Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Catalysis Communications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/catcom

Short communication

Synthesis and characterization of Ce–La oxides for the formation of dimethyl carbonate by transesterification of propylene carbonate

Praveen Kumar, Vimal Chandra Srivastava *, Indra Mani Mishra

Department of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee 247667, Uttarakhand, India

A R T I C L E I N F O

ABSTRACT

a 1:4 Ce/La molar ratio.

Article history: Received 6 October 2014 Received in revised form 5 November 2014 Accepted 7 November 2014 Available online 14 November 2014

Keywords: Dimethyl carbonate Propylene carbonate Transesterification Ce/La catalysts

1. Introduction

Dimethyl carbonate (DMC) is considered as an environmental friendly green chemical and is widely used in the synthesis of polymers, pesticides, flavoring agents, foodstuff, solvents, dyestuff and composite materials [1]. DMC contains higher oxygen content (53.3%) as compared to methanol (50%), ethanol (34.89%) and methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) (17.6%), and is consequently used as an oxygenate in gasoline based fuels [1]. Various methods such as are phosgenation, oxidative carbonylation of methanol, direct syntheses from CO_2 and transesterification of cyclic carbonate and from reaction of urea with methanol can be used for the synthesis of DMC [1–6]. DMC synthesis by transesterification of propylene carbonate (PC) with methanol in the presence of catalyst is an environmentally benign synthesis route as compared to other routes and has gained a lot of research attention [7,8].

Some catalysts such as tungstate-based catalysts [9], double metal cyanide [10], hydrotalcite [11], oxides and mixed oxides [12,13] have been investigated previously for the synthesis of DMC by transesterification reaction (Table 1). It may be seen that mixed oxides of metals Ce and La have been used previously individually or in combination with other metals, however, mixed oxides of Ce and La together have not been used for DMC synthesis. Few authors have previously synthesized ceria–lanthanum based catalysts for various other applications (Table S1).

In the present study, Ce–La mixed oxide catalysts (with different ratios of cerium and lanthanum) have been synthesized by the coprecipitation method and characterized by various techniques. Catalytic performance of these synthesized catalysts was further tested for the transesterification of PC with methanol to produce DMC and propylene glycol (PG) as a co-product. The effects of molar ratio of methanol/PC, catalyst dose, reaction time and temperature have been studied for all the synthesized catalysts.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation and characterization

A series of cerium-lanthanum catalysts prepared using the co-precipitation method were investigated for

transesterification of propylene carbonate (PC) with methanol to produce dimethyl carbonate (DMC). Synthe-

sized catalysts were characterized by XRD, CO2- and NH3-TPD, N2 adsorption/desorption and SEM-EDX

techniques. Studies were carried out to study the effect of reaction conditions such as methanol/PC molar ratio

(4–12), catalyst dose (2–10 wt.% of PC), reaction time (2–10 h) and temperature (140–180 °C) on the DMC yield. Highest PC conversion and DMC yield of 72% and 74%, respectively, were observed with catalysts having

Ceria and lanthanum oxide solid solution were synthesized with different Ce/La molar ratios (0.2; 0.4; 0.6; and 0.8) by the co-precipitation method using liquid ammonia solution as a precipitated agent. First, cerium and lanthanum precursors namely Ce(NO₃)₃.6 H₂O and La(NO₃)₃.6 H₂O, respectively, were dissolved separately in 100 mL double distilled water with a given molar ratio and mixed together under continuous stirring at room temperature. Liquid ammonium solution was added drop-wise in mixed metal solution over a period until the pH reached at ~8.5. The obtained light yellow/orange color slurry was washed several times with double distilled water until it was free from anion impurities. The obtained precipitate was dried at 120 °C in air atmosphere for 24 h and calcined at 500 °C for 4 h in air atmosphere to obtain the ceria/lanthanum mixed oxides.

Catalysts were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), energy dispersive X-ray (EDX), field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM), Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH), Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) and CO_2/NH_3 -temperature programmed desorption (TPD). Details of characterization methods and instruments are given in the Supporting information.





© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 1332 285889; fax: +91 1332 276535. *E-mail addresses: vimalcsr@yahoo.co.in, vimalfch@iitr.ac.in* (V.C. Srivastava).

Table 1

Comparative study of transesterification of PC with methanol using different solid catalysts.

Catalyst	Reaction time (h)	Reaction temp. (°C)	% PC conversion	% DMC yield/selectivity*	% PG yield	Reference
Mg-Al-La	2.0	150	65.4	88*	-	[11]
Mg–Al–Ce	1.0	150	20.4	79.8*	-	[11]
TiO ₂	6.0	140	22	15	-	[12]
Fe-promoted TiO ₂	6.0	140	0	_	-	[12]
MgO	6.0	140	55	15	-	[12]
ZrO ₂	6.0	140	14	50	-	[12]
CeO ₂	6.0	140	33	30	-	[12]
Au/CeO ₂	6.0	140	63	55	-	[12]
Ce _{0.2} La _{0.8}	6.0	170	74	74	45	This work
Ce _{0.4} La _{0.6}	6.0	170	67	59	49	This work
Ce _{0.6} La _{0.4}	6.0	170	59	49	51	This work
Ce _{0.8} La _{0.2}	6.0	170	43	36	56	This work

PC = propylene carbonate; methanol/PC molar ratio = 10; *Selectivity.

2.2. Catalytic activity

Synthesis of DMC using the transesterification of the PC and methanol was carried out in a stainless-steel autoclave reactor with different moles of PC and methanol and with different wt.% of the catalysts for the reaction. Product mixture was cooled down at room temperature and the catalyst was separated by centrifugation. Thereafter, the liquid reaction products were analyzed by gas chromatograph (Perkin Elmer) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) with elite wax capillary column.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of the catalyst

3.1.1. Structural analysis

Scanning electron microscopy studies were carried out so as to study the morphology of the synthesized catalysts (Fig. S1). Synthesized Ce_{0.8}La_{0.2} catalysts show spherical morphology with size of the crystal being 20–30 nm. All other catalysts have a nanorod shape with length varying in the range of 50–300 nm. EDX elemental mapping (Fig. S2) shows even distribution and homogeneous dispersion of Ce and La in all synthesized catalysts. EDX of catalysts (Table 2) showed the presence of the cerium, lanthanum and oxygen in all the catalysts.

Synthesized $Ce_xLa_1 - xO_2 - \delta$ (x = 0.2; 0.4; 0.6 and 0.8) are presented in Fig. S3. The XRD patterns of $Ce_xLa_1 - x(x = 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8)$ exhibit peaks at $2\theta = 28^{\circ}$, 33°, 46°, 55°, 58°, 68° and 75°, corresponding to the (111), (200), (220), (311), (222), (400) and (331) planes of face-centered cubic (fcc) structure, respectively. These reflections indicate the presence of cubic fluorite structure of CeO₂ (JCPDS No. 810792) phase only. No reflections corresponding to La₂O₃ were observed in these three catalysts $Ce_xLa_1 - x$ (x = 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8). Wang et al. [14] also reported the absence of La oxides in Ce–La mixed samples for La content as high as 75%. $Ce_{0.2}La_{0.8}$ showed a minor reflection of hexagonal close-packed (hcp) structure of La₂O₃ (JCPDS No. 401284) phase along with CeO₂ (JCPDS No. 810792). In all the XRD patterns, (111) is

the most intensive peak, therefore, it was used for the calculation of unit cell parameters and crystalline size of all the prepared catalysts. Results are summarized in Table 2. XRD spectra shows that an increase in Ce content in Ce_xLa₁ – $_xO_2$ (x = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8) shifts the peaks to a higher diffraction angle [15,16]. Ionic radius of La³⁺ (0.106 nm) is larger than that of Ce⁴⁺ (0.094 nm), therefore, an increase in lanthanum in the Ce_xLa₁ – $_x$ catalysts results in an increase in the values of unit cell parameters (Table 2) whereas an increase in cerium causes a decrease in unit cell parameters [17,18].

3.1.2. Textural analysis

Textural properties and pore size distribution of the synthesized catalysts (Table 2) were obtained from the nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms at 77 K (Fig. 1). Isotherms belong to the "type IV" class in all cases and exhibit a type "H4" hysteresis loop indicating that all Ce–La catalysts are mesoporous in nature. Synthesized catalysts were found to have the surface area in the range from 41–62 m²/g and it was found to be in the order: Ce_{0.2}La_{0.8} < Ce_{0.4}La_{0.6} < Ce_{0.6}La_{0.4} < Ce_{0.8}La_{0.2}. The pore volume of the catalysts also increased in the same order. It is found that an increase the lanthanum content decreases the pore surface area and pore volume.

3.1.3. Basic and acidic nature analysis

CO₂-TPD profile of synthesized Ce_xLa_(1 - x) catalysts is shown in Fig. 2a. Distinct profiles are seen for different catalysts. Peaks in the NH₃/CO₂-TPD profiles are classified as corresponding to: weak (<200 °C), moderate (200–450 °C) and strong (>450 °C) basic sites depending in the temperature they exist. The presence of weak basic sites in the catalysts allows low temperature desorption of the CO₂ gas whereas strong basic sites show the higher temperature desorption of CO₂ [19]. Ce_{0.8}La_{0.2} catalyst shows peaks corresponding to weak and moderate basic sites whereas all other catalysts show peaks corresponding to weak, moderate and strong basic sites. The intensity of the low and moderate basic sites depends on the Lewis acid-basic paring and OH⁻ bond present on the surface, and higher basic nature is due to the low coordination of surface O²⁻ [20]. The amount of total basic sites (per unit mass) for any catalyst was calculated by adding the amount of

Table 2

Crystallite size, textural properties and composition of cerium-lanthanum catalysts.

Catalyst	XRD		Textural properties			Composition of catalysts (from EDX)		
	Unit cell parameter (Å)	Crystallite size (nm) ^a	Surface area (m ² /g)	Pore volume (cm ³ /g) ^b	Average pore diameter (nm) ^c	Ce actual atomic%	La actual atomic%	O actual atomic%
Ce _{0.2} La _{0.8}	5.753	7.6	41	0.061	5.82	8	29	63
Ce _{0.4} La _{0.6}	5.598	9.5	42	0.080	6.67	18	26	56
Ce _{0.6} La _{0.4}	5.539	10.2	60	0.103	5.97	20	14	66
Ce _{0.8} La _{0.2}	5.452	11.7	62	0.128	6.57	25	9	66

^a Scherrer equation used for the estimation of crystalline size peak (111).

 $^{\rm b}\,$ BJH desorption cumulative pore volume of pores in the range of 17.00 to 3000 Å.

^c BJH desorption average pore diameter (nm).

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/49462

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/49462

Daneshyari.com