Y$ is obtained by dividing their distance covariance by the product of their distance standard deviations. The distance correlation is
$d \operatorname{Corr}^{2}(X, Y)=\frac{d \operatorname{Cov}^{2}(X, Y)}{\left(d \operatorname{Var}^{2}(X) d \operatorname{Var}^{2}(Y)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$
The distance correlation is a measure of the statistical dependence between two random variables $X$ and $Y$. This measure of dependence is zero if and only if $X$ and $Y$ are independent. $\operatorname{Corr}(X, Y)$ $=0$ does not imply independence while $d \operatorname{Corr}(X, Y)=0$ implies independence.
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